New York Journal of Mathematics

New York J. Math. **32** (2026) 737–747.

On S-J-Noetherian rings

Tushar Singh, Ajim Uddin Ansari and Shiv Datt Kumar

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity, $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set and J be an ideal of R. In this paper, we introduce the concept of S-J-Noetherian rings, which generalizes both J-Noetherian rings and S-Noetherian rings. We study several properties and characterizations of this new class of rings. For instance, we prove Cohen's-type theorem for S-J-Noetherian rings. Among other results, we establish the existence of S-primary decomposition in S-J-Noetherian rings as a generalization of classical Lasker-Noether theorem.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, let R be a commutative ring with identity, $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set, and J be a fixed ideal of R. For an ideal I of R, we denote $\overline{S} = \{s + I \mid s \in S\}$ which is a multiplicative closed subset of R/I. The Noetherian property of rings plays a crucial role in areas such as commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. Given the significance of Noetherian rings, numerous authors attempted to generalize the concept of Noetherian rings (see [2], [3], [7], [8], [9], [12], and [13]). As one of its crucial generalizations, Anderson and Dumitrescu [3] introduced the concept of S-Noetherian rings. An ideal I of R is S-finite if there exists an element $s \in S$ and a finitely generated ideal F of R such that $sI \subseteq F \subseteq I$. A ring R is called S-Noetherian if every ideal of R is S-finite. Recently, Alhazmy et al. [2] introduced the concept of J-Noetherian rings as a generalization of Noetherian ring. An ideal I of R is called a J-ideal if $I \nsubseteq J$ and R is said to be J-Noetherian if every J-ideal is finitely generated. A

Received May 16, 2025.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A15, 13B02, 13C05, 13E05.

Key words and phrases. J-ideals, S-J-Noetherian rings, S-Noetherian rings.

The authors thank the referee for the careful review and insightful comments, which have significantly improved the manuscript. All suggestions have been incorporated. The authors also thank the editor for their consideration.

ISSN 1076-9803/2026

particular interesting case occurs when $J = Nil(R)$, the ideal consisting of all nilpotent elements of R. In this situation, a J-Noetherian ring is referred to as a Nonnil-Noetherian ring, which was first introduced and studied by Badawi in [5]. Furthermore, when $J = J(R)$, the Jacobson radical of R, the J-Noetherian ring is termed a non-J-Noetherian ring. This class of rings was first introduced by Dabbabi [7] et al. in 2024, where they characterized various properties of non-J-Noetherian rings.

The primary objective of this paper is to introduce and study the notion of S-J-Noetherian rings. We present an example of an S-J-Noetherian ring which is not an S-Noetherian ring (see Example 2.4). We generalize various properties and characterizations of both J-Noetherian and S-Noetherian rings to this new class of rings. For instance, we establish Cohen-type theorem for S-J-Noetherian rings and prove that the polynomial ring $R[X]$ is S-J-Noetherian if and only if it is S-Noetherian. Also, we show that the quotient of an S-J-Noetherian ring is an $\overline{S}$-Noetherian ring (see Proposition 2.11). Moreover, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for an S-J-Noetherian ring to belong to the class of S-Noetherian rings (see Theorem 2.7 and 2.18). In [14, Theorem 2.10], among the other result, Singh et al. generalized the classical Lasker-Noether theorem for S-Noetherian modules. We end the paper by extending the classical Lasker-Noether theorem for the class of S-J-Noetherian rings (see Theorem 2.22).

2. Main Results

We begin by introducing the concept of S-J-Noetherian rings.

Definition 2.1. *Let R be a ring, $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set, and J an ideal of R. An ideal I of R is said to be a J-ideal if $I \nsubseteq J$. We say that R is an S-J-Noetherian ring if each J-ideal of R is S-finite.*

It is evident that every J-Noetherian ring is an S-J-Noetherian ring when $S = \{1\}$. However, the following example illustrates that the converse is not true in general.

Example 2.2. *Consider the ring $R = \mathcal{F}[X_1, X_2, \dots]$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a field, and let $J = (0)$. Define the ideal $I = (X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots)$. Clearly, I is a J-ideal but is not finitely generated. Hence, R is not a J-Noetherian ring. Now, let $S = R \setminus \{0\}$ be the multiplicative closed subset of R. Let K be a nonzero proper ideal of R. Evidently, K is J-ideal and $K \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, by* [3, Proposition 2(a)], *K is S-finite. Hence, R is S-J-Noetherian.*

Cohen's theorem is the classical result which states that a ring is Noetherian if all its prime ideals are finitely generated. Now, we extend this result for S-J-Noetherian rings.

Theorem 2.3. *A ring R is S-J-Noetherian if and only if its prime J-ideals (disjoint from S) are S-finite.*

Proof. If R is S-J-Noetherian, then it is obvious that all prime J-ideals of R are S-finite. Now, suppose that all prime J-ideals (disjoint from S) of R are S-finite and assume that R is not S-J-Noetherian. Therefore, the set $\mathcal{F}$ of all J-ideals that are non-S-finite is a non-empty set which is ordered by the inclusion. By Zorn's lemma, choose P maximal in $\mathcal{F}$. This implies P is not a S-finite and so $P \cap S = \emptyset$. We show that P is a prime ideal of R. This makes P a J-prime ideal (disjoint from S) that is S-finite, which is a contradiction to the fact that $P \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose there exists $a, b \in R \backslash P$ such that $ab \in P$. If $P + aR \subseteq J$, $P \subseteq J$, a contradiction, as P is J-ideal. Therefore, $P + aR$ is J-ideal. Since $P \subsetneq P + aR$, it follows that $P + aR$ is S-finite since P is a maximal element of $\mathcal{F}$. Then, there exist $s \in S$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in P$ and $x_1, \dots x_n \in R$ such that $s(P + aR) \subseteq (\alpha_1 + ax_1, \dots, \alpha_n + ax_n) \subseteq P + aR$. Consider the ideal $Q = (P : a) = \{x \in R \mid ax \in P\}$. Evidently, Q is J-ideal and $P \subsetneq Q$ as $b \in Q \setminus P$. By the maximality of P, Q is an S-finite ideal. Then there exist $t \in S$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in Q$ such that $tQ \subseteq (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \subseteq Q$. Let $x \in P$. Then $sx \in s(P + aR) \subseteq (\alpha_1 + ax_1, \dots, \alpha_n + ax_n)$, and so there exist $u_1, \dots, u_n \in R$ such that $sx = u_1(\alpha_1 + ax_1) + \cdots + u_n(\alpha_n + ax_n) = u_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + u_n a_n + a(u_1 x_1 + \cdots + u_n x_n)$.So $a(u_1 x_1 + \cdots + u_n x_n) = sx - (u_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + u_n\alpha_n) \in P$. Then $u_1 x_1 + \cdots + u_n x_n \in (P : a) = Q$. Therefore, we can find $w_1, \dots, w_k \in R$ such that $t(u_1 x_1 + \dots + u_n x_n) = w_1\beta_1 + \cdots + w_k\beta_k$, which states that

$$\begin{aligned} stx &= t(u_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + u_n a_n) + at(u_1 x_1 + \cdots + u_n x_n) \\ &= t(u_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + u_n a_n) + a(w_1\beta_1 + \cdots + w_k\beta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain $uP \subseteq (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, a\beta_1, \dots, a\beta_k) \subseteq P$, where $u = st \in S$, which means that P is S-finite. This contradicts to the choice of P. Thus, R is S-J-Noetherian. □

Every S-Noetherian ring is clearly an S-J-Noetherian ring. However, an S-J-Noetherian ring need not be an S-Noetherian ring. For this, consider the following example.

Example 2.4. *Consider a ring $R_1 = \mathcal{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots]$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a field, and $I = (X_i^2; i \in \mathbb{N})$ be an ideal of R_1. Let $R = R_1/I$. Consider the prime ideal $P = (X_i; i \in \mathbb{N})$ of R_1. Note that any prime ideal of the ring R contains P/I. Then the unique minimal prime ideal of R is P/I. Take $J = P/I$ and $S = R \setminus (P/I)$ is a multiplicative subset of R. Any J-prime ideal P' of R contains properly P/I, and then $P' \cap S \neq \emptyset$. By [3,* Proposition 2(a)*], P' is S-finite. By Theorem 2.3, R is an S-J-Noetherian ring. Next, our aim is to show that R is not a S-Noetherian ring. Suppose the ideal P/I is S-finite. There exist $\bar{s} \in S$ and $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{s}(P/I) \subseteq (\overline{X_{i_1}}, \dots, \overline{X_{i_n}}) \subseteq (P/I)$. The polynomial $\bar{s}$ of R uses a finite number of variables $X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_m}$ and its constant term $d \neq 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash \{i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_m\}$. Then, $\bar{s}\overline{X_k} = \bar{f}_1 \overline{X_{i_1}} + \cdots + \bar{f}_n \overline{X_{i_n}}$, where $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_n \in R$. Thus, $sX_k - f_1 X_{i_1} - \cdots - f_n X_{i_n} \in I$. This implies that $X_{i_1} = \cdots = X_{i_n} = X_{j_1} = \cdots = X_{j_m} = 0$, we obtain $dX_k \in \left(X_i^2 \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_m\}\right)$. This is a contradiction.*

Examples 2.2 and 2.4 demonstrate that the concept of S-J-Noetherian rings is a proper generalization of both the J-Noetherian rings and S-Noetherian rings.

Recall [6], let E be a family of ideals of a ring R. An element $I \in E$ is said to be an *S-maximal element* of E if there exists an $s \in S$ such that for each $J \in E$, if $I \subseteq J$, then $sJ \subseteq I$. Also, a chain of ideals $(I_i)_{i \in \wedge}$ of R is called *S-stationary* if there exist $k \in \wedge$ and $s \in S$ such that $sI_i \subseteq I_k$ for all $i \in \wedge$, where $\wedge$ is an arbitrary indexing set. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of ideals of R is said to be S-saturated if it satisfies the following property: for every ideal I of R, if there exist $s \in S$ and $J \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $sI \subseteq J$, then $I \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let J be a proper ideal of R. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *R is an S-J-Noetherian.*
(2) *Every ascending chain of J-ideals of R is S-stationary.*
(3) *Every nonempty S-saturated set of J-ideals of R has a maximal element.*
(4) *Every nonempty family of J-ideals has an S-maximal element with respect to inclusion.*

Proof.
(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $(I_n)_{n \in \wedge}$ be an increasing sequence of J-ideals of R. Define the ideal $I = \bigcup_{n \in \wedge} I_n$. If $I \subseteq J$, then $I_n \subseteq J$, which is not possible since each I_n is a J-ideal. Thus, I is a J-ideal of R. Also, I is S-finite since R is S-J-Noetherian. Consequently, there exist a finitely generated ideal $F \subseteq R$ and $s \in S$ such that $sI \subseteq F \subseteq I$. Since F is finitely generated, there is a $k \in \wedge$ satisfying $F \subseteq I_k$. Then we have $sI \subseteq F \subseteq I_k$, from which it follows that $sI_n \subseteq I_k$ for each $n \in \wedge$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an S-saturated set of J-ideals of R. Given any chain $\{I_n\}_{n \in \wedge} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, we claim that $I = \bigcup_{n \in \wedge} I_n$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}$, which will establish that I as an upper bound for the chain. Indeed, by (2), there exist $k \in \wedge$ and $s \in S$ such that $sI_n \subseteq I_k$ for every $n \in \wedge$. Consequently, we obtain $sI = s\left(\bigcup_{n \in \wedge} I_n\right) \subseteq I_k$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is S-saturated, it follows that $I \in \mathcal{D}$, as required. Applying Zorn's lemma, we conclude that $\mathcal{D}$ has a maximal element.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a nonempty set of J-ideals of R. Consider the family $\mathcal{D}^S$ of all J-ideals $L \subseteq R$ such that there exist some $s \in S$ and $L_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ with $sL \subseteq L_0$. Clearly, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^S$, so $\mathcal{D}^S \neq \emptyset$. It is straightforward to see that $\mathcal{D}^S$ is S-saturated. Thus, by (3) $\mathcal{D}^S$ has a maximal element $K \in \mathcal{D}^S$. Fix $s \in S$ and $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $sK \subseteq Q$. Now, we claim that Q is an S-maximal element of $\mathcal{D}$; specifically, given $L \in \mathcal{D}$ with $Q \subseteq L$, we will show that $sL \subseteq Q$. Note that $K + L$ satisfies $s(K+L) = sK + sL \subseteq Q + L \subseteq L$, so that $K + L \in \mathcal{D}^S$. Also, if $(K+L) \subseteq J$, then $K \subseteq J$, which is not possible since K is a J-ideal of R. Thus, $K + L$ is a J-ideal of R. Therefore, maximality of K implies $K = K + L$, so that $L \subseteq K$. But then $sL \subseteq sK \subseteq Q$, as desired.

(4) ⇒ (1). Let I be a J-ideal of R, which we will prove to be S-finite. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the family of finitely generated J-ideals of R such that $J \subseteq I$. Choose $x \in I \setminus J$. Then $L = (x) \subseteq I$, and $L \nsubseteq J$. This implies that $L \in \mathcal{D}$, and so $\mathcal{D}$ is nonempty. Then $\mathcal{D}$ has an S-maximal element $K \in \mathcal{D}$. Fixing $x \in I$, take a finitely generated ideal of the form $Q = K + xR$. Since $K \subseteq I$ and $x \in I$, so $Q \subseteq I$. Consequently, $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $K \subseteq Q$. This implies that there exists $s \in S$ such that $sQ \subseteq K$; in particular, $sx \in K$. This verifies $sI \subseteq K \subseteq I$, so that I is S-finite. It follows that R is S-J-Noetherian. □

Let $f : R \to R'$ be a homomorphism and S a multiplicative closed subset of R. Then it is easy to see that $f(S)$ is a multiplicative closed subset of R' if $0 \notin f(S)$ and $1 \in f(S)$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $f : R \to R'$ be an epimorphism and J be an ideal of R'. If R is an S-$f^{-1}(J)$-Noetherian ring with $0 \notin f(S)$, then R' is a $f(S)$-J-Noetherian ring, where $f(S)$ is a multiplicative closed subset of R' containing* 1.

Proof. Suppose $\{I_i\}_{i\in\wedge}$ is any increasing chain of J-ideals of R'. Then $I_i \nsubseteq J$ for each $i \in \wedge$. Suppose on the contrary that for each i there exist $\alpha_i \in I_i \setminus J$ such that $f^{-1}(\alpha_i) \subseteq f^{-1}(J)$. Then $\alpha_i \in f(f^{-1}(\alpha_i)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(J)) = J$, for f is an epimorphism. This is a contradiction, as $\alpha_i \notin J$. Thus, $f^{-1}(I_i) \nsubseteq f^{-1}(J)$ for each $i \in \wedge$ and hence $f^{-1}(I_i)$ is $f^{-1}(J)$ ideal of R. Then we have an increasing chain $\{f^{-1}(I_i)\}_{i\in\wedge}$ of $f^{-1}(J)$-ideal of R. Since R is an S-$f^{-1}(J)$-Noetherian, there exist $k \in \wedge$ and $s \in S$ such that $sf^{-1}(I_i) \subseteq f^{-1}(I_k)$ for all $i \in \wedge$. Applying f to both sides, we obtain $f(sf^{-1}(I_i)) = f(s)f(f^{-1}(I_i)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(I_k))$ for all $i \in \wedge$. Since f is an epimorphism, it follows that $f(s)I_i \subseteq I_k$ for all $i \in \wedge$. Hence, by Theorem 2.5, R' is a $f(S)$-J-Noetherian ring. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let S be a multiplicative subset of a ring R. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *R is S-Noetherian.*
(2) *R is S-J-Noetherian and J is an S-finite ideal of R.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2). This implication is obvious. (2) ⇒ (1). Let P be a prime ideal of R. If $P \subseteq J$, then P is S-finite by the assumption. Suppose that P contains properly in J. Then P is a J-ideal of R disjoint with S. Since R is S-J-Noetherian, then P is S-finite disjoint from S. So, by [3, Corollary 5], R is S-Noetherian. □

Let R be a ring and S be a multiplicative subset of R. Recall [3], let S be an anti-Archimedean subset of R if $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} s^n R \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for all $s \in S$.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $S \subseteq R$ be an anti-Archimedean multiplicative set and J is S-finite. If R is S-J-Noetherian, then the polynomial ring $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is also S-J-Noetherian.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, R is S-Noetherian ring. Then, by [3, Proposition 9], $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is S-Noetherian. This implies $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is S-J-Noetherian. □

Recall [4], let M be an R-module. Then, the idealization of R-module M, $R(+)M = \{(r, m) \mid r \in R, m \in M\}$ is a commutative ring with componentwise addition and multiplication defined by $(\alpha_1, m_1)(\alpha_2, m_2) = (\alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_1 m_2 + \alpha_2 m_1)$ for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R$ and $m_1, m_2 \in M$. It is straightforward to verify that $S(+)M = \{(s, m) \mid s \in S, m \in M\}$ forms a multiplicative set in $R(+)M$. The following example shows that the polynomial ring over an S-J-Noetherian ring need not be S-J-Noetherian.

Example 2.9. *Let V be an infinite dimensional vector space over a field K. Then $R = K(+)V$ is an S-J-Noetherian ring for every multiplicative subset S of R. Moreover, if $0 \notin S$, then $R[X]$ is not an S-J-Noetherian ring. In particular, if $J = Nil(R)$, then the proof follows from* [10, Example 2.4].

We next show that the polynomial ring $R[X]$ is S-J-Noetherian if and only if it is S-Noetherian.

Corollary 2.10. *Let R be a ring, $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set and J be an ideal of R. Then $R[X]$ is an S-$J[X]$-Noetherian ring if and only if $R[X]$ is an S-Noetherian ring.*

Proof. Suppose $R[X]$ is an S-$J[X]$-Noetherian ring. Then we show that $R[X]$ is an S-Noetherian ring. To prove this, by Theorem 2.7, it is sufficient to show that $J[X]$ is S-finite. Define the ideal $Q = J[X] + XR[X]$ of $R[X]$. Note that Q is a $J[X]$-ideal since $Q \nsubseteq J[X]$. Therefore, Q is S-finite. So there exist $s \in S$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R[X]$ such that $s(J[X] + XR[X]) \subseteq f_1R[X] + \cdots + f_nR[X] \subseteq J[X] + XR[X]$. As a result, we get $sJ \subseteq f_1(0)R + \cdots + f_n(0)R \subseteq J$. This implies that $sJ[X] \subseteq f_1(0)R[X] + \cdots + f_n(0)R[X] \subseteq J[X]$. Thus, $J[X]$ is an S-finite ideal of $R[X]$. The converse is trivially true. □

Proposition 2.11. *Let R be an S-J-Noetherian ring. Then R/J is an $\overline{S}$-Noetherian ring.*

Proof. A nonzero prime ideal (disjoint from $\overline{S}$) of R/J is of the form P/J with $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and $J \subsetneq P$. Evidently, P is a J-ideal with $P \cap S = \emptyset$ since P/J is nonzero and $P/J \cap \overline{S} = \emptyset$. By the hypothesis, P is S-finite. Then there exist $s \in S$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P$ such that $sP \subseteq (p_1, \dots, p_n) \subseteq P$. Let $x \in P$. Then we can find $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ such that $sx = a_1p_1 + \cdots + a_np_n$. It follows that $(s+J)(x+J) = (a_1+J)(p_1+J) + \dots + (a_n+J)(p_n+J)$, where $s + J \in \overline{S}$ and $a_1+J, \dots, a_n+J \in R/J$. This implies that $(s+J)(P/J) \subseteq (p_1+J, \dots, p_n+J) \subseteq P/J$, i.e., P/J is $\overline{S}$-finite. By [3, Corollary 5], R/J is $\overline{S}$-Noetherian. □

Corollary 2.12. *Let $S \subseteq R$ be an anti-Archimedean multiplicative set. If R is S-J-Noetherian, then polynomial ring $(R/J)[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is $\overline{S}$-$J[X_1, \dots, X_n]$-Noetherian.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.11, R/J is $\overline{S}$-Noetherian. Then, by [3, Proposition 9], $(R/J)[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is also $\overline{S}$-Noetherian. This implies that $(R/J)[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is $\overline{S}$-$J[X_1, \dots, X_n]$-Noetherian. □

Definition 2.13. [5] *An ideal I of a ring R is called divided if $I \subset xR$ for every $x \in R \backslash I$.*

Theorem 2.14. *Let R be an S-J-Noetherian ring, and I be a J-ideal of R disjoint from S. If J is divided ideal, then there exist $s \in S$ and S-prime ideals $P_1, \dots, P_n$ of R such that $s(P_1 \cdots P_n) \subseteq I$.*

Proof. Since $I \nsubseteq J$ and J is divided, then $J \subset (x) \subseteq I$ for some $x \in I \setminus J$. Thus, I/J is an ideal of the $\overline{S}$-Noetherian ring R/J. Since $I \cap S = \emptyset$, then $(I/J) \cap \overline{S} = \emptyset$. For this, if $(I/J) \cap \overline{S} \neq \emptyset$, then $s + J = i + J$ for some $s \in S$ and $i \in I$. Consequently, $s - i \in J \subset I$, and so $s \in I$, a contradiction as $I \cap S = \emptyset$. Thus, I/J is disjoint from $\overline{S}$. It follows that there exist $\bar{s} \in \overline{S}$ and $\overline{S}$-prime ideals $Q_1, \dots, Q_n$ of R/J containing I/J such that $\bar{s}(Q_1 \dots Q_n) \subseteq I/J$, by [1, Theorem 5]. Clearly, $Q_i \cap \overline{S} = \emptyset$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ since each Q_i is $\overline{S}$-prime. Then, by [1, Proposition 3], for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an S-prime ideal P_i of R containing J such that $Q_i = P_i/J$. Therefore, $\bar{s}((P_1 \cdots P_n)/J) \subseteq I/J$ since $P_1/J \cdots P_n/J = (P_1 \cdots P_n)/J$. For every $a \in P_1 \cdots P_n$, $(s+J)(a+J) = b+J$ for some $b \in I$. Conseuently, $sa - b \in J \subset I$, and so $sa \in I + Rb \subseteq I + J = I$. Thus, $s(P_1 \cdots P_n) \subseteq I$. □

Proposition 2.15. *Let $R \subseteq R'$ be an extension of rings such that $IR' \cap R = I$ for each ideal I of R, and let $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set. If R' is an S-J-Noetherian ring, then R is S-J-Noetherian.*

Proof. Let I be a J-ideal of R and $I \subseteq IR'$. If $IR' \subseteq J$, then $I \subseteq J$, which is not possible since $I \nsubseteq J$. Thus, IR' is a J-ideal of R'. Since the ring R' is S-J-Noetherian, there exist $s \in S$ and $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$ such that $sIR' \subseteq (i_1, \dots, i_n)R' \subseteq IR'$. By hypothesis, $sI = sIR' \cap R \subseteq (i_1, \dots, i_n)R' \cap R \subseteq IR' \cap R = I$. Then I is an S-finite ideal of R, as desired. □

Proposition 2.16. *Let R be an S-J-Noetherian ring and I be a J-ideal of R disjoint from S. Then there exist $t \in S$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $t(rad(I))^m \subseteq I$.*

Proof. Let I be a J-ideal of R. Then $rad(I)$ is also a J-ideal of R, and hence $rad(I)$ is S-finite. Consequently, there exist $s \in S$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in rad(I)$ such that $s(rad(I)) \subseteq K \subseteq rad(I)$, where $K = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Let $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x_i^{m_i} \in I$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then choose sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K^m \subseteq I$. Therefore, $t(rad(I))^m \subseteq I$, where $t = s^m \in S$. □

Lemma 2.17. *Let R be an S-J-Noetherian and I be an J-ideal of R. Then, R/I is an $\overline{S}$-Noetherian ring.*

Proof. Let $\{I_i/I\}_{i\in\wedge}$ be an ascending chain of non-zero ideals of R/I. As a result, $\{I_i\}_{i\in\wedge}$ is an ascending chain of J-ideal of R and hence, by Theorem 2.5, there exist $s \in S$ and $k \in \wedge$ such that $sI_i \subseteq I_k$ for every $i \in \wedge$. Therefore, $(s+I)(I_i/I) \subseteq I_k/I$ for every $i \in \wedge$ and hence $(I_i/I)_{n\in\wedge}$ is $\overline{S}$-stationary. By [6, Theorem 2.3], R/I is $\overline{S}$-Noetherian. □

Recall that a ring R is said to be decomposable if R admits a non-trivial idempotent. Let $Idem(R)$ denote the set of idempotent elements of R.

Theorem 2.18. *Let R be a decomposable ring and J be an ideal of R with $eJ \neq (e)$ for each $e \in Idem(R) \setminus \{0,1\}$. Then, R is S-J-Noetherian if and only if R is S-Noetherian.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that if R is S-J-Noetherian, then R is S-Noetherian. To prove this, first we prove that $R/(e)$ is $\overline{S}$-Noetherian for each $e \in Idem(R) \setminus \{0,1\}$. Consider $e \in Idem(R) \setminus \{0,1\}$. Let L be an ideal of R which contains (e). Then $e \notin J$ since $eJ \neq (e)$, and so $L \nsubseteq J$. Thus, L is a J-ideal, and so by Lemma 2.17, R/L is $\overline{S}$-Noetherian. This implies that $R/(e)$ is $\overline{S}$-Noetherian since $(e) \subseteq L$. Now, let K be an ideal of R such that $K \subseteq (e)$ for each $e \in Idem(R) \setminus \{0,1\}$. We claim that K is S-finite. Clearly, $eK = K$. If $K = (0)$, then K is S-finite. So we may assume that $K \neq 0$. If $K \subseteq (1-e)$, then $eK \subseteq (e-e^2) = (0)$, i.e., $eK = K = 0$, a contradiction as $K \neq 0$. Therefore, $K \nsubseteq (1-e)$. Since $1-e \in Idem(R) \setminus \{0,1\}$, $R/(1-e)$ is a $\overline{S}$-Noetherian ring. Set $I = (1-e)$ for simplicity. Then, $L = (K+I)/I$ is an $\overline{S}$-finite ideal of R/I. Then there exist $\alpha_1 + I, \dots, \alpha_n + I \in R/I$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$ and $s' = s + I \in \overline{S}$ such that $s'L \subseteq (\alpha_1 + I, \dots, \alpha_n + I) \subseteq L$. Let $\beta \in K + I$. Then $\beta + I \in L$, and so $s\beta + I \in s'L \subseteq (\alpha_1 + I, \dots, \alpha_n + I)$. This implies that $s\beta + I = (u_1+I)(\alpha_1+I) + \cdots + (u_n+I)(\alpha_n+I)$ for some $u_1 + I, \dots, u_n + I \in R/I$. Consequently, $s\beta - (u_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + u_n\alpha_n) \in I$, $s\beta - (u_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + u_n\alpha_n) \in F$, where $F = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 1-e)$ since $I \subseteq F$. Thus, $s\beta \in F$, and hence $s(K + (1-e)) \subseteq F \subseteq K + (1-e)$. Therefore, $K + (1-e)$ is S-finite. Consequently, $K = Ke = (K+(1-e))e$ is an S-finite ideal of R, as claimed. Now, let T be an ideal of R. Since $eT \subseteq (e)$ and $(1-e)T \subseteq K+(1-e)T \subseteq K+(1-e)$ for each $e \in Idem(R) \setminus \{0,1\}$, eT and $(1-e)T$ are S-finite. It follows that $T = eT + (1-e)T$ is S-finite, and hence R is S-Noetherian ring. □

Definition 2.19. [14] *An ideal Q (disjoint from S) of the ring R is called S-irreducible if $s(I \cap K) \subseteq Q \subseteq I \cap K$ for some $s \in S$ and some ideals I, K of R, then there exists $s' \in S$ such that either $ss'I \subseteq Q$ or $ss'K \subseteq Q$.*

It is clear from the definition that every irreducible ideal is an S-irreducible ideal. However, the following example shows that an S-irreducibile ideal need not be irreducible.

Example 2.20. *Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus 3\mathbb{Z}$ and $I = 6\mathbb{Z}$. Since $I = 2\mathbb{Z} \cap 3\mathbb{Z}$, therefore I is not an irreducible ideal of R. Now, take $s = 2 \in S$. Then, $2(3\mathbb{Z}) = 6\mathbb{Z} \subseteq I$. Thus, I is an S-irreducible ideal of R.*

Recall [11, Definition 2.1], a proper ideal Q of a ring R disjoint from S is said to be S-primary if there exists an $s \in S$ such that for all $a, b \in R$, if $ab \in Q$, then either $sa \in Q$ or $sb \in rad(Q)$. Following from [14], let I be an ideal of R such that $I \cap S = \emptyset$. Then, I admits S-primary decomposition if I can be written as a finite intersection of S-primary ideals of R.

Now, we extend S-primary decomposition theorem for S-J-Noetherian rings. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.21. *Let R be an S-J-Noetherian ring. Then, every S-irreducible J-ideal of R is S-primary.*

Proof. Suppose Q is an S-irreducible J-ideal of R. Let $a, b \in R$ be such that $ab \in Q$ and $sb \notin Q$ for all $s \in S$. Our aim is to show that there exists $t \in S$ such that $ta \in rad(Q)$. Consider $A_n = \{x \in R \mid a^n x \in Q\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Q is a J ideal, there exists $\alpha \in Q \setminus J$. Then, $a^n \alpha \in Q$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $\alpha \in A_n$ but $\alpha \notin J$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, each A_n is a J-ideal of R and $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq \cdots$ is an increasing chain of ideals of R. Since R is a S-J-Noetherian, by Theorem 2.5, this chain is S-stationary, i.e., there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in S$ such that $sA_n \subseteq A_k$ for all $n \geq k$. Consider the two ideals $I = (a^k) + Q$ and $K = (b) + Q$ of R. Then, $Q \subseteq I \cap K$. For the reverse containment, let $y \in I \cap K$. Write $y = a^k z + q$ for some $z \in R$ and $q \in Q$. Since $ab \in Q$, $aK \subseteq Q$; whence $ay \in Q$. Now, $a^{k+1}z = a(a^k z) = a(y - q) \in Q$. This implies that $z \in A_{k+1}$, and so $sz \in sA_{k+1} \subseteq A_k$. Consequently, $a^k sz \in Q$ which implies that $a^k sz + sq = sy \in Q$. Thus, we have $s(I \cap K) \subseteq Q \subseteq I \cap K$. This implies that there exists $s' \in S$ such that either $ss'I \subseteq Q$ or $ss'K \subseteq Q$ since Q is S-irreducible. If $ss'K \subseteq Q$, then $ss'b \in Q$ which is not possible. Therefore, $ss'I \subseteq Q$ which implies that $ss'a^k \in Q$. Put $t = ss' \in S$. Then $(ta)^k \in Q$, and hence $ta \in rad(Q)$, as desired. □

Theorem 2.22. *Let R be an S-J-Noetherian ring. Then, every proper J-ideal of R disjoint with S can be written as a finite intersection of S-primary ideals.*

Proof. Let E be the collection of J-ideals of R which are disjoint with S and can not be written as a finite intersection of S-primary ideals. We wish to show $E = \emptyset$. On the contrary suppose $E \neq \emptyset$. Since R is an S-J-Noetherian ring, by Theorem 2.5, there exists an S-maximal element in E, say I. Evidently, I is not an S-primary ideal. Thus, by Lemma 2.21, I is not an S-irreducible ideal, and so I is not an irreducible ideal. This implies that $I = K \cap L$ for some ideals K and L of R with $I \neq K$ and $I \neq L$. As I is not S-irreducible, and so $sK \nsubseteq I$ and $sL \nsubseteq I$ for all $s \in S$. Now, we claim that $K, L \notin E$. For this, if K (respectively, L) belongs to E, then since I is an S-maximal element of E and $I \subset K$ (respectively, $I \subset L$), there exists s' (respectively, s'') from S such that $s'K \subseteq I$ (respectively, $s''L \subseteq I$). This is not possible, as I is not S-irreducible. Therefore, $K, L \notin E$. Also, if $K \cap S \neq \emptyset$ $(respectively, L \cap S \neq \emptyset)$, then there exist $s_1 \in K \cap S$ $(respectively, s_2 \in L \cap S)$. This implies that $s's_1 \in s'K \subseteq I$ $(respectively, s''s_2 \in s''L \subseteq I)$, which is a contradiction because I disjoint with S. Thus, K and L are also disjoint with S. This implies that K and L can be written as a finite intersection of S-primary ideals. Consequently, I can also be written as a finite intersection of S-primary ideals since $I = K \cap L$, a contradiction as $I \in E$. Thus, $E = \emptyset$, i.e., every proper J-ideal of R disjoint with S can be written as a finite intersection of S-primary ideals. □

References

[1] Hamed, Ahmed; Malek, Achraf. S-prime ideals of a commutative ring. *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **61** (2020), no. 3, 533–542. MR4127389. Zbl 1442.13010. doi: 10.1007/s13366-019-00476-5 743

[2] Alhazmy, Khaled; Almahdi, Fuad Ali Ahmed; Mahdou, Najib; Oubouhou, El Houssaine About j-Noetherian rings. *Open Math.* **22** (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 20240014, 11 pp. MR4746887, Zbl 1544.13026, doi: 10.1515/math-2024-0014. 737

[3] Anderson, D. D.; Dumitrescu, Tiberiu. S-Noetherian rings. *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), no. 9, 4407–4416. MR1936480, Zbl 1060.13007, doi: 10.1081/AGB-120013328. 737, 738, 739, 741, 742

[4] Anderson, D. D.; Winders, Michael. Idealization of a module. *J. Commut. Algebra* **1** (2009), no. 1, 3–56. MR2462381, Zbl 1194.13002, doi: 10.1216/JCA-2009-1-1-3. 742

[5] Badawi, Ayman. On nonnil-Noetherian Rings. *Commun. Algebra* **31** (2003), 1669–1677. MR1972886, Zbl 1018.13010, doi: 10.1081/AGB-120018502. 738, 743

[6] Bilgin, Zehra; Reyes, Manuel L.; Tekir, Ünsal. On right S-Noetherian rings and S-Noetherian modules. *Commun. Algebra* **46** (2018), 863–869. MR3764903, Zbl 1410.16026, arXiv:1701.07207, doi: 10.1080/00927872.2017.1338803. 740, 743

[7] Dabbabi, Abdelamir; Benhissi, Ali. On non-J-Noetherian rings. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **73** (2024), no. 7, 2603–2611. MR4825024, Zbl 1552.13024, doi: 10.1007/s12215-024-01060-0. 737, 738

[8] Kim, Hwankoo; Mahdou, Najib; Zahir, Yousef. S-Noetherian in bi-amalgamations. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **58** (2021), 1021–1029. MR4294263, Zbl 1478.13026, doi: 10.4134/BKMS.b200784. 737

[9] Lim, Jung Wook; Oh, Dong Yeol. S-Noetherian properties on amalgamated algebras along an ideal. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **218** (2014), no. 6, 1075–1080. MR3153613, Zbl 1282.13009, doi: 10.1016/j.jpaa.2013.11.003. 737

[10] Mahdou, Najib; Oubouhou, El Houssaine; Yetkin Celikel, Ece. On nonnil-S-Noetherian and nonnil-u-S-Noetherian rings. *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat.* **32** (2024), no. 1, 201–219. MR4754898, Zbl 1574.13007, doi: 10.2478/auom-2024-0011. 742

[11] Massaoud, Essebti. S-primary ideals of a commutative ring. *Commun. Algebra* **50** (2022), no. 3, 988–997. MR4379651, Zbl 1481.13007, doi: 10.1080/00927872.2021.1977939. 744

[12] Rostami, Esmaeil. On strongly J-Noetherian rings. *J. Algebra Appl.* **21** (2022), no. 7, Paper No. 2250144, 13 pp. MR4448427, Zbl 1491.13006, doi: 10.1142/S0219498822501444. 737

[13] Singh, Tushar; Ansari, Ajim Uddin; Kumar, Shiv Datt. S-Noetherian rings, modules and their generalizations. *Surv. Math. Appl.* **18** (2023), 163–182. MR4657507, Zbl 1537.13035. 737

[14] Singh, Tushar; Ansari, Ajim Uddin; Kumar, Shiv Datt. Existence and uniqueness of S-primary decomposition in S-Noetherian modules. *Commun. Algebra.* **52** (2024), no. 10, 4515–4524. MR4780151, Zbl 1565.13010, doi: 10.1080/00927872.2024.2350598. 738, 744

(Tushar Singh) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MOTILAL NEHRU NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ALLAHABAD, PRAYAGRAJ-211004, INDIA
sjstusharsingh0019@gmail.com

(Ajim Uddin Ansari) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CMP DEGREE COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF ALLAHABAD, PRAYAGRAJ-211002, INDIA
ajimmatau@gmail.com

(Shiv Datt kumar) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MOTILAL NEHRU NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ALLAHABAD, PRAYAGRAJ-211004, INDIA
sdt@mnnit.ac.in

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2026/32-30.html.