# New York Journal of Mathematics

New York J. Math. 29 (2023) 708-738.

# On the two types of affine structures for degenerating Kummer surfaces –non-archimedean vs Gromov-Hausdorff limits–

## Keita Goto

ABSTRACT. Kontsevich and Soibelman constructed integral affine manifolds with singularities (IAMS, for short) for maximal degenerations of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds in a non-Archimedean way. On the other hand, for each maximally degenerating family of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds, we can consider the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of the fibers. It is expected that this Gromov-Hausdorff limit carries an IAMS-structure. Kontsevich and Soibelman conjectured that these two types of IAMS are the same. This conjecture is believed in the mirror symmetry context. In this paper, we prove the above conjecture for maximal degenerations of polarized Kummer surfaces.

#### CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	708
2.	Preliminaries and Notation	710
3.	Degenerations of Kummer surfaces	713
4.	Non-Archimedean SYZ Fibration	721
5.	Affine Structures for Degenerations of Kummer Surfaces	724
References		736

### 1. Introduction

**1.1.** At the end of the 20th century, in order to formulate what is called mirror symmetry, several approaches have been proposed. One of them is due to Strominger, Yau and Zaslow [28]. In *op.cit.*, they gave a geometric interpretation for mirror symmetry and proposed a conjecture called the SYZ conjecture. Gross and Siebert provided an algebro-geometric interpretation of the SYZ conjecture [12]. It is known as the Gross-Siebert program. In this program, it is important to construct an integral affine manifold with singularities (IAMS, for short) from

Received March 15, 2022.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 14D06, 14G22, 14T20.

*Key words and phrases.* Non-archimedean geometry, Kummer Surface, SYZ conjecture, Kontsevich-Soibelman conjecture 3.

This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20J23401.

degeneration of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds, and vice versa. For a (toric) degeneration of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds, they extracted the polyhedral decomposition and the fan structure for each vertex and gave an IAMS structure to the dual intersection complex based on them, and vice versa.

Kontsevich and Soibelman constructed an IAMS structure of the dual intersection complex in a non-Archimedean way [17]. The exact definition will be given later (§4), but for now, we call it *non-Archimedean SYZ Picture*. In [*op.cit.*, §4.2], they mentioned the specific IAMS structure for the degeneration of K3 surfaces defined by

$$\{x_0x_1x_2x_3 + tP_4(x) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times \Delta,$$

where  $x = [x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3]$  are homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $\Delta$  is a (formal) disk with a (formal) parameter *t* and  $P_4$  is a generic homogeneous polynomial of degree 4. For general degenerations of K3 surfaces, however, the specific affine structures constructed in this way are not well known.

The main goal of this paper is to reveal the IAMS structure constructed in the non-Archimedean SYZ Picture for degenerations of Kummer surfaces. Further, we clarify the sense of what is called 'Collapse Picture' in [17] related to the Gromov-Hausdorff limit (In this paper, we also call it '*Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture*') and prove the following conjecture appeared in *op.cit.* for degenerations of Kummer surfaces.

**Conjecture 1.2** ([17, Conjecture 3]). For maximal degenerating polarized algebraic Calabi-Yau varieties, the IAMS structure induced by Collapse Picture coincides with the IAMS structure induced by non-Archimedean SYZ Picture.

That is, the following is the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 1.3** (= Theorem 5.36, [17, Conjecture 3] for Kummer surfaces). For maximal degenerations of polarized Kummer surfaces, the IAMS structure induced by Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture coincides with the IAMS structure induced by non-Archimedean SYZ Picture up to scaling.

In the process of proving this, we prove that the non-Archimedean SYZ Picture for polarized Kummer surfaces is explicitly described by the degeneration data as in [6] (= Theorem 5.19). We note that it does not depend on the polarization as we will state in Remark 5.21. On the other hand, when we consider the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of the fibers, we need the polarization. At first glance, the Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture seems to depend on the polarization, however, the above Theorem 1.3 implies that it does not depend on the polarization. In addition, we prove that [17, Conjecture 3] for abelian surfaces also holds (=Theorem 5.35).

**1.4.** Here is a brief description of the structure of this paper. In §2, we introduce some notation and collect some basic facts for subsequent discussions. In §3, we recall Künnemann's construction of projective models of abelian varieties with finite group actions. It is a modification of Mumford's construction by

which we can construct a semi-abelian degeneration from degeneration data. In Künnemann's construction, it is important to construct a cone decomposition associated with the degeneration. Further, the cone decomposition is also important for non-Archimedean SYZ Picture as we will see. In applying Künnemann's construction to the proof of our main theorem, we will modify his method due to technical problems (= Lemma 3.18). In  $\S4$ , we recall non-Archimedean SYZ fibration that is originally introduced in [17]. We use terminology based on [23]. In op.cit., the authors dealt with 'good' minimal dlt-models with a technical assumption. However, since we will only deal with snc-models here, some definitions have been simplified accordingly. In op.cit., they proved that a singular locus of an IAMS induced by non-Archimedean SYZ fibration is of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Further, they proved the uniqueness of what they call *piecewise* integral affine structures. It is more of topological structures. In contrast, our results of this paper focus on the IAMS structure, and we describe it explicitely. In §5, we prove Theorem 1.3. To this end, we combine the tools introduced in the previous sections to show what exactly happens to the non-Archimedean Picture of an Abelian variety with a finite group action. Further, we define the Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture and observe some properties about it. By comparing the two IAMS structures for degenerations of Kummer surfaces, we prove Theorem 1.3.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Professor *Yuji Odaka* for a lot of suggestive advice and productive discussions. I learned radiance obstructions and integral affine structures from Dr. *Yuto Yamamoto* and Mr. *Yuki Tsutsui*. I am grateful to them. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20J23401.

### 2. Preliminaries and Notation

**2.1.** In this paper, we fix the notation as follows: Let *R* be a complete discrete valuation ring (cDVR, for short) with uniformizing parameter *t* and algebraically closed residue field *k*. We note that we start with the residue field *k* of an arbitrary characteristic, but later we make the condition stronger. Let S = SpecR, and let  $\eta$  be the generic point of *S*. We denote by  $K = \mathcal{O}_{S,\eta}$  the fraction field of *R*. Let  $|\cdot|$  be the valuation on *K* uniquely determined by  $|t| = e^{-1}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let *X* be a locally Noetherian scheme and let *D* be an effective Cartier divisor on *X*. Let  $D_1, ..., D_r$  be the irreducible components of *D* endowed with the reduced induced closed subscheme structure. For each subset  $J \subseteq \{1, ..., r\}$ , we denote by  $D_J$  the scheme-theoretic intersection  $\cap_{j \in J} D_j$ . If  $J = \emptyset$ , we note  $D_{\emptyset} := X$ .

An effective divisor *D* on *X* is said to be with *strict normal crossings* if it satisfies the following.

- D is reduced.
- For each point x of D, the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is regular.

For each nonempty set J ⊆ {1,...,r}, the scheme D<sub>J</sub> is regular and of codimension |J| in X.

**2.3.** Let *X* be a smooth *K*-variety, where the characteristic of *K* is assumed to be zero. A *model* of *X* is a flat *R*-algebraic space  $\mathscr{X}$  endowed with an isomorphism  $\mathscr{X}_K (= \mathscr{X} \times_S \text{Spec}K) \to X$ . (We do not assume properness and quasicompactness.) An *snc-model of X* (or, a *semistable model of X*) is a regular model  $\mathscr{X}$  of *X* such that  $\mathscr{X}$  is a scheme and the central fiber  $\mathscr{X}_k (= \mathscr{X} \times_S \text{Spec}k)$  is a divisor with strict normal crossings. By the semistable reduction theorem [16, Chapter 4 §3], there exists a finite extension *K'* of *K* such that  $X \times_K K'$  has an snc-model over the integral closure of *R* in *K'*. Further, if *X* is projective, then we can obtain a projective snc-model.

**Definition 2.4** (Kulikov Model). Let *X* be a geometrically integral smooth projective variety over *K* with  $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . A *Kulikov model* of *X* is a regular algebraic space  $\mathscr{X}$  that is proper and flat over *S* with the following properties:

- The algebraic space  $\mathscr{X}$  is a model of *X*.
- The special fiber  $\mathscr{X}_k$  of  $\mathscr{X}$  is a reduced scheme.
- The special fiber  $\mathscr{X}_k$  has strict normal crossings on  $\mathscr{X}$ .
- $\omega_{\mathscr{X}/S}$  is trivial.

**2.5.** If a Kulikov model  $\mathscr{X}$  of *X* is a scheme, then  $\mathscr{X}$  is an snc-model.

**Definition 2.6.** A *stratification* of a scheme *X* is a *not necessarily* finite set  $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of locally closed subsets, called the *strata*, such that every point of *X* is in exactly one stratum, and such that the closure of a stratum is a finite union of strata. We note that a stratification in the sense of [18, (1.3)] (or [16, p.56]) had to be a finite set.

**2.7.** For an snc-model  $\mathscr{X}$ , the special fiber  $\mathscr{X}_k$  induces a stratification of  $\mathscr{X}_k$  naturally. We denote by  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$  the *dual intersection complex* of the special fiber  $\mathscr{X}_k$  with respect to this stratification.

**2.8.** For an *R*-scheme  $\mathscr{X}$ , we denote by  $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{for}}$  the formal completion of  $\mathscr{X}$  along the special fiber  $\mathscr{X}_k$ . If  $\mathscr{X}$  is covered by open affine subschemes of the form  $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\alpha}$ , the formal completion  $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{for}}$  is obtained by glueing open formal subschemes of the form  $\operatorname{Spf} \hat{A}_{\alpha}$  together, where  $\hat{A}_{\alpha}$  is the *t*-adic completion of  $A_{\alpha}$ . In particular, for flat *R*-scheme  $\mathscr{X}$  locally of finite type, the formal completion  $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbf{for}}$  is a flat formal *R*-scheme locally of finite type. Here, a *flat formal R*-scheme locally of finite type (resp. admissible formal *R*-scheme) means that it is covered by *not necessarily* finitely many (resp. finitely many) open formal subschemes of the form  $\operatorname{Spf} \mathscr{A}_{\alpha}$ , where  $\mathscr{A}_{\alpha}$  is an admissible *R*-algebra.

**2.9.** For *R*-algebra  $\mathscr{A}$ , we write  $\mathscr{A}_K$  (resp.  $\mathscr{A}_k$ ) instead of  $\mathscr{A} \otimes_R K$  (resp.  $\mathscr{A} \otimes_R k$ ). We can consider a functor called *the Raynaud generic fiber* 

 $-_{rig}$ : {flat formal *R*-schemes locally of finite type}  $\rightarrow$  {rigid *K*-spaces}.

The functor is constructed by sending an affine admissible formal *R*-scheme Spf  $\mathscr{A}$  to the *K*-affinoid space Sp $\mathscr{A}_K$ , where the underlying space of Sp $\mathscr{A}_K$  is the

set Max $\mathscr{A}_K$  of all maximal ideals of  $\mathscr{A}_K$  equipped with the weak topology with respect to  $\mathscr{A}_K$  and the *G*-topology (cf. [4, 9.1.4]). This functor first appeared in [26]. It is known that this functor preserves fiber products. Let  $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Y}$  be a morphism between formal *R*-schemes locally of finite type. If  $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{Y}$  is a finite morphism (resp. closed immersion, open immersion, immersion, separated morphism), then  $f_{rig} : \mathfrak{X}_{rig} \to \mathfrak{Y}_{rig}$  is a finite morphism (resp. closed immersion, separated morphism).

**2.10.** Berkovich gave the fully faithful functor

 $-_0$ : {separated strictly *K*-analytic spaces }  $\rightarrow$  {rigid *K*-spaces} in the process of basing his analytic spaces (cf. [2, §3.3]). This functor preserves fiber products. In addition, the following also holds.

**Proposition 2.11** ([2, Proposition 3.3.2]). Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a morphism between separated strictly K-analytic spaces,  $f : X \to Y$  is a finite morphism (resp. closed immersion, open immersion, immersion, separated morphism) if and only if  $f_0 : X_0 \to Y_0$  is a finite morphism (resp. closed immersion, open immersion, immersion, separated morphism).

For a flat formal *R*-scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$  locally of finite type, there is a unique strictly *K*-analytic space *X* such that  $\mathfrak{X}_{rig} \cong X_0$ . For simplicity of notation, we use the letter  $\mathfrak{X}_{ber}$  for this *X*. In particular, a *K*-affinoid space  $Sp\mathscr{A}_K$  corresponds to the Berkovich Spectrum  $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A}_K)$ , where  $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A}_K)$  is the set of all bounded multiplicative seminorm on  $\mathscr{A}_K$  equipped with the weak topology with respect to  $\mathscr{A}_K$  and the *G*-topology (cf. [2, §2, §3]). We note that  $\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A}_K) \subset X$  is closed but not necessarily open, although  $Sp\mathscr{A}_K \subset X_0$  is a closed and open set. That is, we regard the Raynaud generic fiber as the functor from the category of flat formal *R*-schemes locally of finite type to the category of separated strictly *K*-analytic spaces. By abuse of notation, we write  $\mathscr{X}_{ber}$  for  $(\mathscr{X}_{for})_{ber}$  for a flat *R*-scheme  $\mathscr{X}$  locally of finite type.

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a flat formal *R*-scheme locally of finite type. Then we can consider *the reduction map*  $\operatorname{red}_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}_{\operatorname{ber}} \to \mathfrak{X}$ . Locally this map  $\operatorname{red}_{\mathfrak{X}}|_{\mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A}_K)} : \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A}_K) \to \operatorname{Spf} \mathscr{A} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathscr{A}_k$  is defined as follows: A point  $x \in \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{A}_K)$  can be seen as a multiplicative seminorm on  $\mathscr{A}_K$  that is bounded by the equipped norm on  $\mathscr{A}_K$ . Since  $\mathscr{A}$  is an admissible *R*-algebra, the restriction of the equipped norm on  $\mathscr{A}_K$  to  $\mathscr{A}$  is bounded by 1. Hence, the restriction of *x* to  $\mathscr{A}$  is also bounded by 1. Then,

$$\mathfrak{p}_x := \{ f \in \mathscr{A} \mid |f(x)| < 1 \} \subset \mathscr{A}$$

is a prime ideal of  $\mathscr{A}$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{p}_x \in \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathscr{A}_k} = \operatorname{Spf}_{\mathscr{A}}$ . Then we denote by  $\operatorname{red}_{\mathfrak{X}}(x)$  the point corresponding to this prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_x$ . If  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathscr{X}_{for}$  for some flat *R*-scheme  $\mathscr{X}$  locally of finite type, we write  $\operatorname{red}_{\mathscr{X}}$  instead of  $\operatorname{red}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ . In the author's previous work [9], the image  $\operatorname{red}_{\mathscr{X}}(x)$  by the reduction map is called the center of *x*.

**2.13.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a flat formal *R*-scheme locally of finite type. Then the reduction map red<sub> $\mathfrak{X}$ </sub> :  $\mathfrak{X}_{ber} \to \mathfrak{X}$  is anti-continuous and surjective.

Please refer to [2, §2.4] for details.

**Definition 2.14.** Let *B* be an real *n*-dimensional manifold. An *affine struc*ture (resp. *integral affine structure*) on *B* is an atlas  $\{(U_i, \psi_i)\}$  of *B* consisting of coordinate charts  $\psi_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , whose transition functions  $\psi_i \circ \psi_j^{-1}$  lie in  $Aff(\mathbb{R}^n) := \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes GL(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (resp.  $Aff(\mathbb{Z}^n) := \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes GL(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ ). A pair of *B* and an affine structure (resp. integral affine structure) on *B* is called an *affine manifold* (resp. an *integral affine manifold*). Further, *B* is called an *integral affine manifold with singularities (IAMS*, for short) if *B* is a  $C^0$ -manifold with an open set  $B^{\text{sm}} \subset B$  that has an integral affine structure, and such that  $Z := B \setminus B^{\text{sm}}$  is a locally finite union of locally closed submanifolds of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Here, *Z* is called a *singular locus* of *B*. The pair of this integral affine manifold  $B^{\text{sm}}$ and *B* is called *IAMS structure* of *B*.

### 3. Degenerations of Kummer surfaces

First, we introduce some important results from [18] (cf. [6]).

**3.1.** Let *G* be a semi-abelian scheme over *R*. That is, *G* is a smooth separated group scheme of finite type over *S* whose geometric fibers are extensions of Abelian varieties by algebraic tori. We assume that  $G_{\eta}$  is Abelian variety. Let  $\mathscr{L}$  be a line bundle on *G* such that  $\mathscr{L}_{\eta}$  is ample on  $G_{\eta}$ . Then we obtain the Raynaud extension

$$0 \to T \to \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} A \to 0$$

associated with *G* and  $\mathscr{L}$ , where *T* is an algebraic torus, *A* an Abelian scheme, and  $\tilde{G}$  a semi-abelian scheme over *S*. If the abelian part *A* is trivial, *G* is called *maximally degenerated*. We note that we need to choose such a line bundle  $\mathscr{L}$  to obtain this Raynaud extension. However, this extension is independent of the choice of  $\mathscr{L}$ . The line bundle  $\mathscr{L}$  induces a line bundle  $\mathscr{L}$  on  $\tilde{G}$ . We assume that all line bundles have cubical structures as well as [18, (1.7)]. In this paper, we shall use the categories  $\mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$  and  $\mathbf{DD}_{ample}^{split}$  introduced by [18]. Each category is a subcategory of  $\mathbf{DEG}_{ample}$  and  $\mathbf{DD}_{ample}$  as constructed in [6], respectively. In particular, there is an equivalence of categories  $\mathbf{M}_{ample}$  :  $\mathbf{DD}_{ample} \rightarrow \mathbf{DEG}_{ample}$  (See [6, Chapter III, Corollary 7.2]). We denote  $F_{ample}$ by the inverse of this functor. Originally,  $F_{ample}$  is a more naturally determined functor, and its inverse,  $\mathbf{M}_{ample}$ , is the non-trivial functor.

Objects of the category  $\mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$  of split ample degenerations are triples  $(G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ , where *G* is a semi-abelian scheme over *S* such that *T* is a *split torus* over *S*,  $\mathcal{L}$  a cubical invertible sheaf on *G* such that  $\mathcal{L}_{\eta}$  is ample on  $G_{\eta}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  a cubical ample invertible sheaf on *A* such that  $\mathcal{L} = \pi^* \mathcal{M}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{M}$  is trivial when *G* is maximally degenerated. By definition of the algebraic torus, every ample degeneration  $(G, \mathcal{L})$  becomes split after a finite extension of the base scheme *S*.

On the other hand, objects of the category  $\mathbf{DD}_{ample}^{split}$  of split ample degeneration data are tuples

$$(A, M, L, \phi, c, c^t, \tilde{G}, \iota, \tau, \tilde{\mathscr{L}}, \mathscr{M}, \lambda_A, \psi, a, b).$$

Here, *M* and *L* are free Abelian groups of the same finite rank *r*, and  $\phi : L \to M$  is an injective homomorphism. Functions  $a : L \to \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b : L \times M \to \mathbb{Z}$  are determined by  $\psi$  and  $\tau$ , respectively. We note that *M* reflects the information of the Raynaud extension (or more precisely, its split torus part),  $\phi$  reflects the information of polarization, *a* and *b* reflect the information of  $G_{\eta}$ -action. In particular,  $(G, \mathcal{L})$  is called *principally polarized* if the morphism  $\phi$  induced by  $\mathbf{M}_{ample}$  is an isomorphism. Since we will not use the rest in this paper, the rest is omitted. Please refer to [18] for more details.

We note that there is an equivalence of categories  $F : \mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split} \to \mathbf{DD}_{ample}^{split}$ (cf. [18, (2.8)]). This functor is defined by the restriction of  $F_{ample} = \mathbf{M}_{ample}^{-1}$ :  $\mathbf{DEG}_{ample} \to \mathbf{DD}_{ample}$  to  $\mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$ .

**3.2.** The key idea of [18] is to construct rational polyhedral cone decompositions that give us the relatively complete model as in [21]. To construct them, we shall use the category C introduced by [18, §3] (cf. [25]).

Objects of the category C are tuples  $(M, L, \phi, a, b)$ , where M and L are free Abelian groups of the same finite rank,  $\phi : L \to M$  is an injective homomorphism,  $a : L \to \mathbb{Z}$  is a function with a(0) = 0, and  $b : L \times M \to \mathbb{Z}$  is a bilinear pairing such that  $b(-, \phi(-))$  is symmetric, positive definite, and satisfies

$$a(l + l') - a(l) - a(l') = b(l, \phi(l')).$$

There is a natural forgetful functor **For** :  $\mathbf{DD}_{ample}^{split} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . This function extracts the information necessary to construct rational polyhedral cone decompositions from the degeneration data  $\mathbf{DD}_{ample}^{split}$ .

**3.3.** We set S' = SpecR', where R' is another cDVR and  $\eta'$  is its generic point. Let  $f : S' \to S$  be a finite flat morphism, let  $\nu$  be the degree of  $f^* : K = \mathcal{O}_{S,\eta} \hookrightarrow K' = \mathcal{O}_{S',\eta'}$ .

In fact,  $\mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$  and  $\mathbf{DD}_{ample}^{split}$  depend on the base field *K*. That is,  $\mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{DD}_{ample}^{split}$ ) should have been written as  $\mathbf{DEG}_{ample,K}^{split}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{DD}_{ample,K}^{split}$ ). In particular, these categories are not closed under base change along  $f : S' \to S$ . However, since we are dealing with degenerations after sufficient finite extension, these abbreviations do not cause any problem.

On the other hand,  $\mathcal{C}$  does not depend on the base field *K*. Let us see what happens when we take the base change along  $f : S' \to S$ .

Given  $(G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathbf{DEG}_{\mathrm{ample},K}^{\mathrm{split}}$ , let  $(G', \mathcal{L}', \mathcal{M}') \in \mathbf{DEG}_{\mathrm{ample},K'}^{\mathrm{split}}$  be the base change of  $(G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  along  $f : S' \to S$ . If  $\mathbf{For}(F(G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})) = (M, L, \phi, a, b) \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\mathbf{For}(F(G', \mathcal{L}', \mathcal{M}')) \cong (M, L, \phi, \nu \cdot a, \nu \cdot b)$  (cf. [18, (2.9)]).

**3.4.** Let *H* be a finite group acting on  $(G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$ . It means that we can regard each  $h \in H$  as the *S*-automorphism  $h : (G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \to (G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  and these morphisms are compatible in a natural way. Further, we also define the action of *H* on  $F((G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})) \in \mathbf{DD}_{ample}^{split}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{For}(F((G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}))) \in \mathcal{C})$ . See [18, (2.10)] for details. Note that we assume that *H* acts trivially on *S* in this paper.

**3.5.** Given an object **For**( $F(G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ ) = ( $M, L, \phi, a, b$ )  $\in C$  on which the finite group H acts as 3.4, we obtain an action (from the left) of H on L, and an action (from the right) of H on M. We set  $\Gamma := L \rtimes H$  and  $\tilde{M} := M \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we denote by N (resp.  $\tilde{N}$ ) the dual of M (resp.  $\tilde{M}$ ). Let  $\langle -, - \rangle : \tilde{M} \times \tilde{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  be *the canonical pairing*.

Now we define the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\tilde{N} = N \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  via

$$S_{(l,h)}((n,s)) := (n \circ h + sb(l, -), s),$$

as in [18, p.181]. As we will now explain, this action reflects the natural action of  $\Gamma$  on  $T_{\eta} = \operatorname{Spec}K[M]$ , where *T* is a split torus part of  $\tilde{G}$ . At first, we identify  $\tilde{m} = (m, k) \in \tilde{M}$  with  $t^k X^m \in K[M]$ . In the proof of [18, Lemma 3.7], the action of *L* on  $T_{\eta} = \operatorname{Spec}K[M]$  induced by the natural action of  $T_{\eta}$  is defined as follows:

$$l: \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}, (m, s) \mapsto (m, b(l, m) + s).$$

We can easily verify that this action is dual to the action  $S_{(l,Id)}$  in the sense of  $\langle l \cdot \tilde{m}, \tilde{n} \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}, S_{(l,Id)}(\tilde{n}) \rangle$ . In the same way, we can easily check that the action of  $h \in H = \{\pm 1\}$  on *T* is dual to  $S_{(0,h)}$ . Hence, the action of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  on *T* corresponds to  $S_{\gamma}$  on  $\tilde{N}$ .

In addition, we consider the function  $\chi : \Gamma \times \tilde{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\chi((l,h),(n,s)) = sa(l) + n \circ \phi \circ h^{-1}(l)$$

as in [18, p.181].

In  $\tilde{N}_{\mathbb{R}} = N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , we have the cone  $\mathscr{C} := (N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{>0}) \cup \{0\}$ . The cone  $\mathscr{C}$  is stable under the action of  $\Gamma$ . We shall consider a smooth  $\Gamma$ -admissible rational polyhedral cone decomposition  $\Sigma := \{\sigma_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  which admits a  $\Gamma$ -admissible  $\kappa$ -twisted polarization function  $\varphi : \mathscr{C} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \sigma_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{R}$  for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us take a moment to recall these definitions.

**Definition 3.6.** A rational polyhedral cone decomposition  $\Sigma := \{\sigma_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $\mathscr{C}$  is said to be  $\Gamma$ -*admissible* if the above action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathscr{C}$  causes a bijection from I to itself (that is, for any  $\sigma_{\alpha} \in \Sigma$  and any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , there exists  $\beta \in I$  such that  $\sigma_{\beta} = \gamma(\sigma_{\alpha})$  in  $\mathscr{C}$ .) and we can take a system of finitely many representatives  $\{\sigma_{\alpha}\}$  for the action of  $\Gamma$  (that is, there are at most finitely many orbits).

For any cone  $\sigma_{\alpha} \in \Sigma$ , there exists  $l_1, \dots l_d \in \tilde{N}$  such that

$$\sigma_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} l_i$$

In particular, each  $l_i \in \tilde{N}$  corresponds to a 1-dimensional face of  $\sigma_{\alpha}$ . Here, note that each  $l_i \in \tilde{N}$  is not necessarily primitive. The cone  $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sum_i \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} l_i$  is said to be a *simplex* if  $l_1, \ldots, l_d \in \tilde{N}$  are linearly independent in  $\tilde{N}$ . Further, the cone  $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sum_i \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} l_i$  is said to be *smooth* if  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  is a simplex and  $l_1, \ldots, l_d \in \tilde{N}$  form a part of a basis of  $\tilde{N}$ . Similarly, the decomposition  $\Sigma$  is said to be *smooth* if each  $\sigma_{\alpha} \in \Sigma$  is simplex. Further, the decomposition  $\Sigma$  is said to be *smooth* if each simplex  $\sigma_{\alpha} \in \Sigma$  is smooth.

A function  $\varphi : \mathscr{C} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \sigma_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{R}$  is called *polarization function* associated with  $\Sigma$  if it satisfies the following properties:

- $\varphi$  is continuous function that satisfies  $\varphi(\tilde{N} \cap \mathscr{C}) \subset \mathbb{Z}$
- $\varphi(rx) = r\varphi(x)$ , for any  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$
- The restriction  $\varphi|_{\sigma_{\alpha}}$  to each cone  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  is a linear function
- $\varphi$  is strictly convex function for  $\Sigma$ . That is, for any  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}$  such that  $\langle \tilde{m}, \tilde{n} \rangle \ge r\varphi(\tilde{n})$  for all  $\tilde{n} \in \mathscr{C}$  and  $\sigma = \{\tilde{n} \in \mathscr{C} \mid \langle \tilde{m}, \tilde{n} \rangle = r\varphi(\tilde{n})\}$

A polarization function  $\varphi : \mathscr{C} \to \mathbb{R}$  is called  $\kappa$ -twisted  $\Gamma$ -admissible for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$  if it satisfies  $\varphi(x) - \varphi \circ S_{\gamma}(x) = \kappa \chi(\gamma, x)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma, x \in \mathscr{C}$ . When  $\kappa$  is not important, it is often referred to as  $\Gamma$ -admissible polarization for short.

We denote by  $I^d \subset I$  the set of the indices corresponding to the *d*-dimensional cones of  $\Sigma$ . We set  $I^+ := \bigcup_{d>0} I^d$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is  $\Gamma$ -admissible, the group  $\Gamma$  acts on each  $I^d$ . Overkamp combines various Theorems and Propositions in [18] into the following result [25, Theorem 2.2]:

**Theorem 3.7** ([18], [25, Theorem 2.2]). We set a semi-abelian degeneration  $(G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$  and assume that H acts on this object as (3.4). We denote by  $\mathscr{A}$  the Néron model of the Abelian variety  $A := G_{\eta}$ . Let  $(M, L, \phi, a, b) :=$ **For** $(F((G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})))$  and suppose we have a smooth  $\Gamma$ -admissible rational polyhedral cone decomposition  $\Sigma := \{\sigma_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $\mathscr{C} \subset \tilde{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Furthermore we assume that this decomposition  $\Sigma$  has the following properties:

- (a) There exists a κ-twisted Γ-admissible polarization function φ for the decomposition Σ.
- (b) The decomposition Σ is semistable. That is, the primitive element of any onedimensional cone of the decomposition Σ is of the form (n, 1) for some n ∈ N.
- (c) The cone  $\sigma_T = \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  is contained in the decomposition  $\Sigma$ .
- (d) For all  $l \in L \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\alpha \in I$ , it holds that

$$\sigma_{\alpha} \cap S_{(l,\mathrm{Id})}(\sigma_{\alpha}) = \{0\}.$$

Then there exists a projective snc model  $\mathscr{P}$  of A over S associated to  $\Sigma$  and a line bundle  $\mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{P}}$  such that the following holds:

- (i) The canonical morphism  $\mathscr{P}^{sm} \to \mathscr{A}$  is an isomorphism.
- (ii) The action of H on G = A<sup>0</sup> extends uniquely to 𝒫, and the restriction of L<sub>𝒫</sub> to G is isomorphic to L<sup>⊗κ</sup>, where A<sup>0</sup> means the identity component of A.

(iii) Let  $I_L^+$  be the set of orbits  $I_L^+ := I^+/L$ . Then the reduced special fiber of  $\mathscr{P}$  has a stratification indexed by  $I_L^+$ . This stratification is preserved by the action of H, and the induced action of H on the set of strata is determined by the action of H on  $I_L^+$ .

**3.8.** Let us discuss  $\mathscr{P}$ , which appears in Theorem 3.7. For each cone  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , we define the affine scheme  $U_{\sigma} := \operatorname{Spec} R[\sigma^{\vee} \cap \tilde{M}]$ , where  $\sigma^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{monoid}}(\sigma, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  and we identify  $\tilde{m} = (m, k) \in \tilde{M}$  with  $t^k X^m \in K[M]$ . Then we can define  $\mathscr{P}$  by glueing these  $U_{\sigma}$  together as in [18, 1.13]. In particular, we obtain the toroidal embedding  $T_{\eta} = \operatorname{Speck}[M] \hookrightarrow \mathscr{P}$  as in *loc.cit*. This  $\mathscr{P}$  is called the *toroidal* compactification of  $T_{\eta} = \operatorname{SpecK}[M]$  over R associated with  $\Sigma$ . Further, the cone  $\sigma_T$  induces the embedding  $T_{\eta} \hookrightarrow T = U_{\sigma_T} = \operatorname{Spec}R[M]$ . It implies that the troidal embedding  $T_{\eta} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{P}$  extends to a T-equivariant embedding  $T \hookrightarrow \mathscr{P}$ . The special fiber of  $\mathscr{P}$  is a reduced divisor with strict normal crossings on  $\mathscr{P}$  and has a stratification indexed by  $I^+$ .

If  $(G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$  is maximally dagenerated, then the above  $\mathcal{P}$  of Theorem 3.7 satisfies  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{for}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{for}}/L$ . Then, this  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  is also called relatively complete model as in [21]. In general, the above  $\mathcal{P}$  is constructed by taking a contraction product  $\tilde{G} \times^T \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ , which we do not use in this paper. See [18, §3.6] for the details.

**3.9.** In [15, Theorem 5.1.6], they proved this  $\mathscr{P}$  is a Kulikov model of *A* (cf. [25, Corollary 2.8]).

**3.10.** For the tuple  $(M, L, \phi, a, b) := \mathbf{For}(F((G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}))), b$  gives the injective homomorphism  $\tilde{b} : L \to N = M^{\vee}$  defined by  $\tilde{b}(l) = b(l, -)$ . We identify *L* with  $\tilde{b}(L)$ . That is, we regard *L* as the sublattice of *N*. As we see before,  $\Gamma$  act on  $\tilde{N}$  as follows:

$$S_{(l,h)}((n,s)) = (n \circ h + sb(l), s)$$

In particular,

$$S_{(l,h)}((n,1)) = (n \circ h + \tilde{b}(l), 1)$$

**3.11.** Künnemann proved the existence of the cone decomposition  $\Sigma$  which satisfies the assumption of Theorem 3.7 as follows:

**Proposition 3.12** ([18, Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 4.7]). We set the tuple  $(G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$ , and assume that the finite group H acts on this object. Let  $(M, L, \phi, a, b) := \mathbf{For}(F((G, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})))$ . After taking a base change along  $f : S' \to S$  as in (3.3) if necessary, there exists a smooth rational polyhedral cone decomposition  $\Sigma := \{\sigma_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  which has the properties (a)-(d) listed in Theorem 3.7.

**3.13.** Now we recall Künnemann's proof of the above Proposition 3.12. Please refer to *loc.cit.* for more details. We consider the function  $\varphi : \mathscr{C} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\tilde{n} \mapsto \min_{l \in L} \chi(l, \tilde{n}),$$

where  $\chi(l, \tilde{n})$  means  $\chi((l, \text{Id}), \tilde{n})$ . This  $\varphi$  gives the decomposition  $\Sigma = \{\sigma_{\alpha}\}$  defined as follows: Let  $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}$  be a finite set of *L*. For such an  $\alpha$ , we consider

$$\sigma_{\alpha} := \{ \tilde{n} \in \mathscr{C} \mid \varphi(\tilde{n}) = \chi(\alpha_i, \tilde{n}) \, \forall \alpha_i \in \alpha \}.$$

Here, for two finite set  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of *L*, we define  $\alpha \sim \beta$  by  $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\beta}$ . It is an equivalence relation. Let *I* be the set of equivalence classes of finite set of *L*. Then  $\Sigma := {\sigma_{\alpha}}_{\alpha \in I}$  is a desired one.

Now  $\varphi$  is a 1-twisted polarization function associated with this  $\Sigma$  as in [18, Proposition 3.2]. In particular, it holds that  $S_{(l,h)}(\sigma_{\alpha}) = \sigma_{h(\alpha)-l}$ . Now we consider the cone

$$\sigma_{\{0\}} = \{ \tilde{n} \in \mathscr{C} \mid \varphi(\tilde{n}) = \chi(0, \tilde{n}) = 0 \}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathscr{C} = \bigcup S_l(\sigma_{\{0\}})$ .

*First step* : For this cone  $\sigma_{\{0\}}$ , we can subdivide it and obtain an *H*-invariant finite cone decomposition  $\{\tau_{\beta}\}$  of  $\sigma_{\{0\}}$  such that each cone  $\tau_{\beta}$  is a simplex and the stabilizer of  $\tau_{\beta}$  in *H* acts trivially on  $\tau_{\beta}$ . Further we can subdivide the whole  $\Sigma$  by transporting the above subdivision on  $\sigma_{\{0\}}$  via *L*-action on  $\mathscr{C}$  and obtain an *H*-invariant cone decomposition  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}$  of  $\mathscr{C}$ . In addition, we can modify the polarization function  $\varphi$  and obtain a 1-twisted polarization function for this subdivision  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}$  after replacing *K* by a finite extension.

Second step : We choose a system  $\{\tau_1, ..., \tau_n\}$  of representatives for the action of  $\Gamma$  on the decomposition  $\{\tau_\alpha\}$ . According to [16, I.2, proof of Theorem 11], for any subdivision  $\Sigma_i$  of each  $\tau_i$ , there is a subdivision of the subdivision  $\Sigma_i$  such that it has a  $\kappa$ -twisted polarization function on  $\tau_i$  for sufficiently large  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the same way as above, we can extend these subdivisions to the whole via *L*-action. Further, we can modify the polarization function on  $\mathscr{C}$  and obtain a  $\kappa$ -twisted polarization function for this subdivision  $\Sigma'$  after replacing *K* by a finite extension. Hence, we consider a subdivision that satisfies (c), (d) to obtain a subdivision that satisfies (a), (c), (d).

*Third step* : We choose a system  $\{\tau_1, ..., \tau_n\}$  of representatives for the action of  $\Gamma$  on the decomposition  $\Sigma'$ . By using the semistable reduction theorem [16, II.2, proof of Theorem11], we can subdivide each  $\tau_i$  so that the resulting decomposition  $\Sigma''$  is smooth. In addition, we can obtain a  $\kappa'$ -twisted polarization function for this subdivision  $\Sigma''$  after replacing *K* by a finite extension. Hence, the desired decomposition is constructed.  $\Box$ 

**3.14.** Let *B* be a topological space endowed with a simplicial complex structure. We denote by  $\Sigma := {\sigma_{\alpha}}_{\alpha \in I}$  the set of all faces of *B*. Let  $\sigma^{\circ}$  be the relative open set of  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . We define the open star  $\text{Star}(\sigma_{\alpha})$  of  $\sigma_{\alpha} \in \Sigma$  as follows:

$$\operatorname{Star}(\sigma_{\alpha}) := \bigcup_{\beta > \alpha} \sigma_{\beta}^{\circ},$$

where  $\beta \succ \alpha$  means that  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  is a face of  $\sigma_{\beta}$ . Then  $\text{Star}(\sigma)$  is a open set of *B*. In particular,  $\{\text{Star}(\sigma_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is a open cover of *B*.

**3.15.** The decomposition  $\Sigma := {\sigma_{\alpha}}_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $\mathscr{C}$  as Theorem 3.7 gives the smooth rational polyhedral decomposition  $\overline{\Sigma}$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  obtained by intersectiong the cones

in  $\Sigma$  with  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\}$ . Let  $\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \in \overline{\Sigma}$  be the intersection of  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  with  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\}$ . Then this decomposition  $\overline{\Sigma} = \{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  gives a simplicial complex structure to  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Moreover the dual intersection complex  $\Delta(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})$  of  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}_k$  coincides with  $\overline{\Sigma}$  as we see in (3.8). Theorem 3.7 implies that the dual intersection complex  $\Delta(\mathscr{P})$  of  $\mathscr{P}_k$  has the simplicial complex structure of  $\overline{\Sigma}/L := \{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I^+}$ .

**3.16.** To make it easier to see the covering map, which is the key to this paper and which we will look at later, we refine Proposition 3.12.

**Lemma 3.17.** Let F be the fixed locus of H-action on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$ . Then  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  has a simplicial complex structure such that any 0-vertex of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  is included in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}/L$  and F is a compact sub simplicial complex.

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 3.12 that  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  has a simplicial complex structure such that the canonical projection  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \twoheadrightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  is a simplicial map and any 0-simplex in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  is included in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}/L$ . We show that *F* is compact and has a simplicial complex structure such that any 0-simplex of *F* is included in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}/L$ . Note that *F* might not be connected. Then the assertion follows from [27, 2.12 Addendum].

From now on, we prove the claim. By definition, *F* is denoted as follows:

$$F = \bigcup_{h \in H \setminus \{0\}} F_h,$$

where  $F_h := \{x \in N_{\mathbb{R}}/L \mid x = h(x)\}$ . Under the setting as we considered in (3.5), H acts on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  via  $H \to (\operatorname{GL}(L) \ltimes L) \cap (\operatorname{GL}(N) \ltimes N)$ . In other words, h is determined by  $\tilde{h} \in (\operatorname{GL}(L) \ltimes L) \cap (\operatorname{GL}(N) \ltimes N)$  via the canonical projection  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$ . Here, we identify h with  $\tilde{h}$ . In particular, denote  $h : N_{\mathbb{R}} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}$  by h(y) = Ay + b, where  $A \in \operatorname{GL}(L) \cap \operatorname{GL}(N)$  and  $b \in L(\subset N)$ . Set  $g : N_{\mathbb{R}} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}$  as g(y) := h(y) - y. For any  $y_1, y_2 \in N_{\mathbb{R}}, g(y_1 + y_2) = g(y_1) + Ay_2 - y_2$  holds. It implies that  $g(y) \in L$  is equivalent to  $g(y + a) \in L$  for some  $a \in L$ . Taka a basis  $\{l_i\}$  of L in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  and set a fundamental domain D of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  as follows:

$$D := \sum_{i=1}^{\dim N} [0,1] \cdot l_i \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then it holds that

$$F'_h := \{ y \in D \mid g(y) \in L \} \twoheadrightarrow F_h$$

by the canonical projection  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$ . Since *L* is discrete in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  and g(D) is compact,  $V := g(D) \cap L$  is a finite set. Here, we can denote  $F'_h$  by

$$F'_h = \bigcup_{v \in V} F'_{h,v},$$

where  $F'_{h,v} := \{y \in D \mid g(y) = v\}$ . Since g is an integral affine map (or  $g \in \text{Hom}(N, N) \ltimes N$ ),  $F'_{h,v}$  is a closed set of a subaffine space in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  with rational slopes for the coordinates of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  containing some point in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . It implies that

 $F'_{hv}$  has a simplicial structure such that each vertex of  $F'_{hv}$  is in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In particular, the inclusion  $F'_{h,v} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a piecewise linear map. Since the canonical projection  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \twoheadrightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  is a simplicial map, the composition  $F'_{h,v} \to N_{\mathbb{R}} \twoheadrightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$ is also a piecewise linear map. By [27, 2.14 Theorem], there are subdivisions of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  and  $F'_{h,v}$  such that  $F'_{h,v} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  is a simplicial map and any 0-simplex of the subdivision of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  is included in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}/L$ . Hence, the image  $F_{h,v}$  of  $F'_{h,v}$ has a simplicial structure induced by the simplicial map  $F'_{h,v} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$ . Here, any intersection (as a cell complex) between two cell complexes is also a cell complex. Since  $F'_{hv}$  is an intersection of D and some subaffine space in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with rational slopes intersecting  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , for any  $F_{h,v}$  and  $F_{h',v'}$ , it holds that any 0-cell of the cell complex of the intersection is included in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}/L$ . Hence, the union of  $F_{h,v}$  and  $F_{h',v'}$  is a cell complex such that all 0-cells are in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}/L$  by gluing together along the intersection cell complex. It is well-known that any cell complex can be subdivided to a simplicial complex without introducing any new vertices. That is, the union of  $F_{h,v}$  and  $F_{h',v'}$  has a simplicial complex structure such that all 0-simplexes are in  $N_{\odot}/L$ . Since F is a finite union of simplicial complexes, more precisely

$$F = \bigcup_{h \in H \setminus \{e\}} \bigcup_{v \in V} F_{h,v},$$

then it follows inductively that *F* has a simplicial structure such that any vertex of *F* is included in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}/L$ . Besides, since  $F_{h,v}$  is compact, so is *F*. Hence, the claim follows.

**Lemma 3.18.** Let F be the fixed locus of H-action on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$ . Let  $\tilde{F}$  be the inverse image of the fixed locus F by the quotient map  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$ . After taking a base change along  $f : S' \to S$  as in (3.3) if necessary, there exists a smooth rational polyhedral cone decomposition  $\Sigma = \{\sigma_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  which has not only the properties (a)-(d) listed in Theorem 3.7 but also the following (e)-(g).

(e) For all  $l \in L \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\alpha \in I_+$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}) \cap S_{(l,\operatorname{Id})}(\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha})) = \emptyset.$$

- (f) F has a simplicial structure  $\mathscr{F}$  such that F is a subcomplex of the complex  $\overline{\Sigma}/L$  as appeared in (3.15). In particular, for any simplex  $\tau$  of the induced simplicial structure  $\mathscr{F}$  on  $\widetilde{F}$ , a cone generated by  $(\tau, 1) \subset \widetilde{N}_{\mathbb{R}} := N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}$  corresponds to some index in I. We denote by  $I_{\text{sing}} \subset I_+$  the set of indices corresponding to  $\mathscr{F}$ .
- (g) For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\alpha \in I_+ \setminus I_{\text{sing}}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}) \cap S_{\gamma}(\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha})) = \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 3.12 that there is a smooth rational polyhedral cone decomposition  $\Sigma$  which satisfies the conditions (a)-(d) after replacing *K* by a finite extension. Then we refine  $\Sigma$  to obtain a desired decomposition as follows: In the second step of (3.13), we consider a subdivision that satisfies (e),

(f), (g). Note that each stabilizer of *H* on each  $\tau \in \Sigma$  acts trivially on the cone  $\tau$  and *L* acts on  $\tau$  by transporting via  $\tilde{b}(L)$ . Then it is easily verified that such subdivisions exist by Lemma 3.17. Afterward, we apply the third step of (3.13) to this decomposition. Then the resulting decomposition is a desired one.  $\Box$ 

**Example 3.19.** If  $H = \{\pm 1\}$ , then  $\tilde{F} = \frac{1}{2}L$  and  $F = \frac{1}{2}L/L$ . In particular, it holds that  $|F| = 2^{\dim N}$ . Further,  $|F/H| = 2^{\dim N}$  follows.

**3.20.** For the rest of this section, we assume that *the residue field* k of R is of *characteristic*  $p \neq 2$ , We set that  $H = \{\pm 1\}$  and the action of H on M is determined by -1:  $m \mapsto -m$ . In particular,  $H = \{\pm 1\}$  also acts on  $N = M^{\vee}$  by -1:  $n \mapsto -n$ .

**3.21.** Let  $\mathscr{P}$  be the projective model of A and  $\mathscr{A}$  be the Néron model of A as Theorem 3.7. For an abelian variety Z, we denote by Z[2] the 2-torsion of Z, that is the kernel of the morphism  $[2] : Z \to Z$  defined by  $x \mapsto 2x$ . After replacing K by finite extension, we may assume that A[2] is constant over K without loss of generality. Overkamp proved this  $\mathscr{A}[2]$  coincides with the fixed locus of the action of H on  $\mathscr{P}$  when A is of 2-dimensional [25, Theorem 3.7]. Then the action of  $H = \{\pm 1\}$  on  $\mathscr{P}$  extends to the blow-up  $\mathscr{X} := \operatorname{Bl}_{\mathscr{A}[2]} \mathscr{P}$  along the closed subscheme  $\mathscr{A}[2]$ . Hence we obtain  $\mathscr{X} := \mathscr{X}/H$ . Let X be the Kummer surface associated with A. Overkamp proves this  $\mathscr{X}$  is a Kulikov model of X [25, Theorem 3.12].

**3.22.** We fix the same notation as (3.15) and (3.21). The dual intersection complex  $\Delta(\tilde{\mathscr{X}})$  of  $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}_k$  has the same stratification as the dual intersection complex  $\Delta(\mathscr{P})$  of  $\mathscr{P}_k$ . Indeed, Overkamp proved that the special fiber  $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$  is  $\operatorname{Bl}_{\mathscr{A}_k[2]} \mathscr{P}_k$  [25, Lemma 3.10] and  $\mathscr{A}_k[2]$  is a finite set lying on top dimensional strata of  $\mathscr{P}_k$  [25, Lemma 3.6]. We can also check the latter by using Lemma 3.18. Hence, the blow-up along  $\mathscr{A}_k[2]$  does not change the dual intersection complex. It implies that  $\Delta(\mathscr{P}) \cong \Delta(\tilde{\mathscr{X}})$  as simplicial complexes.

We denote by  $I_{\Gamma}^+$  the set of orbits  $I_{\Gamma}^+ := I^+/\Gamma$ . Theorem 3.7 says that H acts on  $\Delta(\mathscr{P}) \cong \Delta(\mathscr{X})$  preserving the simplicial complex structure. It implies that the map  $\Delta(\mathscr{X}) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta(\mathscr{X})$  is double branched cover as simplicial complexes. The dual intersection complex  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$  of  $\mathscr{X}_k$  has a stratification indexed by  $I_{\Gamma}^+$ . In particular,  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$  has the simplicial complex structure of  $\overline{\Sigma}/\Gamma := \{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I_{\Gamma}^+}$ .

### 4. Non-Archimedean SYZ Fibration

In this section, we introduce some important results from [23].

**4.1.** For the rest of this paper, we assume that *the characteristic of the residue field k is* 0.

**Definition 4.2.** Let *X* be a Calabi-Yau variety over *K* and  $\omega$  be a volume form on *X*. Then we can define the *weight function* 

$$\operatorname{wt}_{\omega} : X^{\operatorname{an}} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Please refer to [20, §4.5] for details. The *essential skelton* Sk(X) of X is the subset of  $X^{an}$  consisting of points where  $wt_{\omega}$  reaches its minimal value. Since X is Calabi-Yau,  $\omega$  is uniquely determined up to a scalar multiple. Multiplying  $\omega$  with a scalar changes the weight function by a constant. Therefore, Sk(X) depends only on X not on  $\omega$ .

**4.3.** Let *X* be a smooth connected *K*-variety and let  $\mathscr{X}$  be an snc-model of *X* over *S*. The dual intersection complex  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$  of  $\mathscr{X}_k$  is canonically embedded into  $X^{\mathrm{an}}$  [3, Theorem 3.1]. We denote by  $\mathrm{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$  its image of  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$ .  $\mathrm{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$  is called the *Berkovich skelton* of  $\mathscr{X}$  and has the simplicial structure induced by  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$ . If *X* is a Calabi-Yau variety over *K*, then the essential skelton  $\mathrm{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$  as in Definition 4.2 is canonically homeomorphic to the subcomplex of  $\mathrm{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$ . Since  $\mathscr{X}$  is snc, it follows from [22, 3.3.3] that the image of this embedding is exactly the essential skeleton  $\mathrm{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$ . In particular, we give a simplicial complex structure to  $\mathrm{Sk}(X)$  by the one of  $\mathrm{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let *X* be a smooth connected *K*-variety and let  $\mathscr{X}$  be an sncmodel of *X* over *S*. We assume that  $X^{an} = \mathscr{X}_{ber}$ . In particular, if  $\mathscr{X}$  is projective over *S*, then *X* is projective over *K* and this assumption holds. Here, we construct the *Berkovich retraction* associated with an snc-model  $\mathscr{X}$  of *X* in accordance with [23, (2.4)] (or [3, §3]).

Let x be a point in  $X^{an}$  and let red  $_{\mathscr{X}}(x)$  be its reduction on  $\mathscr{X}_k$  as we saw in Definition 2.12. We denote by Z the smallest stratum containing red  $_{\mathscr{X}}(x) = \xi$ . Then it determines a unique face  $\sigma$  of the dual intersection complex  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$ . Let  $D_1, ..., D_r$  be the irreducible components of  $\mathscr{X}_k$  that contain Z, and let  $N_1, ..., N_r$ be their multiplicities in  $\mathscr{X}_k$ . Then  $D_1, ..., D_r$  correspond to the vertices  $v_1, ..., v_r$ of  $\sigma$ . We choose a positive integer m such that  $mD_i$  is Cartier at the point red  $_{\mathscr{X}}(x)$  for every *i*, and we choose a local equation  $f_i = 0$  for  $mD_i$  at red  $_{\mathscr{X}}(x)$ . Then  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}(x)$  is defined as the point of the simplex  $\sigma$  with barycentric coordinates

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{m}(-N_1 \log |f_1(x)|, \dots, -N_r \log |f_r(x)|)$$

with respect to the vertices  $(v_1, ..., v_r)$ . The image  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}(x)$  of *x* corresponds to the monomial point represented by  $(\mathscr{X}, (D_1, ..., D_r), \xi)$  and the tuple

$$\frac{1}{m}(-\log|f_1(x)|, \dots, -\log|f_r(x)|),$$

in the terminology of [20, 2.4.5] via the embedding of  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$  into  $X^{an}$ . We can easily verify that this definition does not depend on the choices of *m* and the local equations  $f_i$  and check that  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}$  is continuous, and that it is a retraction onto the skelton Sk( $\mathscr{X}$ ) =  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$ .

**Definition 4.5.** Let *X* be a Calabi-Yau variety over *K*. If an snc-model  $\mathscr{X}$  of *X* is a good minimal dlt-model of *X* with a technical assumption as in [23, (1.11)]. Then we call the map  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}} : X^{an} \to Sk(X)$  constructed in Definition 4.4 the *non-Archimedean SYZ fibration* associated with  $\mathscr{X}$ .

**4.6.** We note that, even though the subspace Sk(X) of  $X^{an}$  only depends on X, the simplicial complex structure on Sk(X) and the non-Archimedean SYZ fibration  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}} : X^{an} \to Sk(X)$  depend on the choice of the good minimal dlt-model  $\mathscr{X}$ . In [20, §3.2], the authors discussed the canonical piecewise integral affine structure of Sk(X) and revealed that this piecewise integral affine structure coincides with the one induced by  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$ . In other words, the piecewise integral affine structure induced by  $\Delta(\mathscr{X})$  does not depend on the choice of the good minimal dlt-model  $\mathscr{X}$ . However, this is closer to the topological structure than to the integral affine structure. We note that we focus on the integral affine structure (more precisely, IAMS structure) in this paper.

**4.7.** Let *T* be a split algebraic *K*-torus of dimension *n* with its character group *M*. We denote by  $N = M^{\vee}$  the dual module of *M*. We define the *tropicalization* map  $\rho_T : T^{\text{an}} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}$  of *T* by

$$T^{\mathrm{an}} \ni x \mapsto (m \mapsto -\log |m(x)|) \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} = N_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then  $\rho_T$  is continuous, and its fibers are (not necessarily strictly) *K*-affinoid tori. Further, the tropicalization map  $\rho_T$  has a canonical continuous section  $s \colon N_{\mathbb{R}} \to T^{\mathrm{an}}$  that sends each  $n \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$  to the Gauss point of the affinoid torus  $\rho_T^{-1}(n)$ . The image of *s* is called the *canonical skeleton* of *T*, and denoted by  $\Delta(T)$ . The map *s* induces a homeomorphism  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \to \Delta(T)$ . We identify  $\Delta(T)$  with  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  via this homeomorphism.

**Definition 4.8.** Let *Y* be a *K*-analytic space, let *B* be a topological space and let  $f : Y \to B$  be a continuous map. Then *f* is called an *n*-dimensional *affinoid torus fibration* if there is a open covering  $\{U_i\}$  of *B* such that, for each  $U_i$ , there is an open subset  $V_i$  of  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} f^{-1}(U_i) \longrightarrow \rho_T^{-1}(V_i) \\ f & \bigcirc & & \downarrow^{\rho_T} \\ U_i \longrightarrow V_i \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal map is an isomorphism of *K*-analytic spaces and the lower horizontal map is a homeomorphism.

**4.9.** If  $f: Y \to B$  is an affinoid torus fibration, then f induces an integral affine structure on the base B as follows: For each open set U in B as in Definition 4.8, we consider an invertible analytic function h on  $f^{-1}(U)$ . Then the absolute value of h is constant along the fibers of f [17, §4.1, Lemma 1]. Hence h implies a continuous function  $|h|: U \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  by taking |h(b)| as |h(y)| for some  $y \in f^{-1}(b)$ . We can define the integral affine functions on U as the functions of the form  $-\log |h|$ . If U is connected, then we can identify the ring of integral affine functions of d defines an integral affine structure on B via the homeomorphism  $U \to V$  [17, §4.1, Theorem 1]. More precisely, in *loc.cit.*, they considered affine functions whose coefficients

are in  $\mathbb{R}$ , rather than  $\mathbb{Z}$ . However, that's because they allowed the base field *K* to be a general nontrivial valued field. Under the condition that *K* is a discrete-valued field as in our setting, we can obtain affine functions whose coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}$  as above. That is, we can give the integral affine structure to *B* in this way. We call it *non-Archimedean SYZ Picture*.

### 5. Affine Structures for Degenerations of Kummer Surfaces

### 5.1. Non-Archimedean SYZ Picture.

**5.1.** First, we prepare two settings, one for general use and one for Kummer surfaces. If it is too complicated, it is enough to just consider the latter setting (5.3), which is a special case of the former (5.2).

**5.2** (general setting). Let *A* be an Abelian variety over *K* and  $\mathscr{A}$  be the Néron model of *A*. After taking a base change along  $f : S' \to S$  as in (3.3) if necessary, there is a triple  $(G, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M}) \in \mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$  such that  $A = G_{\eta}$  and  $G = \mathscr{A}^{0}$  by the semi-abelian reduction [13, Exposé I, Théorème 6.1]. In addition, we may assume that a finite group *H* acts on  $(G, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M})$  such that the fixed locus of *H* on *A* is constant *K*-group scheme by taking a further base change along  $f : S' \to S$  as above, without loss of generality. We assume that *G* is maximally degenerated, which is the same as  $\mathscr{A}$  being. For the tuple  $(M, L, \phi, a, b) = \mathbf{For}(F((G, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M})))$ , there is a decomposition  $\Sigma$  as Lem 3.18 after taking a base change along  $f : S' \to S$  as above. In particular, the decomposition  $\Sigma$  is  $\Gamma = L \rtimes H$ -admissible.

Let  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  be the toroidal compactification of  $T = \operatorname{Spec} K[M]$  over R associated with  $\Sigma$  as constructed in (3.8) and  $\mathscr{P}$  be the projective model of A as Theorem 3.7. This  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  is an snc model of T.  $\mathscr{P}$  is a Kulikov model of A as we see in (3.9). By definition, this Kulikov model  $\mathscr{P}$  is a good minimal dlt model with a technical assumption as in [23, (2.3)]. Hence, it follows that  $\operatorname{Sk}(A) = \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P})$ . Further, we replace  $\mathscr{L}$  by  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes \kappa}$  so that  $\mathscr{L}$  extends to the ample line bundle  $\mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{P}}$  on  $\mathscr{P}$ . Since  $\mathscr{M}$  is trivial in our setting, there is no need to consider  $\mathscr{M}$  in particular. Since it holds that  $T^{\operatorname{an}} = \tilde{\mathscr{P}}_{\operatorname{ber}}$  and  $A^{\operatorname{an}} = \mathscr{P}_{\operatorname{ber}}$ , we can define the Berkovich retractions for these snc-models  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  and  $\mathscr{P}$ . We denote by  $\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}$  (resp.  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$ ) the Berkovich retraction associated with  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  (resp.  $\mathscr{P}$ ) as in Definition 4.4. In particular,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  is a non-Archimedean SYZ fibration. Let  $\rho_T$  be the tropicalization map of T.

**5.3** (setting for Kummer surfaces). Let *A* be an Abelian surface over *K* and *X* be the Kummer surface associated with *A*. We denote by  $\mathscr{A}$  the Néron model of *A*. After taking a base change along  $f : S' \to S$  as in (3.3) if necessary, there is a  $(G, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M}) \in \mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$  such that  $A = G_{\eta}$  and  $G = \mathscr{A}^0$  by the semiabelian reduction [13, Exposé I, Théorème 6.1]. In addition, we may assume that the group  $H = \{\pm 1\}$  acts on  $(G, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M})$  so that the *K*-group scheme A[2] is constant by taking a further base change along  $f : S' \to S$  as above, without loss of generality. We assume that *G* is maximally degenerated, which is the

same as  $\mathscr{A}$  being. For the tuple  $(M, L, \phi, a, b) = \mathbf{For}(F((G, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M})))$ , there is a decomposition  $\Sigma$  as Lem 3.18 after taking a base change along  $f : S' \to S$  as above. In particular, the decomposition  $\Sigma$  is  $\Gamma = L \rtimes H$ -admissible.

Let  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  be the toroidal compactification of  $T = \operatorname{Spec} K[M]$  over R associated with  $\Sigma$  as constructed in (3.8) and  $\mathscr{P}$  be the projective model of A as Theorem 3.7. This  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  is an snc model of T.  $\mathscr{P}$  is a Kulikov model of A as we see in (3.9). Further, we replace  $\mathscr{L}$  by  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes \kappa}$  so that  $\mathscr{L}$  extends to the ample line bundle  $\mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{P}}$  on  $\mathscr{P}$ . Since  $\mathscr{M}$  is trivial in our setting, there is no need to consider  $\mathscr{M}$  in particular. We denote by  $\mathscr{X}$  the Kulikov model of X associated with  $\Sigma$ as in (3.21). By definition, these Kulikov models  $\mathscr{P}$  and  $\mathscr{X}$  are good minimal dlt models with a technical assumption as in [23, (2.3)]. Hence, it holds that  $\operatorname{Sk}(A) = \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P})$  and  $\operatorname{Sk}(X) = \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$ . In addition, we note that  $T^{\operatorname{an}} = \tilde{\mathscr{P}}_{\operatorname{ber}}$ ,  $A^{\operatorname{an}} = \mathscr{P}_{\operatorname{ber}}$  and  $X^{\operatorname{an}} = \mathscr{X}_{\operatorname{ber}}$ . Hence, we can define the Berkovich retractions for these snc-models  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$ ,  $\mathscr{P}$  and  $\mathscr{X}$ . We denote by  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  (resp.  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$ ,  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}$ ) the Berkovich retraction associated with  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  (resp.  $\mathscr{P}$ ,  $\mathscr{X}$ ) as in Definition 4.4. In particular,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  and  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}$  are non-Archimedean SYZ fibrations. Let  $\rho_{T}$  be the tropicalization map of T.

**Remark 5.4.** As we can see, the setting (5.2) is a generalization of (5.3). Under the setting (5.2), we consider a general dimensional abelian variety with an action of a general finite group. However, we do not consider the quotient X under this setting (5.2) in this paper. It is because we are not sure that an analog of what Overkamp proved on Kummer surfaces in [25] also works.

**Proposition 5.5.** Under the setting as in (5.2), the Berkovich retraction  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  of  $\mathscr{\tilde{P}}$  is equal to the tropicalization map  $\rho_T$ . In particular,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  is an affinoid torus fibration.

**Proof.** We set  $d := \dim N$ . The decomposition  $\Sigma$  gives the smooth rational polyhedral decomposition  $\overline{\Sigma}$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  obtained by intersection the cones in  $\Sigma$  with  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\}$ . As we saw in (3.15), the Berkovich skelton  $Sk(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})$  coincides with  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Moreover, simplicial structure of  $Sk(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})$  coincides with  $\overline{\Sigma}$ . Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  be the smallest cone containing  $\rho_T(x) \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\}$ .

We set  $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \tilde{n}_0 + \dots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \tilde{n}_s$ , where  $\tilde{n}_i = (n_i, 1)$ . We extend these elements to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\tilde{n}_0, ..., \tilde{n}_d$  of  $\tilde{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $\tilde{m}_i = (m_i, r_i) \in \tilde{M}$  be the dual basis of  $\tilde{M}$ . We may assume that

$$\rho_T(x) = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i \tilde{n}_i = : \tilde{n} = (n, 1) \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\} \cong N_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where  $\sum a_i = 1$  and  $a_i > 0$  for all  $0 \le i \le s$ .

We set  $A_{\sigma} = R[\tilde{M} \cap \sigma^{\vee}] \cong R[Y_0, ..., Y_s, Y_{s+1}^{\pm}, ..., Y_d^{\pm}]/(Y_0 \cdots Y_s - t)$ , where  $Y_i := t^{r_i} X^{m_i}$ . Then  $U_{\sigma} := \operatorname{Spec} A_{\sigma} \subset \tilde{\mathscr{P}}$ .

It follows that  $-\log |Y_j(x)| = \langle \tilde{m}_j, \tilde{n} \rangle = \langle \tilde{m}_j, \sum a_i \tilde{n}_i \rangle = a_j$  for  $x \in \rho_T^{-1}(n)$ and for all  $0 \le j \le d$ . Therefore red  $\mathcal{X}(x)$  coincides with the generic point  $\xi_\sigma$  of the toric stratum  $D_\sigma$  corresponding to  $\sigma$ . Moreover, each irreducible component

 $D_i$  of  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}_0$  that contains  $D_{\sigma}$  corresponds to each one dimensional face  $\tau_i = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \tilde{n}_i$  of  $\sigma$ . Therefore, it follows that

$$\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{s} a_i \tilde{n}_i = \rho_T(x).$$

**Corollary 5.6.** Under the setting as in (5.3), the Berkovich retraction  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  of  $\mathscr{P}$  is equal to the tropicalization map  $\rho_T$ . In particular,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  is a 2 dimensional affinoid torus fibration.

**Proof.** It follows by exactly the same argument as above Proposition 5.5.  $\Box$ 

**5.7.** Under the setting as in Definition 4.4, let  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}} : X^{\operatorname{an}} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{X}) \subset X^{\operatorname{an}}$  be the Berkovich retraction, where the simplicial structure of  $\operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$  is given by a decomposition  $\overline{\Sigma}/L = \{\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I_{L}^{+}}$  under the notation as in (3.22). Since the retraction  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}$  is continuous, the inverse image  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(\operatorname{Star}(\sigma_{\alpha}))$  is an open set. In particular, it holds that

$$X^{\mathrm{an}} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(\operatorname{Star}(\sigma_{\alpha})).$$

We call this covering the retraction covering of  $X^{an}$  associated with  $\mathscr{X}$ . In other words, we can regard taking an snc-model of X as taking a retraction covering of  $X^{an}$ . To be precise, the stratification of the formal completion  $\mathscr{X}_{for}$  gives the retraction covering. We note that  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(\operatorname{Star}(\sigma_{\alpha})) = \operatorname{red}_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(D_{\alpha})$ , where  $D_{\alpha}$  is the scheme-theoretic intersection of the irreducible components corresponding to 1-dimensional faces of  $\sigma_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\xi_{\alpha}$  be a stratum of  $\mathscr{X}_k$  corresponding to  $\sigma_{\alpha}$ . Then  $D_{\alpha} = \overline{\{\xi_{\alpha}\}}$ .

**5.8.** For the decomposition  $\Sigma = {\sigma_{\alpha}}_{\alpha \in I}$  as in (5.2), the Berkovich skelton Sk( $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$ ) is described as follows:

$$\mathrm{Sk}(\tilde{\mathscr{P}}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I^+} \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} \cong N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\},\$$

where  $\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha} := \sigma_{\alpha} \cap (N_{\mathbb{R}} \times \{1\})$  as in (3.15). Theorem 3.3 implies that  $\Gamma = L \rtimes H$  acts on Sk( $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$ ) as follows:

$$S_{(l,h)}((n,1)) = (n \circ h + \tilde{b}(l), 1).$$

Moreover,  $\operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_L^+} \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}$  (resp.  $B := \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_\Gamma^+} \overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}$ ) is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Sk}(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})/L$ (resp.  $\operatorname{Sk}(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})/\Gamma$ ) as simplicial complex. By Lemma 3.18, the morphism  $\operatorname{Sk}(\tilde{\mathscr{P}}) \to \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P})$  is an unbranched cover such that its fundamental group is isomorphic to L, and the morphism  $\operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P}) \to B$  is a branched double cover. Under the more concrete condition (5.3), the ramification locus of this morphism  $\operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P}) \to B = \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$  is  $Z := \frac{1}{2}L/\Gamma$ . In particular, Z consists of 4 points.

**5.9.** Under the setting as in (5.2), the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  induces  $\Gamma$ -action on  $T^{\mathrm{an}}$  via the Raynaud generic fiber. In partucular, the reduction map  $\operatorname{red}_{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}$  and the Berkovich retraction map  $\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. That is, it holds that  $\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}(\gamma \cdot x) = S_{\gamma}(\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}(x))$  for all  $x \in T^{\mathrm{an}}$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Further, we can also verify that the Berkovich retraction  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  of  $\mathscr{P}$  is *H*-equivaliant, similarly.

**Lemma 5.10.** Under the setting (5.2), the following diagram commutes.



**Proof.** Since G is maximally degenerated, it holds that  $\mathscr{P}_{for} \cong \mathscr{P}_{for}/L$  as in (3.8). In particular, we obtain the morphism  $f : \tilde{\mathscr{P}}_{for} \to \mathscr{P}_{for}$ . Then  $f_{ber} : T^{an} \to A^{an}$  is the morphism appearing in the above diagram. Let  $g: \mathrm{Sk}(\tilde{\mathscr{P}}) \to \mathrm{Sk}(\mathscr{P})$  be the morphism appearing in the above diagram, similarly. Here, the proof is completed by showing the commutativity  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}} \circ f_{\text{ber}} =$  $g \circ \rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}$ . By definition, the image  $\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}(x)$  of  $x \in T^{an}$  is determined by the point  $\xi = \operatorname{red}_{\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}}(x)$  corresponding to the cone  $\sigma_{\xi} \in \Sigma$ , the irreducible components  $D_1, ..., D_r$  containing  $\xi$  and the barycentric coordinates  $(v_1, ..., v_r)$  with respect to the vertices corresponding to these  $D_i$ , where each  $D_i$  corresponds to the 1dimensional face  $\sigma_{\alpha_i}$  of the cone  $\sigma$  for some  $\alpha_i \in I^1$ . Note that each  $f(D_i)$ is an irreducible component since  $f_{\text{ber}}$  is a covering map. Then the image  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}(f_{\mathbf{ber}}(x))$  is determined by the point  $f(\xi) = \operatorname{red}_{\mathscr{P}}(f_{\mathbf{ber}}(x))$ , the irreducible components  $f(D_1), ..., f(D_r)$  and the barycentric coordinates  $(v_1, ..., v_r)$  with respect to the vertices corresponding to these  $f(D_i)$ , where each  $f(D_i)$  corresponds to 1-dimensional cone  $\sigma_{\overline{\alpha}_i}$  for some  $\overline{\alpha}_i \in I_L^+$  as in Theorem 3.7, where  $\alpha_i \in I^1$  is the one above. On the other hand,  $g(\rho_{\mathscr{P}}(x))$  is determined by the simplex  $g(\overline{\sigma}) \in \overline{\Sigma}/L$  and the barycentric coordinates  $(v_1, ..., v_r)$  with respect to the vertices  $g(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha_i})$ , where  $\alpha_i \in I^1$  is the one above. Here, the retraction  $\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}$ :  $T^{\mathrm{an}} \to \mathrm{Sk}(\tilde{\mathscr{P}})$  is L-equivaliant as we see in (5.9). Hence we obtain  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}(f_{\text{her}}(x)) = g(\rho_{\mathscr{P}}(x))$ . That is, the above diagram commutes. 

**Proposition 5.11.** Under the setting (5.3), let  $\pi$  be the blow up  $\pi$  :  $\text{Bl}_{A[2]}A \to A$ . The following diagram commutes.



**Proof.** It follows by the same argument as above Lemma 5.10 that the left part of the above diagram commutes. Hence it is enough to show that the right part of the above diagram commutes. We set  $\tilde{\mathscr{X}} := \operatorname{Bl}_{\mathscr{A}[2]}\mathscr{P}$  as in (3.21). This  $\tilde{\mathscr{X}}$  is an snc model of  $\operatorname{Bl}_{A[2]}A$ . We denote by  $\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}}$  the Berkovich retraction. Since  $\operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P}) = \operatorname{Sk}(\tilde{\mathscr{X}})$  as we see in (3.22), it holds that  $\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}} = \rho_{\mathscr{P}} \circ \pi^{\operatorname{an}}$ . Since  $\pi$  is the blow-up along the fixed locus of H, the blow-up  $\pi$  is H-equivaliant. In particular, H-equivaliant retraction  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  implies that  $\rho_{\tilde{\mathscr{X}}}$  is H-equivaliant. After that, we can check the commutativity directly by representing the two images concretely as in the proof of Lemma 5.10. Hence, the right part of the above diagram commutes.

**Proposition 5.12** (cf.[23, Proposition 3.8]). Under the setting (5.2), the morphism  $T^{an} \rightarrow A^{an}$  is an unbranched cover. Moreover the open sets of the form  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}))$  for any  $\alpha \in I^+$  are evenly covered neighborhoods. In particular,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  is an affinoid torus fibration.

**Proof.** By the property (e) of Lemma 3.18,  $\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}) \subset \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P})$  is an evenly covered neighborhood with respect to  $\operatorname{Sk}(\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}) \to \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P})$ , where we identify  $\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}) \subset \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P})$  with one of the sheets  $\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}) \subset \operatorname{Sk}(\widetilde{\mathscr{P}})$ . For each  $l \in L \setminus \{0\}$ , the following diagram holds.

$$\rho_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}^{-1}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Star}}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha})) \xrightarrow{\simeq}_{l} l \cdot \rho_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}^{-1}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Star}}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}))$$

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P}} \downarrow \qquad \rho_{\mathcal{P}} \downarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{\simeq}_{S_{l}} S_{l}(\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}))$$

In particular, the upper horizontal map is an isomorphism of *K*-analytic spaces and the lower horizontal map is a homeomorphism. The property (e) of Lemma 3.18 says that  $\widetilde{\operatorname{Star}}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}) \cap S_l(\widetilde{\operatorname{Star}}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha})) = \emptyset$ . It implies that  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Star}}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha})) \cap l \cdot \rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Star}}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha})) = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 5.10, we obtain  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Star}}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha})) \cong \rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}))$ . That is, we can identify  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}))$  with one of the sheets  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\widetilde{\operatorname{Star}}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}))$ . Hence,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}))$  is an evenly covered neighborhoods. By Proposition 5.5,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}} = \rho_T$  follows. It implies the last assertion. **Corollary 5.13.** Under the setting (5.3), the morphism  $T^{an} \to A^{an}$  is an unbranched cover. Moreover the open sets of the form  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}))$  for any  $\alpha \in I^+$  are evenly covered neighborhoods. In particular,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  is a 2-dimensional affinoid torus fibration.

**Proof.** It follows by the same argument as above Proposition 5.12.  $\Box$ 

**5.14.** In [23, Proposition 3.8], they used the decomposition  $\Sigma$  which is constructed in Proposition 3.7 and proved that the Berkovich retraction  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  does not depend on the choice of such decomposition. On the other hand, the reason why we adopted the decomposition which is constructed in Lemma 3.18 is to show directly that  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  is an affinoid torus fibration by looking at the covering map concretely.

**Corollary 5.15.** Under the setting (5.3), the morphism  $T^{an} \setminus \rho_T^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}L) \to X^{an} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(Z)$  is an unbranched cover. Moreover the open sets of the form  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}))$  for any  $\alpha \in I^+ \setminus I_{\text{sing}}$  are evenly covered neighborhoods. In particular, the restriction of  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}$  to the open set  $X^{an} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(Z)$  is a 2-dimensional affinoid torus fibration. **Proof.** The morphism  $(\operatorname{Bl}_{A[2]}A)^{an} \to X^{an}$  as in Proposition 5.11 induces the morphism

$$A^{\mathrm{an}} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}L/L) \to X^{\mathrm{an}} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(Z)$$

by restricting to the open set which is isomorphic to  $A^{an} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{P}}^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}L/L)$ . By composing with  $T^{an} \to A^{an}$ , we consider the morphism

$$T^{\mathrm{an}} \setminus \rho_T^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}L) \to X^{\mathrm{an}} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(Z).$$

By the property (f) of Lemma 3.18, the above exceptional part  $\frac{1}{2}L$  corresponds to  $I_{\text{sing.}}$  By the property (g) of Lemma 3.18,  $\operatorname{Star}(\overline{\sigma}_{\alpha}) \subset \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$  is an evenly covered neighborhood with respect to  $\operatorname{Sk}(\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}) \to \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$  for all  $\alpha \in I^+ \setminus I_{\text{sing.}}$ Hence, this morphism  $T^{\text{an}} \setminus \rho_T^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}L) \to X^{\text{an}} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(Z)$  is an unbranched cover. Moreover, we obtain the latter assertion by using Proposition 5.12.

**Proposition 5.16** (cf.[23, (3.6), Proposition 3.8]). Under the setting (5.2), the induced integral affine structure on Sk(A) by  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  coincides with the quotient structure on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$ .

**Proof.** It follows from (3.9) that  $Sk(A) = Sk(\mathscr{P})$ . By Proposition 5.12, the non-Archimedean SYZ fibration  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  is an affinoid torus fibration. Hence this fibration  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  induces the integral affine structure on Sk(A). Then the following commutative diagram



gives the morphism  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \to \text{Sk}(A)$  between integral affine manifolds. In particular, this morphism is defined by taking the quotient of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  by the lattice  $\tilde{b}: L \hookrightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Hence, this finishes the proof.

**Corollary 5.17.** Let  $T^2 = N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  be the integral affine manifold constructed in Proposition 5.16, and let  $\mathcal{T}_{T^2}$  be the local system on  $T^2$  of lattices of tangent vectors. Then, the radiance obstruction  $c_{T^2} \in H^1(T^2, \mathcal{T}_{T^2})$  (cf.[7], [12]) coincides with  $\tilde{b} \in Hom(L, N) \subset Hom(L, N_{\mathbb{R}})$  via the canonical isomorphism  $H^1(T^2, \mathcal{T}_{T^2}) \cong$ Hom $(L, N_{\mathbb{R}})$ .

**Proof.** It directly follows from Proposition 5.16.  $\Box$ 

**5.18.** In [23, Theorem 6.1], they proved that for each maximally degenerating projective Calabi-Yau variety *X* over *K* and any good minimal dlt-model  $\mathscr{X}$  over *S*, the singular locus *Z* of the essential skeleton Sk(*X*) with the IAMS structure induced by Sk( $\mathscr{X}$ ) is contained in the union of the faces of codimension  $\ge 2$  in Sk( $\mathscr{X}$ ). In particular, the singular locus is of codimension  $\ge 2$ . Further, in *loc.cit.*, they proved that the *piecewise* integral affine structure of Sk(*X*) induced by this IAMS structure of Sk(*X*) does not depend on the choice of such dlt-models.

As we state in (4.6), however, what is called piecewise integral structure is closer to the topological structure than to the integral affine structure. In other words, the IAMS structure of Sk(X) induced by  $Sk(\mathscr{X})$  does depend on the choice of such dlt-models. In general, it is difficult to describe its IAMS structure explicitly, but in the case of Kummer surfaces, it can be described as follows:

**Theorem 5.19** (The Affine Structure via non-Archimedean SYZ Picture). Under the setting (5.3), the restriction of the non-Archimedean SYZ fibration  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}$ :  $X^{an} \to Sk(X)$  to the open set  $X^{an} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(Z)$  is a 2-dimensional affinoid torus fibration. Moreover, the integral affine structure on  $Sk(X) \setminus Z$  induced by  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}$  coincides with the restriction of the quotient structure on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma = L \rtimes H$ .

**Proof.** It follows from (3.21) that  $Sk(X) = Sk(\mathscr{X})$ . By Corollary 5.15,  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}|_{X^{an} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(Z)}$  is an affinoid torus fibration. The following commutative diagram

gives the unbranched cover  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \frac{1}{2}L \to \text{Sk}(X) \setminus Z$ . In the same manner as above Proposition 5.16, we obtain the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Sk}(X) \setminus Z \cong (N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \frac{1}{2}L)/\Gamma = (N_{\mathbb{R}}/\Gamma) \setminus \{4pts\}$$

as an integral affine manifold.

**Corollary 5.20.** Let  $S^2 = N_{\mathbb{R}}/\Gamma$  be the IAMS constructed in Theorem 5.19 and let  $\mathcal{T}_{S^2\setminus Z}$  be the local system on  $S^2 \setminus Z$  of lattices of tangent vectors. We denote by  $\iota : S^2 \setminus Z \to S^2$  the natural inclusion. Then the radiance obstruction  $c_{S^2} \in$  $\mathrm{H}^1(S^2, \iota_*\mathcal{T}_{S^2\setminus Z})$  coincides with  $\frac{1}{2}\tilde{b} \in \mathrm{Hom}(L, N_{\mathbb{R}})$  via the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}(L, N_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \operatorname{H}^{1}(T^{2}, \mathcal{T}_{T^{2}}) \cong \operatorname{H}^{1}(S^{2}, \iota_{*}\mathcal{T}_{S^{2}\setminus Z})$$

induced by the quotient morphism  $T^2 \rightarrow S^2$  between these IAMS. Further, the radiance obstruction  $c_{S^2}$  is contained in Hom(L, N).

**Proof.** Tsutsui proved that the quotient morphism  $q : T^2 \to S^2$  induces the isomorphism  $q_* : H^1(T^2, \mathcal{T}_{T^2}) \cong H^1(S^2, \iota_*\mathcal{T}_{S^2\setminus Z})$  such that  $c_{S^2} = \frac{1}{2}c_{T^2}$  holds in his unpublished work (cf. [29]). Hence, the first assertion directly follows from Theorem 5.19, Corollary 5.17 and the above Tsutsui's work.

On the other hand, Overkamp proved that the map  $b : L \times M \to \mathbb{Z}$  as in (5.3) takes only even values [25, Proposition 3.5]. Hence,  $\tilde{b} : L \to N$  also takes only even values. It implies that  $c_{S^2} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{b} \in \text{Hom}(L, N)$ .

**Remark 5.21.** Under the setting (5.3), these IAMS are uniquely determined by M, L and b. Hence, these IAMS do not depend on the polarization  $\phi$ .

**5.22.** In [8], which we wrote with Odaka after this paper, we study K-trivial finite quotients of abelian varieties by generalizing the discussion of Kummer surfaces which we have seen in this paper. For the rest of this subsection, we consider the generalized case in advance of that.

**Theorem 5.23** ([8, Proof of Corollary 3.3]). Consider an arbitrary abelian variety A over K of dimension g with an action of a finite group H as appeared in (5.2). Assume that H acts trivially on the canonical bundle  $\omega_A$  on A so that the canonical bundle  $\omega_{A/H}$  on A/H is trivial. Then, for the H-equivariant SNC model  $\mathscr{P}$  as appeared in Theorem 3.7, the pair  $(\mathscr{P}/H, (\mathscr{P}/H)_k)$  is qdlt in the sense of [5]. Further, we assume that for any nontrivial  $h \in H$ , the fixed locus of its action on Sk(A) is 0-dimensional. Then  $(\mathscr{P}/H, (\mathscr{P}/H)_k)$  is dlt.

**Proof.** We write the irreducible decomposition of  $\mathscr{P}_k$  as  $\bigcup_i E_i$ . We want to show that for any *h* which is not the identity *e*, *h* does not fix any  $E_i$  pointwise. Suppose the contrary and take a general point of  $x \in E_i$ .  $\mathscr{P}$  is smooth over *R* at *x*, where *R* is the DVR of *K*. We take local coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_g)$  of  $x \in E_i$  which we extend to *h*-invariant coordinates around  $x \in \mathscr{P}$ . Then  $(x_1, \dots, x_g, t)$  is a *H*-invariant local coordinates of  $x \in \mathscr{P}$ , which contradicts with nontriviality of *h*. Hence  $(\mathscr{P}/H)_k$  is reduced. In particular, it implies that the quotient  $(\mathscr{P}/H, (\mathscr{P}/H)_k)$  is qdlt.

Suppose there is  $h(\neq e) \in H$  which preserves a strata *Z* of  $\mathscr{P}_k$  (a log canonical center of  $(\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{P}_k)$ ) pointwise. Then the strata of the dual complex Sk(*A*) which corresponds to *Z* is fixed by *h*, hence contradicts with our last assumption. It implies that the quotient  $(\mathscr{P}/H, (\mathscr{P}/H)_k)$  is dlt.  $\Box$  **Remark 5.24.** Theorem 5.23 partially extends a result by Overkamp in the Kummer surfaces case cf., [25, §2, §3]).

We are now in a position to generalize Theorem 5.19.

**5.25** (setting for K-trivial finite quotients of abelian varieties). Let A be a gdimensional abelian variety over K, H be a group satisfying the whole conditions as appeared in Theorem 5.23, and X be the quotient of the abelian variety A by the group H. We denote by  $\mathscr{A}$  the Néron model of A. After taking a base change along  $f : S' \to S$  as in (3.3) if necessary, there is a  $(G, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M}) \in$  $\mathbf{DEG}_{ample}^{split}$  such that  $A = G_{\eta}$  and  $G = \mathscr{A}^0$  by the semi-abelian reduction [13, Exposé I, Théorème 6.1]. Assume that G is maximally degenerated, which is the same as  $\mathscr{A}$  being. For the tuple  $(M, L, \phi, a, b) = \mathbf{For}(F((G, \mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M})))$ , there is a decomposition  $\Sigma$  as Lem 3.18 after taking a base change along  $f : S' \to S$ as above. In particular, the decomposition  $\Sigma$  is  $\Gamma = L \rtimes H$ -admissible.

Let  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  be the toroidal compactification of  $T = \operatorname{Spec} K[M]$  over R associated with  $\Sigma$  as constructed in (3.8) and  $\mathscr{P}$  be the projective model of A as Theorem 3.7. This  $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$  is an SNC model of T.  $\mathscr{P}$  is a Kulikov model of A as we see in (3.9). Further, we replace  $\mathscr{L}$  by  $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes \kappa}$  so that  $\mathscr{L}$  extends to the ample line bundle  $\mathscr{L}_{\mathscr{P}}$  on  $\mathscr{P}$ . Since  $\mathscr{M}$  is trivial in our setting, there is no need to consider  $\mathscr{M}$  in particular. We denote by  $\mathscr{X}$  the dlt model of X associated with  $\Sigma$  as in Theorem 5.23. That is,  $\mathscr{X} := \mathscr{P}/H$ . By definition, these Kulikov models  $\mathscr{P}$  and  $\mathscr{X}$  are good minimal dlt models with a technical assumption as in [23, (2.3)]. Hence, it holds that  $\operatorname{Sk}(A) = \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P})$  and  $\operatorname{Sk}(X) = \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$ . In addition, we note that  $T^{\operatorname{an}} = \widetilde{\mathscr{P}}_{\operatorname{ber}}, A^{\operatorname{an}} = \mathscr{P}_{\operatorname{ber}}, \text{ and } \mathscr{X}$  are good minimal dlt models  $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}, \mathscr{P}, \text{ and } \mathscr{X}$ . We denote by  $\rho_{\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}}$  (resp.,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}, \rho_{\mathscr{X}}$ ) the Berkovich retraction associated with  $\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}$  (resp.,  $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{X}$ ) as in Definition 4.4. In particular,  $\rho_{\mathscr{P}}$  and  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}$  are non-Archimedean SYZ fibrations. Let  $\rho_T$  be the tropicalization map of T. Here, we denote by  $Z \subset \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$  the ramification locus of  $\operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{P}) \to \operatorname{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$ .

**Theorem 5.26** (NA SYZ picture for K-trivial finite quotients of abelian varieties). Under the setting (5.25), the restriction of the non-Archimedean SYZ fibration  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}} : X^{an} \to Sk(X)$  to the open set  $X^{an} \setminus \rho_{\mathscr{X}}^{-1}(Z)$  is an affinoid torus fibration. In partucular, the integral affine structure on  $Sk(X) \setminus Z$  induced by  $\rho_{\mathscr{X}}$ coincides with the restriction of the quotient structure on  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma = L \rtimes H$ . Moreover, the skelton Sk(X) is an IAMS, that is  $\operatorname{codim} Z \ge 2$ .

**Proof.** In a similar way as Proposition 5.11, the following diagram commutes.

$$T^{\mathrm{an}} \xrightarrow{/L} A^{\mathrm{an}} \xrightarrow{H} X^{\mathrm{an}}$$

$$\rho_{\mathscr{P}} \downarrow \qquad \bigcup \qquad \rho_{\mathscr{P}} \downarrow \qquad \bigcup \qquad \rho_{\mathscr{X}} \downarrow$$

$$\mathrm{Sk}(\widetilde{\mathscr{P}}) \xrightarrow{/L} \mathrm{Sk}(\mathscr{P}) \xrightarrow{H} \mathrm{Sk}(\mathscr{X})$$

$$\xrightarrow{/\Gamma}$$

Hence, it induces an isomorphism  $Sk(X)\setminus Z \cong (N_{\mathbb{R}}/\Gamma)\setminus Z$  as an integral affine manifold by the same discussion as Theorem 5.19.

To finish the proof, we show  $\operatorname{codim} Z \geq 2$ . Since X is K-trivial, the ramification divisor R of the finite morphism  $f : A \to X$  vanishes. If D is a fixed prime divisor on A for some  $h \in H$ , then D is a ramification divisor on A. Indeed, when we set  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$  as the generic point of *D* and f(D), the dimension of the finite morphism  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi'} \to \mathcal{O}_{A,\xi}$  between two DVR's is given by the stabilizer of *H*. In particular,  $\dim_{\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi'}}(\mathcal{O}_{A,\xi}) \ge 2$ . On the other hand,  $\dim_{\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi'}}(\mathcal{O}_{A,\xi})$  is equal to the value of an uniformizing parameter of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,\xi'}$  for the discrete valuation on  $\mathcal{O}_{A,\xi}$ . This is nothing but the ramification index of D. Hence, D is a ramification divisor. From now on, we show that A has a fixed divisor for some  $h \in H$  if codimZ = 1. As in (3.5), any action h on A can lift to an action on the split torus T (= SpecK[M]). In particular, we may assume  $h \in GL(N) \cap GL(L)$ , where  $N = M^{\vee}$ . Then this action descends to the skeleton  $Sk(\mathscr{P}) \cong N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  via the canonical projection  $N_{\mathbb{R}} \to N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$ . By construction, any action  $h \in H$  on  $Sk(\mathcal{P})$  is given in this way. If codimZ = 1, then some h fixes some 1 codimensional subspace in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Fix such an *h*. Here, for the simplicial decomposition of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  as in Lemma 3.18, the stabilizer of H on each simplex is trivial. It implies that h has g - 1 linear independent eigenvectors with eigenvalues 1. In particular, *h* is diagonalizable. Further,  $h \in GL(N)$  implies det  $h = \pm 1$ . If det h = 1, then h must be trivial. Hence, det h = -1. That is, h is diagonalizable with eigenvalues (-1, 1, ..., 1). Since  $N = M^{\vee}$ , the same holds for the action of h on *M*. Then we can take eigenvectors of *h* in *M* since  $h \in GL(M)$ . In particular, we take a primitive eigenvector  $m \in M$  of h with eigenvalue -1. Here,  $z^m - 1 = z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_g^{m_g} - 1$  is a prime element of K[M]. Indeed, we can take a basis of M such that an element of the basis is  $m \in M$  since  $M/m\mathbb{Z}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module and  $0 \to m\mathbb{Z} \to M \to M/m\mathbb{Z} \to 0$ . That is, we may assume that  $m = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  after taking some  $B \in GL(M)$ . Then  $z^m - 1 = z_1 - 1$  is a prime element of K[M] since

$$K[M]/(z_1-1) \cong K[z_2^{\pm}, \dots, z_g^{\pm}].$$

Note that *m* is an eigenvector of *h* with eigenvalue -1. It implies that the prime divisor on *T* defined by  $z^m - 1 = 0$  is invariant for *h*. Note that we can take an affinoid domain *V* of  $T^{an}$  such that the restriction of  $T^{an} \rightarrow A^{an}$  to *V* is an isomorphism and the interior of *V* intersects the closed analytic space defined by  $z^m - 1 = 0$ . It implies that *A* has a prime divisor locally defined by  $z^m - 1 = 0$ . In particular, the prime divisor on *A* is invariant for *h*. It is a contradiction. Hence codim $Z \ge 2$  follows.

### 5.2. Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture.

**5.27.** In this section, we also consider the same situation as (5.3). Furthermore, we assume that  $k = \mathbb{C}$  and  $(G, \mathscr{L})$  is principally polarized (that is,  $\phi : L \to M$  is an isomorphism). We set  $B(l_i, l_j) := b(l_i, \phi(l_j))$ , where  $\{l_i\}$  is a basis of *L*. By definition,  $B : L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}$  is a symmetric positive definite quadric form. We

set  $\Delta := \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid |t| < 1\}$  and  $\Delta^* := \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ . For given  $(G, \mathscr{L})$ , we assume that  $G(\mathbb{C}) \twoheadrightarrow \Delta$ , where  $G(\mathbb{C})$  is the analytification of *G* in the sense of complex analytic space. For abbreviation, we write *G* instead of  $G(\mathbb{C})$ .

**5.28.** We recall the Gromov-Hausdorff limit (cf. [1]). We can define the Gromov-Hausdorff distance  $d_{GH}(X, Y)$  between two metric spaces X and Y. It is known that this distance  $d_{GH}$  is a metric function on the set  $\mathbb{M}$  consisting of the isometry classes of compact metric spaces. In Gromov's celebrated paper [10], he proved that the subset  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathbb{M}$  consisting of the isometry classes of compact Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below and diameter bounded above is relatively compact with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance. It

is known as Gromov's compactness theorem. That is, the closure  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{GH}}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathbb{M}$  is compact. Hence we can define a notion of convergence for sequences in  $\mathcal{M}$ , called Gromov-Hausdorff convergence. In particular, for any sequence of Ricci flat manifolds, we can take a convergent subsequence by rescaling the diameters to be 1. A compact metric space to which such a sequence converges is called a *Gromov-Hausdorff limit* of the sequence.

**5.29.** We discuss the existence of special Lagrangian fibrations near maximally degenerated fibers ('large complex structure limit') for K-trivial surfaces, as expected in the mirror symmetry context, essentially after [24]. For instance, it is called 'metric SYZ conjecture' in [19].

Before stating the statements for abelian surfaces and their quotients, we recall the result proven in [24]. For simplicity of description, we identify Riemannian metrics and induced distance.

**Theorem 5.30** ([24, Chapter 4, especially pp.34-35, 46-49]). For any maximally degenerating family of polarized K3 surfaces  $(\mathcal{X}|_{\Delta^*}, \mathcal{L}|_{\Delta^*})$  over  $\Delta^*$ , the following hold. Here, we denote the fiber over t as  $(X_t, L_t)$ .

(1) For any  $t \in \Delta^*$  with  $|t| \ll 1$ , there is a special Lagrangian fibration  $X_t \to B_t$  with respect to the Kähler form  $\omega_t$  of the Ricci-flat Kähler metric  $g_{\text{KE}}(X_t)$  with  $[\omega_t] = c_1(\mathcal{L}_t)$  and the imaginary part  $\text{Im}(\Omega_t)$  of a non-zero element  $(0 \neq)\Omega_t \in H^0(X_t, \omega_{X_t})$ . Here,  $B_t$  is homeomorphic to  $S^2$ .

We note that  $\omega_t$  and  $\text{Im}(\Omega_t)$  induce affine structures with singularities on  $B_t$  as  $\nabla_A(t)$  and  $\nabla_B(t)$  respectively, as well as its McLean metric  $g_t$  (cf., e.g., [14, §3], [11, §1], [24, §4.3]).

(2) We assume |t| ≪ 1. We consider the obtained S<sup>2</sup> associated with an IAMS structure, and the McLean metric (B<sub>t</sub>, ∇<sub>A</sub>(t), ∇<sub>B</sub>(t), g<sub>t</sub>) for t ≠ 0. When t → 0, they converge in the natural sense, without collapsing, to another S<sup>2</sup> again with three additional structures (B<sub>0</sub>, ∇<sub>A</sub>(0), ∇<sub>B</sub>(0), g<sub>0</sub>).

In this terminology, the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of  $(X_t, g_{KE}(X_t))$  for  $t \to 0$  coincides with  $(B_0, g_0)$ .

**Remark 5.31.** Since hyperKähler rotation is performed in the process of obtaining a special Lagrangian fibration, the complex dimension of  $X_t$  must be 2 (or even).

Below we discuss the case of abelian surfaces and their quotients. In that case, we can apply similar methods as below but more explicitly as (3) and (4) below. The proof follows from essentially the same method as [24] and is easier. The details of the proof appears in [8], which we wrote with Odaka after this paper.

**Theorem 5.32** ([8, Theorem 2.1]). We take an arbitrary maximally degenerating family of polarized abelian surfaces  $(G|_{\Delta^*}, \mathcal{L}|_{\Delta^*})$  over  $\Delta^*$  with a fiber-preserving symplectic action of finite group H on  $G|_{\Delta^*}$  together with linearization on  $\mathcal{L}|_{\Delta^*}$  (e.g., H can be trivial or simple  $\{\pm 1\}$ -multiplication). We denote the quotient by H as  $(G'|_{\Delta^*}, \mathcal{L}'|_{\Delta^*}) \to \Delta^*$ . Then, the following hold:

(1) For any  $t \in \Delta^*$  with  $|t| \ll 1$ , there is a special Lagrangian fibration  $f_t: G_t \to B_t$  with respect to the Kähler form  $\omega_t$  of the flat metric  $g_{KE}(G_t)$  with  $[\omega_t] = c_1(\mathcal{L}_t)$  and the imaginary part  $\operatorname{Im}(\Omega_t)$  of a non-zero element  $\Omega_t \in H^0(G_t, \omega_{G_t})$ . Here,  $B_t$  is a 2-torus and so are all fibers of  $f_t$ . Note that  $\omega_t$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\Omega_t)$  again induce affine structures on  $B_t$  as  $\nabla_A(t)$  and  $\nabla_B(t)$  respectively, as well as its (flat) McLean metric  $g_t$ .

Below, we assume  $|t| \ll 1$ .

(2) We consider the obtained base associated with an integral affine structure and a flat metric  $(B_t, \nabla_A(t), \nabla_B(t), g_t)$  for  $t \neq 0$ . They converge to another a 2-torus with the same additional structures

 $(B_0, \nabla_A(0), \nabla_B(0), g_0)$  in the natural sense, when  $t \to 0$ . In this terminology, the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of  $(G_t, g_{KE}(G_t))$  for  $t \to 0$  coincides with  $(B_0, g_0)$ .

- (3) The H-action on  $G_t$  preserves the fibers of  $f_t$ . Thus, there is a natural induced action of H on  $B_0$ , which preserves the three structures  $\nabla_A(0), \nabla_B(0)$  and  $g_0$ . The natural quotient of  $f_t$  by H denoted as  $f'_t : G'_t \to B'_t$  is again a special Lagrangian fibration with respect to the descents of  $\omega_t$  and  $(0 \neq)\Omega_t \in H^0(G_t, \omega_{G_t})$ .
- (4) If L<sub>Δ\*</sub> is principally polarized and H is trivial, the integral point of B<sub>0</sub> with respect to the integral affine structure ∇<sub>A</sub>(0) consists of only 1 point, which automatically determines ∇<sub>A</sub>(0). The corresponding Gram matrix of g<sub>0</sub> is the matrix (cB(l<sub>i</sub>, l<sub>j</sub>)), where c ∈ ℝ is a correction term to make the diameter to 1. Also the transition function of the integral basis of ∇<sub>A</sub>(0) to that of ∇<sub>B</sub>(0) is given by the same matrix (cB(l<sub>i</sub>, l<sub>j</sub>)).

We are now in a position to define the Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture.

**Corollary 5.33.** Under the setting (5.27), we use the same notation as above Theorem 5.32. Then the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of  $(G'_t, g'_{KE}(G'_t))$  for  $t \to 0$  coincides with the Gromov-Hausdorff limit  $(B'_0, g'_0)$  of  $(B'_t, g'_t)$  for  $t \to 0$ , where the metric  $g'_{KE}(G'_t)$  (resp.  $g'_t$ ) on  $G'_t$  (resp. B') is induced by  $g_{KE}(G_t)$  (resp.  $g_t$ ). Furthermore, the affine manifold  $(B'_0, \nabla'_B(0))$  with singularities coincides with the quotient of the affine manifold  $(B_0, \nabla_B(0))$  by  $H = \{\pm 1\}$ , where the affine structure  $\nabla'_B(0)$  with singularities is induced by  $\nabla_B(0)$ . In particular, we can regard the affine structure  $\nabla'_B(0)$  with singularities as an IAMS structure by rescaling.

**Proof.** It follows from Theorem 5.32 (3). Since the affine structure  $\nabla_B(0)$  of  $B_0$  is detemined by the matrix  $B(l_i, l_i)$  up to scaling, the last assertion holds.

**5.34.** For the degenerateing family  $(G'|_{\Delta^*}, \mathcal{L}'|_{\Delta^*})$  as in Theorem 5.32, we can give the IAMS structure  $\nabla'_B(0)$  (up to scaling) to the Gromov-Hausdorff limit  $B'_0$  as above Corollary 5.33. We call it *Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture*. For instance, it is also called *Collapse Picture* in [17].

On the other hand, for degenerating family of polarized abelian surfaces  $(G|_{\Delta^*}, \mathcal{L}|_{\Delta^*})$  as in Theorem 5.32, we can also give the integral affine structure  $\nabla_B(0)$  (up to scaling) to the Gromov-Hausdorff limit  $B_0$  as Theorem 5.32. Then we also call it Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture.

**Theorem 5.35.** Under the setting (5.27), we use the same notation as Theorem 5.32. Then the integral affine manifold induced by non-Archimedean SYZ Picture coincides with the integral affine manifold induced by the Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture up to scaling. That is,  $Sk(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\nabla_B(0)$  give the same integral affine structure (up to scaling) to the 2-torus  $T^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 5.16 and Theorem 5.32. Indeed, we obtain the integral affine structures on  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  as follows: In non-Archimedean SYZ Picture, the integral affine structure of  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \cong N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  is given by the inclusion  $\tilde{b} : L \to N$ . In the Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture, that of  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  is given by the matrix  $B(l_i, l_j)$  up to scaling. Hence, these two Pictures give the same integral affine structure to  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  up to scaling.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.36** ([17, Conjecture 3] for Kummer Surfaces). Under the setting (5.27), we use the same notation as Corollary 5.33. Then the smooth locus of the IAMS induced by non-Archimedean SYZ Picture coincides with that of the IAMS induced by the Gromov-Hausdorff limit Picture up to scaling. That is,  $Sk(\mathscr{X})$  and  $\nabla'_B(0)$  give the same IAMS structure (up to scaling) to the 2-sphere  $S^2 \cong (S^1 \times S^1)/{\pm 1}$ . In particular, the singular locus of the IAMS is  $Z = \frac{1}{2}L/\Gamma = {4pts}$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Theorem 5.19, Corollary 5.33 and Theorem 5.35. Indeed, those two IAMS structures are the quotient of  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/L$  by H. Hence, these two Pictures give the same integral affine structure to  $N_{\mathbb{R}}/\Gamma$  up to scaling.

**Remark 5.37.** We note that, in the non-Archimedean SYZ Picture, we were implicitly rescaling the affine structure by taking a base change  $f : S' \to S$  as in (5.27).

### References

- BURAGO, DMITRI; BURAGO, YURI; IVANOV, SERGEI. A course in metric geometry. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 33. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 2001. xiv+415 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-2129-6. MR1835418, Zbl 0981.51016, doi: 10.1090/gsm/033. 734
- [2] BERKOVICH, VLADIMIR G. Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 33. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990. x+169 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-1534-2. MR1070709, Zbl 0715.14013, doi: 10.1090/surv/033. 712, 713

- [3] BOUCKSOM, SÉBASTIEN; FAVRE, CHARLES; JONSSON, MATTIAS. Singular semipositive metrics in non-Archimedean geometry. J. Algebraic Geom. 25 (2016), no. 1, 77–139. MR3419957, Zbl 1346.14065, arXiv:1201.0187, doi: 10.1090/jag/656.722
- [4] BOSCH, SIEGFRIED; GÜNTZER, ULRICH; REMMERT, REINHOLD. Non-Archimedean analysis. A systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 261. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. xii+436 pp. ISBN: 3-540-12546-9. MR746961, Zbl 0539.14017, 712
- [5] 731

DE FERNEX, TOMMASO; KOLLÁR, JÁNOS; XU, CHENYANG. The dual complex of singularities. *Higher dimensional algebraic geometry—in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata's sixtieth birthday*, 103–129. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 74. *Math Soc. Japan, Tokyo*, 2017. MR3791210, Zbl 1388.14107, arXiv:1212.1675, doi:10.2969/aspm/07410103.

- [6] FALTINGS, GERD; CHAI, CHING-LI. Degeneration of abelian varieties. With an appendix by David Mumford. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 22. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. xii+316 pp. ISBN: 3-540-52015-5. MR1083353, Zbl 0744.14031, doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-02632-8 709, 713
- [7] GOLDMAN, WILLIAM; HIRSCH, MORRIS W. The radiance obstruction and parallel forms on affine manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 286 (1984), no. 2, 629–649. MR760977, Zbl 0561.57014, doi: 10.2307/1999812 730
- [8] GOTO, KEITA; ODAKA, YUJI. Special Lagrangian fibrations, Berkovich retraction, and crystallographic group. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2023) rnad052. arXiv:2206.14474, doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnad052. 731, 735
- [9] GOTO, KEITA. On the Berkovich double residue fields and birational models. Preprint, 2020. arXiv:2007.03610. 712
- [10] GROMOV, MIKHAEL. Structures métriques pour les variétés riemanniennes. Textes Mathématiques, 1. CEDIC, Paris, 1981. iv+152 pp. ISBN: 2-7124-0714-8. MR682063, Zbl 0509.53034. 734
- [11] GROSS, MARK. Mirror symmetry and the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow conjecture. Current developments in mathematics 2012, 133–191. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013. MR3204345, Zbl 1294.14015, arXiv:1212.4220. 734
- [12] GROSS, MARK; SIEBERT, BERND. Mirror symmetry via logarithmic degeneration data. I. J. Differential Geom. 72 (2006), no. 2, 169–338. MR2213573, Zbl 1107.14029, arXiv:math/0309070, doi: 10.4310/jdg/1143593211 708, 730
- [13] GROTHENDIECK, ALEXANDER; RAYNAUD, M.; RIM, D. S. Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. I. (French) Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 I). Dirigé par A. Grothendieck. Avec la collaboration de M. Raynaud et D. S. Rim. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 288. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972. viii+523 pp. MR0354656, Zbl 0237.00013, doi: 10.1007/BFb0068688. 724, 732
- [14] HITCHIN, NIGEL J. The moduli space of special Lagrangian submanifolds. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 25 (1997), no. 3-4, 503–515. MR1655530, Zbl 1015.32022, arXiv:dg-ga/9711002. 734
- [15] HALLE, LARS HALVARD; NICAISE, JOHANNES. Motivic zeta functions of degenerating Calabi-Yau varieties. *Math. Ann.* 370 (2018), no. 3-4, 1277–1320. MR3770167, Zbl 1400.14045, doi: 10.1007/s00208-017-1578-3. 717
- [16] KEMPF, GEORGE R.; KNUDSEN, FINN FAYE; MUMFORD, DAVID; SAINT-DONAT, BERNARD. Toroidal embeddings. I. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 339. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York*, 1973. viii+209 pp. MR0335518, Zbl 0271.14017 doi:10.1007/BFb0070318.711,718
- [17] KONTSEVICH, MAXIM; SOIBELMAN, YAN. Affine structures and non-Archimedean analytic spaces. *The unity of mathematics*, 321–385. Progr. Math., 244, *Birkhäuser Boston*, *Boston*, *MA*, 2006. MR2181810, Zbl 1114.14027, doi: 10.1007/0-8176-4467-9\_9. 709, 710, 723, 736

- [18] KÜNNEMANN, KLAUS. Projective regular models for abelian varieties, semistable reduction, and the height pairing. *Duke Math. J.* **95** (1998), no. 1, 161–212. MR1646554, Zbl 0955.14017, doi: 10.1215/S0012-7094-98-09505-9. 711, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718
- [19] LI, YANG. Metric SYZ conjecture and non-archimedean geometry. Preprint, 2020. arXiv:2007.01384.734
- [20] MUSTAŢĂ, MIRCEA; NICAISE, JOHANNES. Weight functions on non-Archimedean analytic spaces and the Kontsevich-Soibelman skeleton. *Algebr. Geom.* 2 (2015), no. 3, 365– 404. MR3370127, Zbl 1322.14044, arXiv:1212.6328, doi: 10.14231/AG-2015-016. 722, 723
- [21] MUMFORD, DAVID. An analytic construction of degenerating abelian varieties over complete rings. *Compositio Math.* 24 (1972), 239–272. MR352106, Zbl 0241.14020. 714, 717
- [22] NICAISE, JOHANNES; XU, CHENYANG. The essential skeleton of a degeneration of algebraic varieties. *Amer. J. Math.* **138** (2016), no. 6, 1645–1667. MR3595497, Zbl 1375.14092, arXiv:1307.4041, doi: 10.1353/ajm.2016.0049. 722
- [23] NICAISE, JOHANNES; XU, CHENYANG; YU, TONY YUE. The non-archimedean SYZ fibration. *Compos. Math.* **155** (2019), no. 5, 953–972. MR3946280, Zbl 1420.14093, arXiv:1802.00287, doi:10.1112/s0010437x19007152. 710, 721, 722, 724, 725, 728, 729, 730, 732
- [24] ODAKA, YUJI; OSHIMA, YOSHIKI. Collapsing K3 surfaces, tropical geometry and moduli compactifications of Satake, Morgan–Shalen type. MSJ Memoirs, 40. *Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo*, 2021. x+165 pp. ISBN: 978-4-86497-104-1. MR4290084, Zbl 1474.14064, doi: 10.1142/e071. 734, 735
- [25] OVERKAMP, OTTO. Degeneration of Kummer surfaces. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 171 (2021), no. 1, 65–97. MR4268804, Zbl 1483.14044, doi: 10.1017/S0305004120000067. 714, 716, 717, 721, 725, 731, 732
- [26] RAYNAUD, MICHEL. Géométrie analytique rigide d'après Tate, Kiehl,.... Table Ronde d'Analyse Non Archimédienne (Paris, 1972). Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mém. No. 39-40, 319–327, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1974. MR0470254, Zbl 0299.14003, doi: 10.24033/msmf.170. 712
- [27] ROURKE, COLIN PATRICK; SANDERSON, BRIAN JOSEPH. Introduction to piecewiselinear topology. Reprint Springer Study Edition Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982. viii+123 pp. ISBN: 3-540-11102-6. MR665919, Zbl 0477.57003, doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-81735-9. 719, 720
- [28] STROMINGER, ANDREW; YAU, SHING-TUNG; ZASLOW, ERIC. Mirror symmetry is *T*duality. *Nuclear Phys. B* **479** (1996), no. 1-2, 243–259. MR1429831, Zbl 0896.14024, arXiv:hep-th/9606040, doi: 10.1016/0550-3213(96)00434-8. 708
- [29] TSUTSUI, YUKI. On the radiance obstruction of some tropical surfaces (Japanese). *Proceeding to The 16th Mathematics Conference for Young Researchers*, 2020. https://www.math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp/ wakate/mcyr/2020/en/program.html. 731

(Goto) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, OIWAKE-CHO, KITASHIRAKAWA, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO CITY, KYOTO 606-8285, JAPAN k.goto.math@gmail.com

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2023/29-28.html.