

Configuration spaces, \mathbf{FS}^{op} -modules, and Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials of braid matroids

Nicholas Proudfoot and Ben Young

ABSTRACT. The equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial of a braid matroid may be interpreted as the intersection cohomology of a certain partial compactification of the configuration space of n distinct labeled points in \mathbb{C} , regarded as a graded representation of the symmetric group S_n . We show that, in fixed cohomological degree, this sequence of representations of symmetric groups naturally admits the structure of an \mathbf{FS} -module, and that the dual \mathbf{FS}^{op} -module is finitely generated. Using the work of Sam and Snowden, we give an asymptotic formula for the dimensions of these representations and obtain restrictions on which irreducible representations can appear in their decomposition.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	813
2. Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials and configuration spaces	814
3. The spectral sequence	816
4. \mathbf{FS} -modules and \mathbf{FS}^{op} -modules	821
5. Configurations of points in the plane	824
6. The main theorem	825
7. Examples	828
8. The relative case	829
References	831

1. Introduction

Given a matroid M , the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial $P_M(t)$ was defined in [EPW16]. More generally, if M is equipped with an action of a finite group W , one can define the W -equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial $P_M^W(t)$ [GPY17]. By definition, $P_M^W(t)$ is a graded virtual representation of

Received April 28, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C30, 55R80, 55N33.

Key words and phrases. Configuration space, representation stability, Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial, matroid.

N.P. is supported by NSF grant DMS-1565036.

W , and taking dimension recovers the nonequivariant polynomial. These representations have been computed when M is a uniform matroid [GPY17, Theorem 3.1] and conjecturally for certain graphical matroids [Ged, Conjecture 4.1]. However, in the case of the braid matroid (the matroid associated with the complete graph on n vertices), very little is known. The nonequivariant version of this problem was taken up in [EPW16, Section 2.5] and the S_n -equivariant version in [GPY17, Section 4], but with few concrete results or even conjectures.

In this paper we use an interpretation of the equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial of the braid matroid M_n as the intersection cohomology of a certain partially compactified configuration space to show that, in fixed cohomological degree, it admits the structure of an FS-module, as studied in [Pir00, CEF15, SS17]. Applying the results of Sam and Snowden [SS17], we use the FS-module structure (or, more precisely, the dual FS^{op}-module structure) to improve our understanding of this sequence of representations. In particular, we obtain the following results (Corollary 6.2):

- For fixed i , we prove that the generating function for the i^{th} nonequivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig coefficient of M_n (with n varying) is a rational function with poles lying in a prescribed set.
- For fixed i , we derive an asymptotic formula for the i^{th} nonequivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig coefficient of M_n in terms of another Kazhdan–Lusztig coefficient that depends only on i .
- We show that, if λ is a partition of n and the associated Specht module V_λ appears as a summand of the i^{th} equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig coefficient of M_n , then λ has at most $2i$ rows.

We also produce relative versions of these results in which we start with an arbitrary graph Γ and consider the sequence of graphs whose n^{th} element is obtained from Γ by adding n new vertices and connecting them to everything (including each other). The original problem is the special case where Γ is the empty graph.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to Steven Sam and John Wiltshire-Gordon for extremely helpful discussions without which this paper would not have been written, and to Tom Braden for greatly clarifying the material in Section 3.

2. Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials and configuration spaces

Let M be a matroid on the ground set \mathcal{I} , equipped with an action of a finite group W . This means that W acts on \mathcal{I} by permutations and that the action of W takes bases to bases. An *equivariant realization* of $W \curvearrowright M$ is a W -subrepresentation $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$ such that $B \subset \mathcal{I}$ is a basis for M if and only if V projects isomorphically onto \mathbb{C}^B .

Note that we have $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}} \subset (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{\mathcal{I}}$, sitting inside as the locus of points with no coordinate equal to ∞ . More generally, for any subset $S \subset \mathcal{I}$, let

$p_S \in (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{\mathcal{I}}$ be the point with $(p_S)_i = 0$ for all $i \in S$ and $(p_S)_j = \infty$ for all $j \in S^c$, and let

$$\mathbb{C}_S^{\mathcal{I}} := \left\{ p \in (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{\mathcal{I}} \mid p_i \neq \infty \text{ for all } i \in S \text{ and } p_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } i \in S^c \right\}$$

be the standard affine neighborhood of p_S . Thus $p_{\mathcal{I}} = 0 \in V \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{I}}$. Given a W -subrepresentation $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$, we define the following three spaces with W -actions:

- $U(V) := V \cap (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\mathcal{I}}$, the *complement* of the coordinate hyperplane arrangement in V .
- $Y(V) := \bar{V} \subset (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{\mathcal{I}}$, the *Schubert variety* of V (see [AB16] or [PXY, Section 7]).
- $X(V) := Y(V) \cap \mathbb{C}_\emptyset^{\mathcal{I}}$, the *reciprocal plane* of V .

Note that $Y(V)$ is a compactification of $U(V)$, while V and $X(V)$ are each partial compactifications of $U(V)$.

Let $C_{M,i}^W$ denote the coefficient of t^i in the equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial $P_M^W(t)$ of $W \curvearrowright M$. The following theorem appears in [GPY17, Corollary 2.12] as an application of the work in [PWY16, Section 3].

Theorem 2.1. *If $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$ is an equivariant realization of $W \curvearrowright M$, then $C_{M,i}^W$ is isomorphic as a representation of W to the intersection cohomology group $IH^{2i}(X(V); \mathbb{C})$. In particular, $C_{M,i}^W$ is an honest (not just virtual) representation.*

Let $\mathcal{I}_n := \{(i, j) \mid i \neq j \in [n]\}$, and let M_n be the matroid on the ground set \mathcal{I}_n whose bases consist of oriented spanning trees for the complete graph on n vertices. We will refer to M_n as the *braid matroid*, which comes equipped with a natural action of the symmetric group S_n .

Remark 2.2. It is more standard to define the braid matroid on the ground set of *unordered* pairs of elements of $[n]$. Our matroid M_n is not simple (for any $i \neq j$, the set $\{(i, j), (j, i)\}$ is dependent), and its simplification is S_n -equivariantly isomorphic to the usual braid matroid. In particular, they have the same lattice of flats (see Section 3 for the definition of a flat), and therefore the same equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial. We prefer the ordered version because it is equivariantly realizable (as we explain below), thus we may apply Theorem 2.1.

Consider the linear map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}_n}$ given by $f_{ij}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_i - z_j$. The kernel of f is equal to the diagonal line $\mathbb{C}_\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, so f descends to an inclusion of $V_n := \mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{C}_\Delta$ into $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}_n}$, which gives an equivariant realization of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $U_n := U(V_n)$, $Y_n := Y(V_n)$, and $X_n := X(V_n)$. The space U_n may be identified with the configuration space of n distinct labeled points in \mathbb{C} , modulo simultaneous translation. Informally, V_n is obtained from U_n by allowing the distances between points to go to zero, the reciprocal plane X_n is obtained from U_n by allowing the distances between points to

go to infinity, and the Schubert variety Y_n is obtained from U_n by allowing distances between points to go to either zero or infinity.

Remark 2.3. The reciprocal plane X_n may also be described as the spectrum of the subring $\mathbb{C} \left[\frac{1}{x_i - x_j} \mid i \neq j \right]$ of the ring of rational functions on \mathbb{C}^n . More generally, $X(V)$ is isomorphic to the spectrum of the subring of rational functions on V generated by the reciprocals of the coordinate functions. This ring is called the *Orlik–Terao algebra* of $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$.

The nonequivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial of M_n for $n \leq 20$ appears in [EPW16, Section A.2]. The first few coefficients of this polynomial can be expressed in terms of Stirling numbers [EPW16, Corollary 2.24 and Proposition 2.26]. The same can be said of all of the terms, but the expressions become increasingly complicated. Indeed, the i^{th} coefficient can be expressed as an alternating sum of i -fold products of Stirling numbers, where the number of summands is equal to $2 \cdot 3^{i-1}$ [PXY, Corollary 4.5]. We also made a conjecture about the leading term when n is even [EPW16, Section A]. The degree of the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial is by definition strictly less than half of the rank of the matroid, so the largest possible degree of $P_{M_{2i}}(t)$ is $i - 1$.

Conjecture 2.4. For all $i > 0$, $C_{M_{2i}, i-1} = (2i - 3)!(2i - 1)^{i-2}$, the number of labeled triangular cacti on $(2i - 1)$ nodes [Slo14, Sequence A034941].

The equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial of the braid matroid is even more difficult to understand. The linear term is computed in [GPY17, Proposition 4.4], and we also compute the remaining coefficients for $n \leq 9$ [GPY17, Section 4.3]. We also give a functional equation that characterizes the generating function for the Frobenius characteristics of the equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials [GPY17, Equation (7)], but we do not know how to solve this equation.

3. The spectral sequence

In this section we explain how to construct a spectral sequence to compute the intersection cohomology of the reciprocal plane, which we will later use to endow the Kazhdan–Lusztig coefficients of braid matroids with an FS-module structure. This construction appears for a particular example in [PWY16, Section 3], and we make some remarks there about how to generalize the construction to arbitrary $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$. We will give the construction in full generality here, taking care to emphasize the functoriality, which will be crucial for our application in Section 6.

A subset $F \subset \mathcal{I}$ is called a *flat* of M if there exists a point $v \in V$ such that $F = \{i \mid v_i = 0\}$. Given a flat F , let $V^F := V \cap \mathbb{C}^{F^c} \subset \mathbb{C}^{F^c}$ and let $V_F \subset \mathbb{C}^F$ be the image of V along the projection $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^F$. The dimension of V_F is called the *rank* of F , while the dimension of V^F is called the *corank*.

Given a flat $F \subset \mathcal{I}$, let $Y(V)_F := \{p \in Y(V) \mid p_i = \infty \Leftrightarrow i \in F^c\}$. Then we have

$$(1) \quad Y(V) = \bigsqcup_F Y(V)_F$$

and $Y(V)_F \cong V_F$ for all F [PXY, Lemmas 7.5 and 7.6]. This affine paving may also be described as the orbits of a group action. The additive group \mathbb{C} acts on $\mathbb{C}P^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ by translations; taking products, we obtain an action of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$ on $(\mathbb{C}P^1)^{\mathcal{I}}$. The subgroup $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$ acts on the subvariety $Y(V) := \bar{V} \subset (\mathbb{C}P^1)^{\mathcal{I}}$, and the subset $Y(V)_F$ is equal to the orbit of the point $p_F \in Y(V)$. The stabilizer of p_F is equal to $V^F \subset V$, and the orbit is therefore isomorphic to $V/V^F \cong V_F$.

For any flat $F \subset \mathcal{I}$, there is a canonical inclusion

$$\epsilon_F : X(V^F) \hookrightarrow Y(V) \cap \mathbb{C}_F^{\mathcal{I}}$$

defined explicitly by the formula

$$\epsilon_F(p) := \begin{cases} p_i & \text{if } i \in F^c \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in F. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\epsilon_F(\infty) = p_F$. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_F : V \times X(V^F) &\longrightarrow Y(V) \\ (v, p) &\longmapsto v \cdot \epsilon_F(p). \end{aligned}$$

If we choose a section $s : V_F \rightarrow V$ of the projection $\pi_F : V \rightarrow V_F$, then the restriction of φ_F to $s(V_F) \times X(V^F)$ is an open immersion. In particular, for every $v \in V$, the map $\varphi_{F,v} : X(V^F) \rightarrow Y(V)$ taking p to $\varphi_F(v, p)$ is a normal slice to the stratum $V_F \subset Y(V)$ at the point $\varphi_{F,v}(\infty) = \pi_F(v) \in V_F$.

Intersecting the stratification in Equation (1) with $\mathbb{C}_{\emptyset}^{\mathcal{I}}$, we obtain a stratification

$$X(V) = \bigsqcup_F U(V_F)$$

of the reciprocal plane $X(V)$, which can be used to construct a spectral sequence that computes the intersection cohomology of $X(V)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let W be a finite group acting on \mathcal{I} , and let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}}$ be a W -subrepresentation. There exists a first quadrant cohomological spectral sequence $E(V, i)$ in the category of W -representations with*

$$E(V, i)_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\text{crk} F=p} H^{2i-p-q}(U(V_F); \mathbb{C}) \otimes IH^{2(i-q)}(X(V^F); \mathbb{C}),$$

converging to $IH^{2i}(X(V); \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Let $\iota_F : V_F \rightarrow Y(V)$ denote the inclusion of the stratum of $Y(V)$ indexed by F , which restricts to the inclusion $\iota_F : U(V_F) \rightarrow X(V)$ of the

corresponding stratum of $X(V)$. The stratification of $X(V)$ induces a filtration by supports on the complex of global sections of an injective resolution of the intersection cohomology sheaf $IC_{X(V)}$. This filtered complex gives rise to a spectral sequence $E(V)$ with

$$E(V)_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\text{crk}F=p} \mathbb{H}^{p+q} \left(\iota_F^! IC_{X(V)} \right)$$

converging to $IH^*(X(V); \mathbb{C})$ [BGS96, Section 3.4].

The sheaf $\iota_F^! IC_{X(V)}$ is *a priori* a local system on $U(V^F)$ with fibers equal to the compactly supported intersection cohomology of the stalks of $IC_{X(V)}$. However, since $X(V)$ is open in $Y(V)$, the sheaf $\iota_F^! IC_{X(V)}$ on $U(V^F)$ coincides with the restriction of the sheaf $\iota_F^! IC_{Y(V)}$ on V_F . Since V_F is a vector space, this local system is trivial. Even better, we have a canonical trivialization. For any $v_F \in V_F$, we can choose $v \in V$ with $\pi_F(v) = v_F$, and the slice $\varphi_{F,v} : X(V^F) \rightarrow Y(V)$ induces an isomorphism from the fiber of $\iota_F^! IC_{Y(V)}$ to the compactly supported intersection cohomology group $IH_c^*(X(V^F); \mathbb{C})$. Since the kernel V^F of π_F is connected, this isomorphism does not depend on the choice of v . Thus we have a canonical isomorphism

$$E(V)_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\text{crk}F=p} \bigoplus_{j+k=p+q} H^j(U(V_F); \mathbb{C}) \otimes IH_c^k(X(V^F); \mathbb{C}).$$

We now consider the weight filtration on $E(V)$, and pass to the maximal subquotient $E(V, i)$ of weight $2i$. The group $H^j(U(V_F); \mathbb{C})$ is pure of weight $2j$ [Sha93]; the groups $IH_c^k(X(V^F); \mathbb{C})$ and $IH^k(X(V); \mathbb{C})$ are both pure of weight k , and they vanish when k is odd [EPW16, Proposition 3.9]. This implies that

$$E(V, i)_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\text{crk}F=p} H^{2j-p-q}(U(V_F); \mathbb{C}) \otimes IH_c^{2(p+q-i)}(X(V^F); \mathbb{C}),$$

and that $E(V, i)$ converges to $IH^{2i}(X(V); \mathbb{C})$. Finally, we observe that $\dim X(V^F) = \text{crk}F = p$, so Poincaré duality gives us an isomorphism $IH_c^{2(p+q-i)}(X(V^F); \mathbb{C}) \cong IH^{2(i-q)}(X(V^F); \mathbb{C})$. \square

Remark 3.2. The proof of Theorem 3.1 for a particular class of examples appears in [PWY16, Proposition 3.3]. The argument here is essentially the same. Indeed, we implicitly used Theorem 3.1 in the proof of Theorem 2.1, which originally appeared in [GPY17, Corollary 2.12]. The only new ingredient here is an emphasis of the fact that the local system $\iota_F^! IC_{X(V)}$ is *canonically* trivialized, which we need in order to make sense of Theorem 3.3. We are grateful to Tom Braden for explaining to us how this works.

Next, we will show that for every flat $F \subset \mathcal{I}$, we obtain a canonical map from $E(V, i)$ to $E(V^F, i)$, which we will describe explicitly. The cohomology of $U(V)$ is generated by degree 1 classes $\{\omega_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Explicitly, we have $\omega_i = [d \log z_i]$, where z_i is the coordinate function on $U(V) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{I}}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that $F \subset \mathcal{I}$ is a flat.*

- (1) *There is a canonical map of graded vector spaces*

$$IH^*(X(V); \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow IH^*(X(V^F); \mathbb{C}),$$

equivariant for the stabilizer $W_F \subset W$ of F .

- (2) *There is a canonical map of spectral sequences*

$$E(V, i) \rightarrow E(V^F, i),$$

equivariant for the stabilizer $W_F \subset W$ of F , converging to the map in part (1).

- (3) *If $G \supset F$, then the compositions*

$$IH^*(X(V); \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow IH^*(X(V^F); \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow IH^*(X(V^G); \mathbb{C})$$

and

$$E(V, i) \rightarrow E(V^F, i) \rightarrow E(V^G, i)$$

coincide with

$$IH^*(X(V); \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow IH^*(X(V^G); \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad E(V, i) \rightarrow E(V^G, i).$$

- (4) *The map from*

$$E(V, i)_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\text{crk}G=p} H^{2i-p-q}(U(V_G); \mathbb{C}) \otimes IH^{2(i-q)}(X(V^G); \mathbb{C})$$

to

$$E(V^F, i)_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\substack{G \supset F \\ \text{crk}G=p}} H^{2i-p-q}(U(V_G^F); \mathbb{C}) \otimes IH^{2(i-q)}(X(V^G); \mathbb{C})$$

kills summands with $G \not\supset F$. If $G \supset F$ and $i \in G$, then the map on G summands is induced by the map $H^1(U(V_G); \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(U(V_G^F); \mathbb{C})$ obtained by setting ω_i equal to zero for all $i \in F$.

Proof. For any point $v_F \in U(V_F) \subset V_F$, we have a map

$$\begin{aligned} IH^*(X(V); \mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow H^*(IC_{X(V), v_F}) \cong H^*(IC_{Y(V), v_F}) \\ &\cong H^*(IC_{X(V^F), \infty}) \\ &\cong IH^*(X(V^F); \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism is induced by the slice

$$\varphi_{F,v} : X(V^F) \rightarrow Y(V)$$

for any $v \in V$ such that $\pi_F(v) = v_F$ and the third isomorphism is induced by the contracting action of \mathbb{C}^\times on $X(V^F)$ [Spr84, Corollary 1]. As before, the fact that this map is independent of the choice of v follows from the fact that the kernel V^F of π_F is connected. Since the codimension p strata of $X(V^F)$ coincide with the preimages of the codimension p strata of $Y(V)$, the filtrations of $IC_{Y(V), v_F} \cong IC_{X(V^F), \infty}$ induced by the two stratifications

coincide, thus this map induces a map of spectral sequences associated with the stratifications. This proves parts (1) and (2) of the theorem.

To prove part (3) of the theorem, choose generic elements $v, v' \in V$ and $v'' \in V^F$ such that $v = v' + v''$. We then have maps

$$\varphi_{G,v} : X(V^G) \rightarrow Y(V), \quad \varphi_{F,v'} : X(V^F) \rightarrow Y(V),$$

and

$$\varphi_{G,v''}^F : X(V^G) \rightarrow Y(V^F).$$

If $p \in X(V^G)$ is sufficiently close to the point ∞ (more precisely, if $|p_i| > |v''_i|$ for all $i \in G^c$), then $\varphi_{G,v''}^F(p) \in X(V^F)$. Thus the composition $\varphi_{F,v'} \circ \varphi_{G,v''}^F$ is well defined in a neighborhood of $\infty \in X(V^G)$, and on that neighborhood we have

$$\varphi_{G,v} = \varphi_{F,v'} \circ \varphi_{G,v''}^F.$$

Since the maps in parts (1) and (2) are determined by the behavior of the slice map in a neighborhood of ∞ , this implies that the maps compose as desired.

To prove part (4) of the theorem, we need to understand explicitly the map from the G stratum of $X(V^F)$ to the G stratum of $Y(V)$. Specifically, if $p \in U(V_G^F)$, and $i \in G$, then

$$\varphi_{F,v}(p)_i = \begin{cases} p_i + v_i & \text{if } i \in F^c \\ v_i & \text{if } i \in F. \end{cases}$$

As in the previous paragraph, if we restrict to the open set $B \subset U(V_G^F)$ on which each p_i has norm larger than $|v_i|$, then our map will take values in $U(V_G)$. Note that B is homotopy equivalent to $U(V_G^F)$, and the map in the spectral sequence is determined by the pullback map from $H^*(U(V_G); \mathbb{C})$ to $H^*(B; \mathbb{C}) \cong H^*(U(V_G^F); \mathbb{C})$.

Let z_i be the i^{th} coordinate function on $U(V_G)$, so that $\omega_i = [d \log z_i]$. If $i \in F$, then z_i pulls back to a constant function, so ω_i pulls back to zero. If $i \in G \setminus F$, then z_i pulls back to $z_i - v_i$, so ω_i pulls back to

$$\begin{aligned} [d \log(z_i - v_i)] &= [d \log(z_i \cdot (1 - v_i/z_i))] \\ &= [d \log z_i] + [d \log(1 - v_i/z_i)] \\ &= \omega_i + [d \log(1 - v_i/z_i)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the norm of z_i is always greater than the norm of v_i on B , the real part of $1 - v_i/z_i$ is always positive, which implies that $d \log(1 - v_i/z_i)$ is exact. Thus ω_i pulls back to ω_i , as desired. \square

We now unpack Theorem 3.1 in the special case where $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_n$ and $V = V_n$. In this case, flats are in bijection with set-theoretic partitions of $[n]$. More precisely, given a partition of $[n]$, the set of all ordered pairs (i, j) such that i and j lie in the same block of the partition is a flat, and every flat arises in

this way. A flat of corank p corresponds to a partition into $p + 1$ (unlabeled) blocks P_1, \dots, P_{p+1} . Given such a flat F , we have

$$U((V_n)_F) \cong U_{|P_1|} \times \cdots \times U_{|P_{p+1}|}$$

and $X(V_n^F) \cong X_{p+1}$. In order to clarify the issue of labeled versus unlabeled partitions, we make the following definitions:

$$A_i^{p,q}(n) := \bigoplus_{f:[n] \rightarrow [p+1]} H_{2i-p-q} \left(U_{|f^{-1}(1)|} \times \cdots \times U_{|f^{-1}(p+1)|}; \mathbb{C} \right) \otimes IH_{2(i-q)}(X_{p+1}; \mathbb{C})$$

and

$$B_i^{p,q}(n) := A_i^{p,q}(n)^{S_{p+1}},$$

where S_{p+1} acts on $[p + 1]$. Thus we have the following corollary of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.4. *There exists a first quadrant cohomological spectral sequence $E(n, i)$ in the category of S_n -representations with $E(n, i)_1^{p,q} = B_i^{p,q}(n)^*$ converging to $IH^{2i}(X_n)$.*

Remark 3.5. The reason for using homology rather than cohomology in the definition of $A_i^{p,q}(n)$ (and then undoing this by dualizing in Corollary 3.4) will become clear in Section 6. Briefly, the explanation is that intersection cohomology admits the structure of an FS-module and intersection homology admits the structure of an FS^{op}-module, and it is the FS^{op}-module structure that will prove to be more useful.

4. FS-modules and FS^{op}-modules

Let FS be the category whose objects are nonempty finite sets and whose morphisms are surjective maps. An FS-module is a covariant functor from FS to the category of complex vector spaces, and an FS^{op}-module is a contravariant functor from FS to the category of complex vector spaces. If N is an FS-module or an FS^{op}-module, we write $N(n) := N([n])$, which we regard as a representation of the symmetric group $S_n = \text{Aut}_{\text{FS}}([n])$. Let FA be the category whose objects are nonempty finite sets and whose morphisms are all maps.

For any positive integer m , let $P_m := \mathbb{C}\{\text{Hom}_{\text{FS}}(-, [m])\}$ be the FS^{op}-module that takes a finite set E to the vector space with basis given by surjections from E to $[m]$; this is a projective FS^{op}-module called the *principal projective* at m . We say that an FS^{op}-module N is *finitely generated* if it is isomorphic to the quotient of a finite sum of principal projectives, and we say that it is *finitely generated in degrees $\leq d$* if one only needs to use P_m for $m \leq d$. This is equivalent to the statement that, for any finite set E and any vector $v \in N(E)$, we can write v as a finite linear combination of elements of the form $f^*(x)$, where $f : E \twoheadrightarrow [m]$ and $x \in N(m)$ for some $m \leq d$.

We call an FS^{op} -module *d-small* if it is a subquotient of a module that is finitely generated in degrees $\leq d$. A *d-small* FS^{op} -module is always finitely generated [SS17, Corollary 8.1.3], but not necessarily in degrees $\leq d$.

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}) \vdash n$, let V_λ be the corresponding irreducible representation of S_n . If λ is a partition of k and $n \geq k + \lambda_1$, let $\lambda(n)$ be the partition of n obtained by adding a part of size $n - k$. For any FS^{op} -module N , consider the ordinary generating function

$$H_N(u) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u^n \dim N(n),$$

and the exponential generating function

$$G_N(u) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} \dim N(n).$$

For any natural number d , let

$$r_d(N) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim N(n)}{d^n},$$

which may or may not exist. The statements and proofs of the following results were communicated to us by Steven Sam.

Theorem 4.1. *Let N be a d -small FS^{op} -module.*

- (1) *If $\lambda \vdash n$ and $\text{Hom}_{S_n}(V_\lambda, N(n)) \neq 0$, then $\ell(\lambda) \leq d$.*
- (2) *For any partition λ with $n \geq |\lambda| + \lambda_1$, $\dim \text{Hom}_{S_n}(V_{\lambda(n)}, N(n))$ is bounded by a polynomial in n of degree at most $d - 1$.*
- (3) *The ordinary generating function $H_N(u)$ is a rational function whose poles are contained in the set $\{1/j \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\}$.*
- (4) *There exists polynomials $p_0(u), \dots, p_d(u)$ such that the exponential generating function $G_N(u)$ is equal to*

$$\sum_{j=0}^d p_j(u) e^{ju}.$$

- (5) *The function $H_N(u)$ has at worst a simple pole at $1/d$. Equivalently, the limit $r_d(N)$ exists, and the polynomial $p_d(u)$ in statement (4) is the constant function with value $r_d(N)$.*

Proof. To prove statements (1) and (2), it is sufficient to prove them for the principal projective P_m for all $m \leq d$. Let $Q_m(-) := \mathbb{C}\{\text{Hom}_{\text{FA}}(-, [m])\}$, so that P_m is a submodule of Q_m . Then $Q_m(n) \cong (\mathbb{C}^m)^{\otimes n}$, and Schur–Weyl duality tells us that the multiplicity of V_λ in this representation is equal to the dimension of the representation of $\text{GL}(m; \mathbb{C})$ indexed by λ . In particular, it is zero unless λ has at most m parts, and the dimension of the representation indexed by $\lambda(n)$ is bounded by a polynomial in n of degree at most $m - 1$. Statements (1) and (2) follow for Q_m , and therefore for P_m .

If N' is finitely generated in degrees $\leq d$, then statement (3) holds for N' by [SS17, Corollary 8.1.4]. If N is a subquotient of N' , then it is still finitely generated in degrees $\leq r$ for some r , so statement (3) holds for N with d replaced by r . But, since N is a subquotient of N' , we have

$$\dim N(n) \leq \dim N'(n)$$

for all n , which implies that $e_j = 0$ for all $j \leq r$.

Statement (4) follows from statement (3) by finding a partial fractions decomposition of the ordinary generating function, as observed in [SS17, Remark 8.1.5].

To prove statement (5), it is again sufficient to consider P_m for all $m \leq d$. We have

$$\dim P_m(n) = |\text{Hom}_{\text{FS}}([n], [m])| \leq |\text{Hom}_{\text{FA}}([n], [m])| = m^n \leq d^n.$$

Since N is a subquotient of a finite direct sum of modules of this form, the dimension of $N(n)$ is bounded by a constant times d^n . \square

We now record a pair of lemmas that say that certain natural constructions preserve smallness.

Lemma 4.2. *Fix a natural number k , a k -tuple (d_1, \dots, d_k) of natural numbers, and a collection of FS^{op} -modules N_1, \dots, N_k such that N_i is d_i -small. Let $d = d_1 + \dots + d_k$. Then the FS^{op} -module N given by the formula*

$$N(E) := \bigoplus_{f:E \rightarrow [k]} N_1(f^{-1}(1)) \otimes \dots \otimes N_k(f^{-1}(k))$$

is d -small.

Proof. Since d -smallness is preserved by taking direct sums and passing to subquotients, we may assume that $N_i = P_{m_i}$ for some $m_i \leq d_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(E) &\cong \bigoplus_{f:E \rightarrow [k]} P_{m_1}(f^{-1}(1)) \otimes \dots \otimes P_{m_k}(f^{-1}(k)) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{f:E \rightarrow [k]} \mathbb{C} \{ \text{Hom}_{\text{FS}}(f^{-1}(1), [m_1]) \} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C} \{ \text{Hom}_{\text{FS}}(f^{-1}(k), [m_k]) \} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{f:E \rightarrow [k]} \mathbb{C} \{ \text{Hom}_{\text{FS}}(f^{-1}(1), [m_1]) \times \dots \times \text{Hom}_{\text{FS}}(f^{-1}(k), [m_k]) \} \\ &\cong \mathbb{C} \{ \text{Hom}_{\text{FS}}(E, [m_1] \sqcup \dots \sqcup [m_k]) \} \\ &\cong \mathbb{C} \{ \text{Hom}_{\text{FS}}(E, [m_1 + \dots + m_k]) \} \\ &\cong P_{m_1 + \dots + m_k}(E), \end{aligned}$$

so N is d -small. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let N be d -small and let S be any set. Let N_S be the FS -module defined by putting $N_S(E) := N(S \sqcup E)$ for all E , with maps defined in the obvious way. Then N_S is also d -small.*

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we may reduce to the case where $N = P_m$ for $m \leq d$. In this case, it is sufficient to show that every surjection $f : S \sqcup E \rightarrow [m]$ factors as $g \circ (\text{id}_S \sqcup h)$, where g is a surjection from $S \sqcup [j]$ to $[m]$ for some $j \leq m$ and h is a surjection from $[m]$ to $[j]$. It is clear that we can do this by taking j to be the cardinality of $f(E)$. \square

Remark 4.4. The functor $N \mapsto N_S$ is called a *shift functor*, and the analogous operation for FI-modules has appeared in many contexts; see, for example, [CEFN14, Section 2].

Finally, the following lemma will be needed in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $N \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N''$ is a complex of d -small FS^{op} -modules, and let H denote its homology in the middle. If*

$$r_d(N) = 0 = r_d(N''),$$

then

$$r_d(H) = r_d(N').$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that

$$\dim N'(n) - \dim N(n) - \dim N''(n) \leq \dim H(n) \leq \dim N(n)$$

and the definition of r_d . \square

5. Configurations of points in the plane

For any finite set E , let $\text{Conf}(E)$ be the space of injective maps from E to \mathbb{R}^2 . Arnol'd [Arn69] proved that

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(\text{Conf}(E); \mathbb{C}) \\ \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} [x_{ij} \mid i, j \in E] \Big/ \left\langle x_{ii}, x_{ij} - x_{ji}, x_{ij}x_{jk} + x_{jk}x_{ki} + x_{ki}x_{ij} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(E) &:= H^i(\text{Conf}(E); \mathbb{C}), \\ H_i(E) &:= H_i(\text{Conf}(E); \mathbb{C}) \cong H^i(\text{Conf}(E); \mathbb{C})^*. \end{aligned}$$

Given a map $f : E \rightarrow F$, we have a map $H^*(\text{Conf}(E); \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{Conf}(F); \mathbb{C})$ taking x_{ij} to $x_{f(i)f(j)}$. This gives H^i the structure of an FA-module and H_i the structure of an FA^{op} -module. Since FS is a subcategory of FA, we may regard H^i as an FS-module and H_i as an FS^{op} -module.

Proposition 5.1. *The FS^{op} -module H_0 is 1-small. If $i \geq 1$, then H_i is $2i$ -small and $r_{2i}(H_i) = 0$.*

Proof. We have $H_0 \cong P_1$, which is by definition 1-small. Since $H^*(E)$ is generated in degree 1, $H^i(E)$ is a quotient of $H^1(E)^{\otimes i}$. This means that $H_i(E)$ is a subspace of $H_1(E)^{\otimes i}$, thus to prove $2i$ -smallness it will suffice to show that H_1 is finitely generated in degrees ≤ 2 . We begin by showing that H_1 is finitely generated in degrees ≤ 3 . Let E be any set; the group $H_1(E)$

has a basis $\{e_{ij}\}$, dual to the basis $\{x_{ij}\}$ for $H^1(E)$. Let $i \neq j$ be elements of E , and consider the map $E \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ taking i to 1, j to 2, and everything else to 3. The induced map $H_1(\{1, 2, 3\}) \rightarrow H_1(E)$ takes e_{12} to e_{ij} , so we obtain a surjective map from the projective module $P_{\{1,2,3\}}$ to $H_1(E)$.

To get down from 3 to 2, consider the parity map $\{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$. The induced map $H_1(\{1, 2\}) \rightarrow H_1(\{1, 2, 3\})$ takes e_{12} to $e_{12} + e_{23}$. By symmetry, we can vary the map and obtain $e_{13} + e_{23}$ and $e_{12} + e_{13}$ as images of induced maps from $H_1(\{1, 2\})$ to $H_1(\{1, 2, 3\})$. Since these three vectors span $H_1(\{1, 2, 3\})$, H_1 is generated in degree 2.

For the last statement, we begin by noting that $\dim H_1(n) = \binom{n}{2}$, therefore

$$r_2(H_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} \binom{n}{2} = 0.$$

This implies $r_{2i}(H_1^{\otimes i}) = r_2(H_1)^i = 0$. Since $H_i \subset H_1^{\otimes i}$, we have $r_{2i}(H_i) = 0$, as well. □

Remark 5.2. The second statement of Proposition 5.1 also follows from the fact that H^i is finitely generated as an FI-module [CEF15, Theorem 6.2.1]. (More generally, they prove this with \mathbb{R}^2 replaced by any connected, oriented manifold of dimension greater than 1 with finite dimensional cohomology.) This implies that the dimension of $H^i(n)$ grows as a polynomial in n [CEF15, Theorem 1.5], thus the same is true for the dimension of the FS^{op} -module $H_i(n) \cong H^i(n)^*$.

For any $p \geq 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Comp}_{p,i}(E) &:= \bigoplus_{f:E \rightarrow [p+1]} \left(H_{\bullet}(f^{-1}(1)) \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\bullet}(f^{-1}(p+1)) \right)_i \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\substack{f:E \rightarrow [p+1] \\ i_1 + \cdots + i_{p+1} = i}} H_{i_1}(f^{-1}(1)) \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{i_{p+1}}(f^{-1}(p+1)). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\text{Comp}_{p,i}$ comes endowed with a natural FS^{op} -module structure.

Proposition 5.3. *The FS^{op} -module $\text{Comp}_{p,0}$ is $(p+1)$ -small, and $\text{Comp}_{p,i}$ is $(p+2i)$ -small for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 5.1 the summand of $\text{Comp}_{p,i}$ corresponding to the tuple (i_1, \dots, i_{p+1}) is $(d+2i)$ -small, where d is the number of k such that $i_k = 0$. When $i = 0$, we have $d = p+1$. When $i > 0$, the maximum value of d is p . □

6. The main theorem

For any finite set E , let $\mathcal{I}_E := \{(i, j) \mid i \neq j \in E\}$, and define $V_E \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}_E}$ in a manner analogous to the definition of $V_n \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{I}_n}$ in Section 6. In particular, we have $\mathcal{I}_{[n]} = \mathcal{I}_n$ and $V_{[n]} = V_n$. Define the reciprocal plane $X_E := X(V_E)$,

and let $D_i(E) := IH^{2i}(X_E; \mathbb{C})$. By Theorem 2.1, $D_i(E)$ is the i^{th} $\text{Aut}(E)$ -equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig coefficient of the matroid M_E associated with the complete graph on the vertex set E . In particular, if we take $E = [n]$, we have $D_i(n) = C_{M_n, i}^{S_n}$.

A surjective map of sets $E \rightarrow F$ is equivalent to the data of a partition of E along with a bijection between F and the set of parts of the partition. A partition of E determines a flat of M_E , and the bijection between F and the set of parts of the partition determines an isomorphism from X_F to $X((V_E)^F)$. Thus, Theorem 3.3(1) gives us a map from $D_i(E)$ to $D_i(F)$, and the first half of Theorem 3.3(3) tells us that D_i is an FS-module.

For any nonnegative integers p, q , define

$$A_i^{p,q}(E) := \text{Comp}_{p, 2i-p-q}(E) \otimes D_{i-q}^*(p+1).$$

Since $\text{Comp}_{p, 2i-p-q}$ is an FS^{op} -module with an action of the symmetric group S_{p+1} (given by permuting the pieces of the composition) and $D_{i-q}(p+1)^*$ is a fixed vector space equipped with an action of S_{p+1} , $A_i^{p,q}$ inherits the structure of an FS^{op} -module with an action of the symmetric group S_{p+1} . Let $B_i^{p,q} := (A_i^{p,q})^{S_{p+1}}$ be the invariant submodule, and let $(B_i^{p,q})^*$ be the dual FS-module. By Corollary 3.4, we have a first quadrant cohomological spectral sequence with E_1 page $B_i^{p,q}(E)^*$ that converges to $D_i(E)$. By the second half of Theorem 3.3(3), each $(B_i^{p,q})^*$ admits the structure of an FS-module such that the FS-module maps commute with the differentials in the spectral sequence. By Theorem 3.3(4), the FS-module structure on $(B_i^{p,q})^*$ coming from Theorem 3.3(3) coincides with the FS-module structure that we defined explicitly.

Theorem 6.1. *For all $i \geq 1$, the FS^{op} -module D_i^* is $2i$ -small, and we have*

$$r_{2i}(D_i^*) = \frac{\dim D_{i-1}(2i)}{(2i)!}.$$

Proof. We first prove that D_i^* is $2i$ -small. Since smallness is preserved under taking subquotients, it suffices to prove that $B_i^{p,q}$ is $2i$ -small for all p and q . Since $B_i^{p,q} \subset A_i^{p,q}$, it suffices to prove it for $A_i^{p,q}$. By Proposition 5.3 and the fact that smallness is preserved by taking a tensor product with a fixed vector space, $A_i^{p,q}$ is $(p+1)$ -small when $p+q = 2i$ and $(p+2(2i-p-q))$ -small otherwise.

Consider the case where $p+q = 2i$. By definition of the equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial, $D_i(E) = 0$ unless $2i < |E| - 1$ or $|E| = 1$ and $i = 0$. In particular, if $p = 2i$ and $q = 0$, then $D_{i-q}(p+1) = D_i(2i) = 0$, and therefore $A_i^{p,q} = 0$. Thus we may assume that $p < 2i$. Since $A_i^{p,q}$ is $(p+1)$ -small it is also $2i$ -small.

Next, consider the case where $p+q < 2i$, so $A_i^{p,q}$ is $(p+2(2i-p-q))$ -small. By the above vanishing property for $D_i(E)$, we have $D_{i-q}(p+1) = 0$ unless $2(i-q) < p$ or $p = 0$ and $q = i$. Thus we may conclude that $A_i^{p,q} = 0$ unless

$$p+2(2i-p-q)+p = 2(i-q)-p+2i < 2i \quad \text{or} \quad p = 0 \text{ and } q = i.$$

In particular, $A_i^{p,q}$ is $2i$ -small, and therefore so is D_i^* .

This argument in fact proves that $A_i^{p,q}$ is $(2i - 1)$ -small unless $(p, q) = (0, i)$ or $(2i - 1, 1)$, and the same is therefore true for $B_i^{p,q}$. Furthermore, we have $B_i^{0,i} \cong H_i$, and Proposition 5.1 tells us that $r_{2i}(H_i) = 0$. Thus $r_{2i}(B_i^{p,q}) = 0$ unless $(p, q) = (2i - 1, 1)$, and Lemma 4.5 therefore tells us that $r_{2i}(D_i^*) = r_{2i}(B_i^{2i-1,1})$.

We have $B_i^{2i-1,1} \cong (\text{Comp}_{2i-1,0})^{S_{2i}} \otimes D_{i-1}^*(2i)$, where $(\text{Comp}_{2i-1,0})^{S_{2i}}$ is the FS^{op}-module that takes E to a vector space with basis given by partitions of E into $2i$ nonempty pieces. This means that $\dim(\text{Comp}_{2i-1,0})^{S_{2i}}(n)$ is equal to the Stirling number of the second kind $S(n, 2i)$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2i}(D_i^*) &= r_{2i}(B_i^{2i-1,1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim B_i^{2i-1,1}(n)}{(2i)^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(n, 2i) \dim D_{i-1}(2i)}{(2i)^n} \\ &= \frac{\dim D_{i-1}(2i)}{(2i)!}, \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proved. □

Let $H_i(u) := H_{D_i^*}(u)$ and $G_i(u) := G_{D_i^*}(u)$. Note that, since representations of finite groups are self-dual, $H_i(u)$ and $G_i(u)$ may be regarded as generating functions (ordinary and exponential) for the degree i Kazhdan–Lusztig coefficients of braid matroids. The following corollary follows immediately from Theorems 4.1 and 6.1.

Corollary 6.2. *Let i be a positive integer.*

- (1) *If $\lambda \vdash n$ and $\text{Hom}_{S_n}(V_\lambda, D_i(n)) \neq 0$, then $\ell(\lambda) \leq 2i$.*
- (2) *For any partition λ with $n \geq |\lambda| + \lambda_1$, $\dim \text{Hom}_{S_n}(V_{\lambda(n)}, D_i(n))$ is bounded by a polynomial in n of degree at most $2i - 1$.*
- (3) *The ordinary generating function $H_i(u)$ is a rational function whose poles are contained in the set $\{1/j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2i\}$. Furthermore, $H_i(u)$ has at worst a simple pole at $1/2i$.*
- (4) *There exists polynomials $p_0(u), \dots, p_{2i}(u)$ such that the exponential generating function $G_i(u)$ is equal to*

$$\sum_{j=0}^d p_j(u) e^{ju}.$$

Furthermore, $p_{2i}(u)$ is equal to the constant polynomial with value $r_{2i}(D_i^) = \frac{\dim D_{i-1}(2i)}{(2i)!}$.*

Remark 6.3. Theorem 6.1 and Conjecture 2.4 combine to say that

$$r_{2i}(D_i^*) = \frac{(2i - 3)!!(2i - 1)^{i-2}}{(2i)!} = \frac{(2i - 1)^{i-3}}{2^i i!}.$$

In particular, if Conjecture 2.4 is true (or more generally if $D_{i-1}(2i) \neq 0$), then $H_i(u)$ does have a pole at $1/2i$.

7. Examples

We now example the cases when $i = 1$ or 2 in greater detail.

Example 7.1. We first consider the case when $i = 1$. In [GPY17, Proposition 4.4], we showed that $\text{Hom}_{S_n}(V_\lambda, D_1(n)) = 0$ for all λ with more than 2 rows, and that $\dim \text{Hom}_{S_n}(V_{[k](n)}, D_1(n))$ is bounded by $n/2 + 1 - k$. By [EPW16, Corollary 2.24], we have $\dim D_1(n) = 2^{n-1} - 1 - \binom{n}{2}$, which implies that

$$H_1(u) = \frac{u^4}{(1-u)^3(1-2u)}$$

and

$$G_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{u^2}{2} - 1\right)e^u + \frac{1}{2}e^{2u}.$$

In particular, $r_2(D_1^*) = 1/2 = \dim D_0(2)/2!$.

Example 7.2. We next consider the case when $i = 2$. By [EPW16, Corollary 2.24], we have

$$\dim D_2(n) = s(n, n-2) - S(n, n-1)S(n-1, 2) + S(n, 3) + S(n, 4),$$

where $s(n, k)$ and $S(n, k)$ are Stirling numbers of the first and second kind, respectively. We have well-known generating function identities

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} S(n, k)u^n = \frac{u^k}{\prod_{j=1}^k (1 - ju)},$$

as well as [Slo14, A000914]

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} s(n, n-2)u^n = \frac{2u^3 + u^4}{(1-u)^5}.$$

Since $S(n, n-1)S(n-1, 2) = \binom{n}{2}(2^{n-2} - 1)$, it is not hard to show that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} S(n, n-1)S(n-1, 2)u^n = \frac{u^2}{(1-2u)^3} - \frac{u^2}{(1-u)^3}.$$

Putting it all together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(u) &= \frac{2u^3 + u^4}{(1-u)^5} - \left(\frac{u^2}{(1-2u)^3} - \frac{u^2}{(1-u)^3} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{u^3}{(1-u)(1-2u)(1-3u)} + \frac{u^4}{(1-u)(1-2u)(1-3u)(1-4u)} \\ &= \frac{15u^6 - 50u^7 + 40u^8 + 4u^9}{(1-u)^5(1-2u)^3(1-4u)}. \end{aligned}$$

After performing a partial fractions decomposition we find that

$$r_4(D_2^*) = \frac{1}{24} = \frac{1}{4!} \dim D_1(4).$$

We do not have a general formula for the dimension of $\text{Hom}_{S_n}(V_\lambda, D_2(n))$, but we have computed $D_2(n)$ for all $n \leq 9$ [GPY17, Section 4.4], and it is indeed the case in these examples that the multiplicity of V_λ in $D_2(n)$ is zero whenever λ has more than 4 rows.

8. The relative case

Let Γ be a finite graph with vertex set V . For any finite set E , let $\Gamma(E)$ be the graph with vertex set $V \sqcup E$ such that two elements of V are adjacent if and only if they were adjacent in Γ , and elements of E are adjacent to everything. We will define an FS-module structure on the i^{th} $\text{Aut}(E)$ -equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig coefficient $D_i^\Gamma(E)$ of the matroid associated with the graph $\Gamma(E)$, and prove that the dual FS^{op}-module is $2i$ -small. If Γ is the empty graph, then $\Gamma(E)$ is just the complete graph on E , so we have $D_i^\Gamma = D_i$.

We begin by generalizing the material in Section 5. Let $\Gamma = (V, Q)$ be a finite graph with vertex set V and edge set Q , and let $\text{Conf}(\Gamma)$ be the set of maps from V to \mathbb{R}^2 that send adjacent vertices to distinct points. We have the following description of the cohomology ring of $\text{Conf}(\Gamma)$ [OT92, Theorems 3.126 and 5.89]:

$$H^*(\text{Conf}(\Gamma); \mathbb{C}) \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}[x_q]_{q \in Q} / \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j x_{q_1} \cdots \hat{x}_{q_j} \cdots x_{q_k} \mid (q_1, \dots, q_k) \text{ a closed path} \right\rangle$$

\cong the subring of all meromorphic differential forms on \mathbb{C}^V

$$\text{generated by } \frac{dz_i - dz_j}{z_i - z_j} \text{ for all } \{i, j\} \in Q.$$

By definition, a map from $\Gamma = (V, Q)$ to $\Gamma' = (V', Q')$ is a map from V to V' that takes Q to Q' . Given a map $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$, we obtain a map $H^*(\text{Conf}(\Gamma); \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{Conf}(\Gamma'); \mathbb{C})$ taking x_q to $x_{f(q)}$. In particular, we obtain an FA-module $H_\Gamma^i(E) := H^i(\text{Conf}(\Gamma(E)); \mathbb{C})$ and a dual FA^{op}-module $H_i^\Gamma(E) := H_i(\text{Conf}(\Gamma(E)); \mathbb{C})$. As in the case where Γ is empty, we can regard H_Γ^i as an FS-module and H_i^Γ as an FS^{op}-module. The proof of the following proposition is identical to the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 8.1. *The FS^{op}-module H_0^Γ is 1-small. If $i \geq 1$, then H_i^Γ is $2i$ -small and $r_{2i}(H_i^\Gamma) = 0$.*

Given a graph Γ with vertex set V and a subset $S \subset V$, let Γ_S be the induced subgraph with vertex set S . Given a surjective map $f : V \rightarrow V'$, let

Γ^f be the graph with vertex set V' whose edges are the images of edges of Γ (ignoring loops and multiple edges). Fix a graph Δ with vertex set $[p + 1]$, and define $\text{Comp}_{p,i}^{\Gamma,\Delta}(E)$ to be

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{f:V\sqcup E\rightarrow[p+1] \\ \Gamma(E)^f=\Delta \\ \Gamma(E)_{f^{-1}(j)} \text{ connected } \forall j}} H_i\left(\text{Conf}(\Gamma(E)_{f^{-1}(1)}) \times \cdots \times \text{Conf}(\Gamma(E)_{f^{-1}(p+1)}) ; \mathbb{C}\right).$$

Given surjective maps $g : E \rightarrow F$ and $f : V \sqcup F \rightarrow [p + 1]$ such that $\Gamma(E)_{f^{-1}(j)}$ is connected for all j , we can compose f with g to obtain a surjective map $g^*f : V \sqcup E \rightarrow [p + 1]$ with the property that $\Gamma(E)_{(g^*f)^{-1}(i)}$ is connected for all j and $\Gamma(E)^{g^*f} = \Gamma(F)^f$. This observation allows us to define an FS^{op} -module structure on $\text{Comp}_{p,i}^{\Gamma,\Delta}$. Taking Γ to be the empty graph and Δ the complete graph, we have $\text{Comp}_{p,i}^{\Gamma,\Delta} = \text{Comp}_{p,i}$. The following proposition generalizes Proposition 5.3.

Proposition 8.2. *The FS^{op} -module $\text{Comp}_{p,0}^{\Gamma,\Delta}$ is $(p+1)$ -small, and $\text{Comp}_{p,i}^{\Gamma,\Delta}$ is $(p + 2i)$ -small for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $\text{Comp}_{p,i}^{\Gamma} := \bigoplus_{\Delta} \text{Comp}_{p,i}^{\Gamma,\Delta}$. We will prove that $\text{Comp}_{p,i}^{\Gamma}$ is $(p+1)$ -small when $i = 0$ and $(p + 2i)$ -small when $i \geq 1$, and therefore so is each of its summands. The above description of the cohomology ring of $\text{Conf}(\Gamma)$ in terms of meromorphic differential forms makes it clear that $H^*(\text{Conf}(\Gamma); \mathbb{C})$ is a subring of $H^*(\text{Conf}(V); \mathbb{C})$, and therefore that the f -summand of $\text{Comp}_{p,i}^{\Gamma,\Delta}(E)$ is a quotient of the f -summand of $\text{Comp}_{p,i}(V \sqcup E)$. The proposition then follows from Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 4.3. \square

We next generalize the material in Section 6. For any finite set E and any nonnegative integers p, q , define

$$A_{\Gamma,i}^{p,q}(E) := \bigoplus_{\Delta} \text{Comp}_{p,2i-p-q}^{\Gamma,\Delta}(E) \otimes D_{i-q}^{\Delta}(\emptyset)^*.$$

As in the case where Γ is the empty graph, $A_{\Gamma,i}^{p,q}$ is an FS^{op} -module with an action of S_{p+1} , and we define the invariant FS^{op} -module $B_{\Gamma,i}^{p,q} := (A_{\Gamma,i}^{p,q})^{S_{p+1}}$ along with its dual FS -module $(B_{\Gamma,i}^{p,q})^*$. There is again a first quadrant cohomological spectral sequence with E_1 page $B_{\Gamma,i}^{p,q}(E)^*$ that converges to $D_i^{\Gamma}(E)$, inducing an FS -module structure on D_i^{Γ} .

Theorem 8.3. *Let Γ be a graph with vertex set V . For all $i \geq 1$, the FS^{op} -module $(D_i^{\Gamma})^*$ is $2i$ -small, and we have*

$$r_{2i}((D_i^{\Gamma})^*) = \frac{(2i)^{|V|} \dim D_{i-1}(2i)}{(2i)!} = (2i)^{|V|} r_{2i}(D_i^*).$$

Proof. The same argument that we used in the proof of Theorem 6.1 shows that $(D_i^\Gamma)^*$ is $2i$ -small and $r_{2i}((D_i^\Gamma)^*) = r_{2i}(B_{\Gamma,i}^{2i-1,1})$. Explicitly, we have

$$B_{\Gamma,i}^{2i-1,1}(E) = \left(\bigoplus_{f:V\sqcup E\rightarrow[2i]} D_{i-1}^{\Gamma(E)^f}(\emptyset)^* \right)^{S_{2i}}.$$

When E is large, $\Gamma(E)_{f^{-1}(j)}$ is connected for all j and $\Gamma(E)^f$ is equal to K_{2i} for almost all maps $f : V \sqcup E \rightarrow [2i]$, and the number of such maps is asymptotic to $(2i)^{|V|+n}$. We therefore have

$$r_{2i}(B_{\Gamma,i}^{2i-1,1}) = \lim_{n\rightarrow\infty} \frac{(2i)^{|V|+n} \dim D_{i-1}(2i)}{(2i)^n (2i)!} = \frac{(2i)^{|V|} \dim D_{i-1}(2i)}{(2i)!},$$

and the theorem is proved. □

References

[AB16] ARDILA, FEDERICO; BOOCHER, ADAM. The closure of a linear space in a product of lines. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **43** (2016) no. 1 199–235. MR3439307, Zbl 1331.05051, arXiv:1312.6874, doi:10.1007/s10801-015-0634-x.

[Arn69] ARNOL'D, VLADIMIR IGOREVICH. The cohomology ring of the group of dyed braids. *Mat. Zametki* **5** (1969) 227–231. MR0242196.

[BGS96] BEILINSON, ALEXANDER; GINZBURG, VICTOR; SOERGEL, WOLFGANG. Koszul duality patterns in representation theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996) no. 2 473–527. MR1322847, Zbl 0864.17006, doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-96-00192-0.

[CEF15] CHURCH, THOMAS; ELLENBERG, JORDAN S.; FARB, BENSON. FI-modules and stability for representations of symmetric groups. *Duke Math. J.* **164** (2015) no. 9 1833–1910. MR3357185, Zbl 1339.55004, arXiv:1204.4533.

[CEFN14] CHURCH, THOMAS; ELLENBERG, JORDAN S.; FARB, BENSON; NAGPAL, ROHIT. FI-modules over Noetherian rings. *Geom. Topol.* **18** (2014) no. 5 2951–2984. MR3285226, Zbl 1344.20016, arXiv:1210.1854, doi:10.2140/gt.2014.18.2951.

[EPW16] ELIAS, BEN; PROUDFOOT, NICHOLAS; WAKEFIELD, MAX. The Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial of a matroid. *Adv. Math.* **299** (2016) 36–70. MR3519463, Zbl 1341.05250, arXiv:1412.7408, doi:10.1016/j.aim.2016.05.005.

[Ged] GEDEON, KATIE. Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials of thagomizer matroids. arXiv:1610.05349.

[GPY17] GEDEON, KATIE; PROUDFOOT, NICHOLAS; YOUNG, BENJAMIN. The equivariant Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial of a matroid. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **150** (2017) 267–294. MR3645577, Zbl 1362.05131, arXiv:1605.01777, doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2017.03.007.

[OT92] ORLIK, PETER; TERAOKI, HIROAKI. Arrangements of hyperplanes. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 300. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. xviii+325 pp. MR1217488, Zbl 0757.55001.

[Pir00] PIRASHVILI, TEIMURAZ. Dold–Kan type theorem for Γ -groups. *Math. Ann.* **318** (2000) no. 2 277–298. MR1795563, Zbl 0963.18006, doi:10.1007/s002080000120.

[PWY16] PROUDFOOT, NICHOLAS; WAKEFIELD, MAX; YOUNG, BEN. Intersection cohomology of the symmetric reciprocal plane. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **43** (2016) no. 1 129–138. MR3439303, Zbl 1331.05233, arXiv:1504.07348, doi:10.1007/s10801-015-0628-8.

- [PXY] PROUDFOOT, NICHOLAS; XU, YUAN; YOUNG, BEN. The Z -polynomial of a matroid. arXiv:1706.05575.
- [Sha93] SHAPIRO, BORIS ZALMANOVICH. The mixed Hodge structure of the complement to an arbitrary arrangement of affine complex hyperplanes is pure. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993) no. 4 931–933. MR1131042, Zbl 0798.32029.
- [Slo14] SLOANE, NEIL JAMES ALEXANDER. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2014. <http://oeis.org>.
- [Spr84] SPRINGER, TONNY ALBERT. A purity result for fixed point varieties in flag manifolds. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **31** (1984) no. 2 271–282. MR0763421, Zbl 0581.20048.
- [SS17] SAM, STEVEN V.; SNOWDEN, ANDREW. Gröbner methods for representations of combinatorial categories. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **30** (2017) no. 1 159–203. MR3556290, Zbl 1347.05010, arXiv:1409.1670, doi: 10.1090/jams/859.

(Nicholas Proudfoot) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON, U.S.A.
njp@uoregon.edu

(Ben Young) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON, U.S.A.
bjy@uoregon.edu

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2017/23-37.html>.