

# Multiplication operators on the Bergman spaces of pseudoconvex domains

Akaki Tikaradze

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain, and let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \bar{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an  $n$ -tuple of holomorphic functions on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . In this paper we study commutants of the corresponding multiplication operators  $\{T_{f_1}, \dots, T_{f_n}\} = T_f$  on the Bergman space  $A^2(\Omega)$ . One of our main results is a geometric description of the algebra of commutants of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$ , generalizing a result by Douglas, Sun and Zheng, 2011.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1327
2. Nullstellensatz for the Bergman space	1329
3. Some geometry related to $\Omega, f$	1332
4. Convolution algebras	1334
5. Commutants of $T_f$	1335
6. Commutants of $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$	1340
References	1344

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain. The Bergman space of all square integrable holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  will be denoted by  $A^2(\Omega)$ , while the subspace of all bounded holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  will be denoted by  $H^\infty(\Omega)$ . Given a function  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , one defines the corresponding Toeplitz operator with the symbol  $f : T_f : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$ , as the composition of the multiplication operator by  $f$  followed by the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\Omega)$  to  $A^2(\Omega)$ . If  $f$  is holomorphic, then  $T_f = M_f$  is the multiplication operator by  $f$ . Questions related to commutants of Toeplitz operators have been of great interest for some time.

This paper is largely motivated by the following problem.

---

Received May 2, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32A36; Secondary 47B35.

*Key words and phrases*. Bergman spaces, pseudoconvex domains, multiplication operators.

**Problem 1.** Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a holomorphic mapping in a neighbourhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$  with a nontrivial Jacobian determinant. Describe the algebra of commutants of  $\{T_{f_i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\} = T_f$ .

It is of a special interests to describe the largest  $C^*$ -subalgebra of the above algebra, the algebra of commutants of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  (here and everywhere  $T_f^*$  denotes  $\{T_{f_i}^*, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ ). Indeed, reducing subspaces of  $T_f$  correspond to projections in this algebra.

Both of the above questions have been extensively studied for the past several decades when  $n = 1$  and  $\Omega = D$  is the unit disc. Indeed, by a result of Thomson [Th], it suffices to study the commutants of  $T_f$  when  $f$  is a finite Blaschke product. In this case it can be described it terms of the Riemann surface  $f^{-1} \circ f(D')$ , where  $D'$  is  $D$  with preimages of the critical values of  $f$  removed [[Co], Theorem 3] (although Cowen and Thomson worked in the Hardy space setting, their results easily carry over to the Bergman space).

In a recent important work by Douglas, Sun and Zheng [DSZ], the algebra of commutants of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  is explicitly described. In particular, they show that its dimension equals to the number of connected components of  $f^{-1} \circ f(D')$  ([DSZ], Theorem 7.6). Also noteworthy are results of Guo and Huang, who under the assumption that  $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$  is a covering map, described among other things the commutant of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  in terms of fundamental group of  $f(D)$  [[GuoH2], Theorem 1.3].

Motivated by these results, we extend them to high dimensional domains. Namely, we introduce a certain  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold  $W_f$  (Definition 1)

$$W_f \subset (\Omega \setminus Z) \times_f (\Omega \setminus Z) = \{(z, w), f(z) = f(w), z, w \in \Omega \setminus Z\}$$

defined as the largest open subset of  $(\Omega \setminus Z) \times_f (\Omega \setminus Z)$  such that the projection  $p : W_f \rightarrow \Omega \setminus Z$  is a covering map, where  $Z$  is the preimage of all critical values of  $f$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Under some mild assumptions on  $\Omega, f$  (Assumptions 1, 2) we prove that the algebra of commutants of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  is isomorphic to the algebra of locally constant functions on  $W_f$  under convolution product (Theorem 6.1). This is a generalization of the above mentioned theorem by Douglas, Sun and Zheng [DSZ]. Our proof closely follows their ideas.

We also study the commutant of  $T_f$  in the Toeplitz algebra of  $\Omega$ , the norm closed subalgebra of  $B(A^2(\Omega))$  generated by all Toeplitz operators  $T_h, h \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Motivated by a result of Axler, Cuckovic and Rao [AxCR] on commutants of analytic Toeplitz operators in one variable, we prove that the commutant of  $T_f$  in the Toeplitz algebra of  $\Omega$  consists of multiplication operators by bounded holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$ , Theorem 5.7.

The paper is organized as follows. The first three sections have a preparatory nature. In Section 2 we establish some Nullstellensatz-type statements for the Bergman space  $A^2(\Omega)$  that play a crucial role in studying the commutants of  $T_f$ . In Sections 3 and 4, we introduce some geometric objects attached to  $\Omega, f$  (Section 3), and convolution algebras associated to them

(Section 4.1). Central parts of the paper are Sections 5 and 6, in which our main results about commutants of  $T_f$  and  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  are established.

## 2. Nullstellensatz for the Bergman space

Throughout this paper given a holomorphic mapping  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , we will denote the determinant of the Jacobian of  $g$  by  $J_g$ .

In this section we will prove a (weak) version of Nullstellensatz for the Bergman space of a bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (Theorem 2.6). This result will be crucial for studying commutants of  $T_f$ . All the results in this section follow well-known approach of using Koszul and  $\bar{\partial}$ -complex for proving Nullstellensatz type statements on pseudoconvex domains and are essentially well-known (see for example [PS]). We include proofs for a reader's convenience.

As always, let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded pseudoconvex domain. We will denote by  $A^\infty(\Omega)$  the set of all holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  which are  $C^\infty$ -smooth on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  be an  $m$ -tuple of holomorphic functions from  $A^\infty(\Omega)$ , which will also be viewed as a holomorphic mapping to  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Let us recall the definition of the Koszul double complex of  $f$  on  $\Omega$ . Define the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Koszul double complex  $(K, b_f, \bar{\partial})$  on  $\Omega$  as follows

$$K = \bigoplus K_{i,j}, K_{i,j} = \Lambda^i(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C_{0,j}^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$$

where  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}v_i$ , and  $C_{0,j}^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  denotes the space of all  $C^\infty$ -smooth  $(0, j)$ -forms on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . There is a natural product on  $K$  defined as follows

$$(u \otimes \omega_1) \cdot (v \otimes \omega_2) = (u \wedge v) \otimes (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2).$$

Differentials of this bicomplex are  $\bar{\partial} : K_{i,j} \rightarrow K_{i,j+1}$  and the Koszul differential  $b_f : K_{i,j} \rightarrow K_{i-1,j}$  defined as follows

$$b_f \left( \sum_i v_i \otimes \omega_i \right) = \sum_i f_i \omega_i,$$

$$b_f(x \cdot y) = b_f(x) \cdot y + (-1)^i x \cdot b_f(y), x \in K_{i,j}, \bar{\partial}(u \otimes \omega) = u \otimes \bar{\partial}(\omega)$$

Clearly  $\bar{\partial}b_f = b_f\bar{\partial}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *In the above setting let  $U \subset\subset \Omega$  be an open subset such that  $f^{-1}(0) \cap \bar{U} = \emptyset$ . Let  $w \in K_{i,j}$  be such that  $b_f(w) = \bar{\partial}(w) = 0$ , and  $\text{supp}(w) \subset U$ . Then there exists  $w' \in K_{i+1,j}$  such that  $w = b_f w', \bar{\partial}(w') = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $w \in K_{i,j}$ . We will proceed by the descending induction on  $i$ . We claim that there exists  $y \in K_{i+1,j}$  supported on  $U$  such that  $b_f y = w$ . Indeed, let  $g_i \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  be such that  $(\sum_i f_i g_i)_U = 1$ . Therefore

$$b_f \left( \left( \sum v_i \otimes g_i \right) \cdot w \right) = w.$$

Then  $\bar{\partial}(y) \in K_{i+1,j+1}$  satisfies the inductive assumption, so there exists  $z$  such that  $b_f(z) = \bar{\partial}(y)$  and  $\bar{\partial}(z) = 0$ . Let  $z_1$  be such that  $\bar{\partial}(z_1) = z$  (it exists by Kohn’s theorem). Replacing  $y$  by  $y - b_f(z_1)$  we are done.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  and let  $U \subset\subset \Omega$  be an open subset of  $\Omega$  such that  $f^{-1}(0) \subset U$ . If  $g \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $g \in \sum_i f_i A(U)$ , then  $g \in \sum_i f_i A^\infty(\Omega)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $h_i \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) \cap A(U)$  such that  $g = \sum_i f_i h_i$ . Then  $bx = \bar{\partial}(x) = 0$  where  $x = \sum v_i \otimes \bar{\partial}(h_i)$ . Thus by the above there exists  $z \in K_{2,0}$  such that  $x = b(\bar{\partial}(z))$ . Then

$$\bar{\partial}\left(\sum v_i \otimes h_i - b(z)\right) = 0, \quad b\left(\sum v_i \otimes h_i - b_f(z)\right) = g.$$

Let us write  $\sum f_i \otimes h_i - b(z)$  as  $\sum v_i \otimes h_i$ . Then

$$g = \sum_i f_i h_i, \quad h_i \in A^\infty(\bar{\Omega}). \quad \square$$

For a subset  $S \subset \bar{\Omega}$ , we will denote by  $I(S)$  the ideal of holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  which vanish on  $S$ .

The proof below directly follows the proofs of similar statements by Overlid [Øv], Hakim–Sibony [HS].

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $f = f_1, \dots, f_m \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  be such that  $f^{-1}(0)$  is a finite set. If the Jacobian of  $f$  has the full rank on each point of  $f^{-1}(0)$ , then*

$$I(f^{-1}(0)) \cap A^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) = \sum_i f_i A^\infty(\bar{\Omega}).$$

**Proof.** Let  $h \in I(F^{-1}(0)) \cap A^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ . It follows from the Hilbert Nullstellensatz for the local complex analytic case [[GunR], III.A.7] that there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $f^{-1}(0)$ , and  $g_i \in A(U)$ , such that  $h|_U = \sum_i f_i|_U g_i$ . Now by Corollary 2.2 we are done.  $\square$

We will need the following assumption on  $\Omega$ . It was first introduced in [AgS], see also [PS].

**Assumption 1.**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is a connected smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain, such that for any  $z \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $A^\infty(\Omega) \cap I(z)$  is dense in  $A^2(\Omega)$ .

Recall the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assumption 1 is satisfied for bounded smooth strongly pseudoconvex domains or star-shaped smooth pseudoconvex domains.*

**Proof.** Notice that to verify Assumption 1, it suffices to check the following: for a given  $z \in \partial\Omega$ , there exists a sequence  $f_n \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $f_n(z) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{A^2(\Omega)} = 0$ . Indeed, let  $g \in A^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then  $g - g(z)f_n \in I(z)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g - g(z)f_n) = g$  in  $A^2(\Omega)$ . Thus,  $A^\infty(\Omega) \cap I(z)$  is dense in  $A^\infty(\Omega)$ , and since  $A^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $A^2(\Omega)$  by a result of Catlin [[Ca], Theorem 3.1.4], it follows that  $A^\infty(\Omega) \cap I(z)$  is dense in  $A^2(\Omega)$ .

Now let us suppose that  $\Omega$  is a smooth strongly pseudoconvex domain. Let  $z \in \partial\Omega$ . It is well-known that  $z$  is a peak point. Let  $f \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  be such that  $f(z) = 1, |f(w)| < 1, w \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus z$ . Then  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f^m\|_2 = 0$ .

Now let  $\Omega$  be a star shaped smooth domain. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $r\Omega \subset \Omega, 0 \leq r \leq 1$ . Let  $\theta \in \partial\Omega$ . Let  $f \in A^2(\Omega)$  be such that  $\lim_{w \rightarrow \theta} (f(w)) = \infty$ . Existence of such  $f$  follows for example from [[Ca2], Lemma1, page 153]. Then  $f_r(z) = f(rz) \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $\|f_r\|_2 \leq r^{-2n} \|f\|_2$ , while  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_r(\theta) = \infty$ . □

We have another:

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $\Omega$  satisfies Assumption 1, then for any finite set  $S \subset \partial\Omega$ ,  $A^\infty(\Omega) \cap I(S)$  is dense in  $A^2(\Omega)$ .*

**Proof.** Put  $S = \{z_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $g \in A^\infty(\Omega)$ . Let  $\phi_i \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  be such that  $\phi_i(z_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Let  $g_i \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $g_i(z_i) = 1, \|g_i\| < \epsilon$  (such  $g_i$  exists by Assumption 1). Then  $g - \sum_i g(z_i)\phi_i g_i \in I(S)$  and

$$\left\| \sum_i g(z_i)\phi_i g_i \right\|_2 < \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \sum_i \|\phi_i\|_{A^2(\Omega)} \epsilon.$$

Thus,  $A^\infty(\Omega) \cap I(S)$  is dense in  $A^\infty(\Omega)$ , and since  $A^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $A^2(\Omega)$ , we are done. □

For  $w \in \Omega$ , we will denote by  $K_w \in A^2(\Omega)$  the reproducing kernel of the Bergman space  $A^2(\Omega)$ . Thus  $\langle g, K_w \rangle = g(w)$  for any  $g \in A^2(\Omega)$ . Also, denote by  $k_w$  the normalized Bergman kernel  $\frac{K_w}{\|K_w\|}$ .

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Suppose that domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  satisfies Assumption 1. Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be a holomorphic mapping such that  $J_f$  is not identically 0. If  $J_f$  is nonzero on  $f^{-1}(0) \cap \bar{\Omega}$ , then*

$$\left( \sum f_i A^2(\Omega) \right)^\perp = \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(0)} \mathbb{C}K_w.$$

**Proof.** Let us put  $S = f^{-1}(0) \cap \Omega = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  and  $S' = f^{-1}(0) \cap \partial\Omega$ . It follows from Corollary 2.3 that

$$\sum_i f_i A^\infty(\Omega) = I(f^{-1}(0)) \cap A^\infty(\Omega).$$

Now we claim that

$$(A^2(\Omega) \cap I(S))^\perp = \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(0)} \mathbb{C}K_w.$$

Indeed, it is clear that  $K_w \perp (A^2(\Omega) \cap I(S))$  for all  $w \in S$ . On the other hand, since  $K_w, w \in S$  are linearly independent and codimension of  $(A^2(\Omega) \cap I(S))$  in  $A^2(\Omega)$  is at most  $m = |S|$ , we obtain the desired equality.

Thus it suffices to show that  $\sum f_i A^2(\Omega)$  is dense in  $A^2(\Omega) \cap I(S)$ . It suffices to check that  $I(f^{-1}(0)) \cap A^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  is dense in  $A^2(\Omega) \cap I(S)$  by Corollary 2.3. Let  $f \in A^2(\Omega) \cap I(S)$ , and let  $f_n \in A^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) \cap I(S')$  be such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$  in  $A^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $g_i, i = 1, \dots, m$  be polynomials such that  $g_i(w_j) = \delta_{ij}, g_i(S') = 0$ . Put  $\phi_n = f_n - \sum_{i=1}^m f_n(w_i)g_i$ . Then  $\phi_n(w_j) = 0$  for all  $j, n$ . Also, for any  $i, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(w_i) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n = f$  and  $\phi_n \in I(f^{-1}(0)) \cap A^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ . So,  $I(f^{-1}(0)) \cap A^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  is dense in  $A^2(\Omega) \cap I(S)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Some geometry related to $\Omega, f$

In the rest of the paper, we will fix once and for all a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying Assumption 1 and a holomorphic mapping

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

in a neighbourhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that determinant of its Jacobian  $J_f$  is not identically 0. The goal of this section is to define a certain complex manifold  $W_f$  (Definition 3.2) and establish some of its basic properties in relation to the mapping  $f$ . It will play a crucial role in studying commutants of  $T_f$ .

Given a function  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ , we will denote by  $X \times_g X$  the set

$$\{(z, w) \in X \times X \mid g(z) = g(w)\}.$$

Let us fix once and for all several notations related with  $\Omega, f$ .

**Notation 1.** Put

$$Z = f^{-1}(f(V(J_f))), \quad \Omega' = \Omega \setminus Z,$$

where  $V(J_f)$  is the zero locus of  $J_f$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . We will also put

$$\Omega'' = \Omega' \setminus f^{-1}(f(\partial\Omega)).$$

Thus,  $\Omega'' \times_f \Omega'' \subset \Omega' \times_f \Omega'$  are  $n$ -dimensional complex manifolds. As usual  $p_1, p_2 : \Omega' \times_f \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega'$  denote the projections on the first, second coordinate respectively. Clearly both  $p_1, p_2$  are surjective finite-to-one locally biholomorphic mappings.

Remark that  $f : \Omega'' \rightarrow f(\Omega'')$  is a proper locally biholomorphic mapping. Therefore it is a covering map. Also,  $\Omega'$  is connected while  $\Omega''$  might not be.

In this setting we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $W$  be an open subset of  $\Omega' \times_f \Omega'$  such that  $p_1|_W : W \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a covering. Then  $p_2|_W : W \rightarrow \Omega'$  is also a covering. In particular,  $\partial(W) \subset \partial(\Omega') \times_f \partial(\Omega')$ , and  $p_1|_{\bar{W}}, p_2|_{\bar{W}} : \bar{W} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega} \setminus Z$  are coverings, where  $\bar{W}$  denotes the closure of  $W$  in  $(\bar{\Omega} \setminus Z) \times_f (\bar{\Omega} \setminus Z)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $z \in \Omega'$ . Let  $X \subset \bar{\Omega}$  be a closed set of measure 0 such that  $X \cap f^{-1}(f(z)) = \emptyset$  and  $\Omega' \setminus X$  is simply connected. Since by assumption  $p_1|_W \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a covering, Then the projection

$$p_1 : W \setminus p_1^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \Omega' \setminus X$$

is an  $m$ -fold trivial covering for some  $m$ . So there exist holomorphic embeddings  $\rho_i : \Omega' \setminus X \rightarrow \Omega', 1 \leq i \leq m$  such that for any  $u \in \Omega' \setminus X$  we have

$$p_1^{-1}(u) \cap W = \{(u, \rho_i(u)), 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

Put  $U = \Omega' \setminus f^{-1}(f(X))$ . Then  $z \in U, f^{-1}(f(U)) \cap \Omega = U$  and  $\Omega' \setminus U$  has measure 0. Since  $\rho_i$  induces a bijection on  $f^{-1}(f(u)) \cap \Omega$  for all  $u \in U$ , it follows that  $\rho_i : U \rightarrow U$  is a bijection for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Remark that the set of bijections  $\{\rho_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  is not closed under taking compositions or inverses.

Therefore

$$p_2 : p_2^{-1}(U) \cap W = \{(\rho_i^{-1}(z), z), z \in U, 1 \leq i \leq m\} \rightarrow U$$

is an  $m$ -fold trivial covering. Since  $U$  is a neighbourhood of  $z$ , we conclude that  $p_2|_W : W \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a covering map.

Let  $(a_n) = (z_n, w_n) \in W$  be a sequence in  $W$  converging to the boundary  $\partial(W)$ . Since  $p_1|_W, p_2|_W : W \rightarrow \Omega'$  are proper mappings as shows above, we get that both  $(z_n), (w_n)$  converge to  $\partial(\Omega')$ . Therefore,

$$\partial(W) \subset \partial(\Omega') \times_f \partial(\Omega').$$

Let  $z' \in \partial(\Omega) \setminus Z$ . Let  $Y \subset \Omega'$  be a simply connected open subset such that  $\bar{Y}$  contains a neighbourhood of  $z'$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Just as above, let  $\rho_i : Y \rightarrow \Omega', 1 \leq i \leq m$  be holomorphic embeddings such that

$$p_1^{-1}(Y) \cap W = \{(y, \rho_i(y)), 1 \leq i \leq m, y \in Y\}.$$

Without loss of generality  $\overline{\rho_i(Y)} \cap \overline{\rho_j(Y)} = \emptyset, i \neq j$ . Thus,  $(z', \rho_i(z')), 1 \leq i \leq m$  are distinct points in  $p_1^{-1}(z') \cap \partial(W)$ . By shrinking  $Y$  further we may assume that each  $\rho_i$  extends to a holomorphic embedding from a neighbourhood of  $\bar{Y}$  into a neighbourhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Now let  $w \in \partial(\Omega) \setminus Z$  be such that  $(z, w) \in \partial(W)$ . Then, there is a sequence  $(z_n, w_n) \in W$  converging to  $(z', w)$ . We may assume that  $z_n \in Y$  and  $w_n = \rho_i(z_n)$  for a fixed  $i$ . So  $w = \rho_i(z')$ . Therefore

$$\bar{W} \cap p_1^{-1}(\bar{Y}) = \{(y, \rho_i(y)), y \in \bar{Y}, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

Hence  $p_1|_{\bar{W}} : \bar{W} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega} \setminus Z$  is an  $m$ -fold covering. □

Now we are ready define a certain open subset  $W_f \subset \Omega' \times_f \Omega'$  which is the main object of this section.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $W_f \subset \Omega' \times_f \Omega'$  be the union of all connected components  $W$  of  $\Omega' \times_f \Omega'$  such that the projection  $p_1|_W : W \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a covering map.

In particular, the diagonal  $\Delta(\Omega') = \{(z, z), z \in \Omega'\}$  is a connected component of  $W_f$ .

The following lemma summarizes properties of  $W_f$  that will be used later.

**Lemma 3.3.**  *$W_f$  is symmetric and transitive: if  $(z, w) \in W_f$  then  $(w, z) \in W_f$ , if  $(z, t) \in W_f$  and  $(t, s) \in W_f$ , then  $(z, w) \in W_f$ .*

**Proof.** It follows directly from Lemma 3.1 that  $W_f$  is symmetric. Put

$$U = \{(z, w) \in \Omega' \times_f \Omega' \mid \exists t \in \Omega' \text{ s.t. } (z, t) \in W_f, (t, w) \in W_f\}.$$

Clearly  $p_1 : U \rightarrow \Omega'$  is locally a biholomorphic mapping. We will show that it is also a proper mapping. Indeed, let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\Omega'$ . Then  $p_1|_{W_f}((p_2|_{W_f})^{-1}(K)) = K'$  is also compact. Hence  $p_1|_{W_f}((p_2|_{W_f})^{-1}(K')) = K''$  is compact too. Therefore  $(p_2|_U)^{-1}(K) \subset K'' \times K$  is compact. So  $p_2 : U \rightarrow \Omega'$  is proper, therefore it is a covering map. Hence  $U = W_f$ .  $\square$

Remark that if  $f : \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega)$  is a proper mapping, then  $p_1 : \Omega' \times_f \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a covering, thus in this case  $W_f = \Omega' \times_f \Omega'$ .

#### 4. Convolution algebras

The purpose of this section is to fix some notations and recall basics results related to convolution algebras of finite covering maps.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite covering map of topological spaces. Recall the standard notation

$$X \times_f X = \{(z, w) \in X \times X, f(z) = f(w)\}.$$

We have two projections

$$p_1, p_2 : X \times_f X \rightarrow X, p_1(z, w) = z, p_2(z, w) = w.$$

Let  $W$  be a symmetric, reflexive subset of  $X \times_f X$  (see Lemma 3.3) such that  $p_1|_W : W \rightarrow X$  is a covering map. Recall that in this setting  $\mathbb{C}[W]$  ( $\mathbb{C}$ -valued continuous functions on  $W$ ) is an associative algebra under the convolution product  $\star$ :

$$\phi \star \psi(z, w) = \sum_{(z,t),(t,w) \in W} \phi(z, t)\psi(t, w), \phi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}[W].$$

Given  $g \in \mathbb{C}[W]$ , one defines the corresponding weighted composition operator  $S_g : \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$  as follows

$$S_g(\phi)(x) = \sum_{(x,w) \in W} g(x, w)\phi(w), \phi \in \mathbb{C}[X], x \in X.$$

This way  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  becomes a left  $(\mathbb{C}[W], \star)$ -module. It is straightforward to check that  $S_g$  commutes with  $T_f$ , where  $T_f : \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$  is the multiplication operator by  $f$ .

If in addition  $X, Y, W$  are complex manifolds and  $f$  is locally biholomorphic mapping, then  $\mathcal{A}(W)$  (the space of all holomorphic functions on  $W$ ) is a subalgebra of  $(\mathbb{C}[W], \star)$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y, W \subset X \times_f X$  be as above. We will denote by  $\mathcal{A}(W)$  the algebra of all locally constant functions on  $W$  under the convolution product. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a finite covering, then we will denote  $\mathcal{A}(X \times_f X)$  by  $\mathcal{A}(X, f)$ .



If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a finite covering, and  $X, Y$  are path connected, locally simply connected spaces, then  $\mathcal{A}(X, f)$  can be naturally identified with the Hecke algebra of all bi  $-\pi_1(X)$ -invariant  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions on  $\pi_1(Y)$  under the convolution product. In particular, if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a normal covering, then  $\mathcal{A}(X, f)$  is isomorphic to the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\pi_1(Y)/f_*\pi_1(X)]$ .

Let  $Y' \subset Y$ . Then  $f : X' = f^{-1}(Y') \rightarrow Y'$  is a covering map, and we have an algebra homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}(X, f) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X', f')$  given by the restriction of elements of  $\mathcal{A}(X, f)$  on  $X' \times_f X'$ .

Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a finite covering map of connected real manifolds with boundaries. Then we get restrictions of  $f$  which are again covering maps

$$f : M \setminus \partial(M) \rightarrow N \setminus \partial(N), \quad f : \partial(M) \rightarrow \partial(N).$$

In this setting we have the following easy but useful lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Suppose that  $\partial(M)$  (hence  $\partial(N)$ ) is connected and  $\pi_1(\partial(N))$  is Abelian. Then  $\mathcal{A}(M, f) = \mathcal{A}(M \setminus \partial(M), f)$  is a commutative algebra.*

**Proof.** We have  $\partial(M \times_f M) = \partial(M) \times_f \partial(M)$ . Let  $X'$  be a connected component of  $M \times_f M$ . Then  $p_1 : X' \rightarrow M$  is a covering map, hence  $\partial(X')$  is a nonempty component of  $\partial(M) \times_f \partial(M)$ . Hence, if  $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(M, f)$  is such that  $\phi|_{X'} \neq 0$  then the image of  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\partial(N), f)$  is nonzero on  $\partial(X')$ . So,  $\mathcal{A}(M, f)$  embeds into  $\mathcal{A}(\partial(M), f)$ . Since  $X' \setminus \partial(X') = X' \setminus (\partial(M) \times_f \partial(M))$  is connected, we obtain that  $\mathcal{A}(M, f) = \mathcal{A}(M \setminus \partial(M), f)$ . Since  $\pi_1(\partial(N))$  is Abelian,  $\partial M \rightarrow \partial N$  is a normal covering. Therefore

$$\mathcal{A}(\partial(M), f) = \mathbb{C}[\pi_1\partial(N)/\pi_1\partial(M)].$$

Hence  $\mathcal{A}(\partial(M), f)$  is commutative. This implies that  $\mathcal{A}(M \setminus \partial(M), f)$  is also commutative. □

### 5. Commutants of $T_f$

The goal of this section is to relate commutants of  $T_f$  to holomorphic functions on  $W_f$  (Definition 3.2). This will be achieved by Theorem 5.2. As an application we will show that there are no nonzero compact operators in the commutant of  $T_f$  (Theorem 5.4).

Recall notations from Notation 1. At first we will show the following preliminary

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $S : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$  be a bounded linear operator which commutes with  $T_f$ . Then there exists a function  $\Phi$  on  $\Omega' \times_f \Omega'$  such that for any  $g \in A^2(\Omega)$  we have*

$$S(g)(z) = \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(f(z)) \cap \Omega} \Phi(z, w)g(w), \quad z \in \Omega'.$$

Moreover,  $\Phi$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega'' \times_f \Omega''$ .

**Proof.** We claim that for any  $z \in \Omega'$ , we have

$$S^*(K_z) \in \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(f(z))} \mathbb{C}K_w.$$

Indeed, given  $g_i \in A^\infty(\Omega)$ , then

$$\bigcap \text{Ker}(T_{g_i}^*) = (\sum g_i A^2(\Omega))^\perp.$$

Applying this to  $g_i = f_i - f_i(z)$ , and using Theorem 2.6 we get that

$$\bigcap_i T_{f_i - f_i(z)}^* = \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(f(z))} \mathbb{C}K_w$$

and  $S^*$  preserves this space. In particular we may write

$$S^*(K_z) = \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(f(z))} \overline{\Phi(z, w)} K_w$$

for some  $\Phi(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus for any  $g \in A^2(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\langle g, S^*(K_w) \rangle = \langle S(g), K_w \rangle = S(g)(w) = \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(f(z))} \Phi(z, w)g(w).$$

Recall that  $\Omega'' \rightarrow f(\Omega'')$  is a covering map. Thus, for any  $z \in \Omega''$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $z \in U \subset \Omega''$  and holomorphic embeddings  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m : U \rightarrow \Omega$  such that

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = \{\rho_1(z), \dots, \rho_m(z)\}, z \in U.$$

Denote  $\Phi(z, \rho_i(z))$  by  $\phi_i(z)$ . Thus,

$$S(g)(z) = \sum_i \phi_i(z)g(\rho_i(z)), g \in A^2(\Omega), z \in U.$$

Fix  $z \in U$ . Let us choose polynomials  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$  such that the matrix  $A = g_i(\rho_j(z))$  is nondegenerate. Thus, its inverse is a holomorphic matrix in a neighbourhood of  $z$ . Therefore,

$$(\psi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} = A^{-1}(S(g_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m})$$

is holomorphic. So,  $\Phi$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega'' \times_f \Omega''$ . □

The following is the main result of this section, which is well-known when  $\Omega$  is a unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $f$  is a finite Blaschke product.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Suppose that a bounded linear operator  $S : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$  commutes with  $T_f$ . Then there exists a holomorphic function  $\Phi$  on  $W_f$  such that for any  $z \in \Omega', g \in A^2(\Omega)$  one has*

$$S(g)(z) = \sum_{(z,w) \in W_f} \Phi(z, w)g(w).$$

**Proof.** We know from Lemma 5.1 that there exists a function  $\Phi$  on  $\Omega' \times_f \Omega'$  such that

$$S(g)(z) = \sum_{w \in f^{-1}(f(z))} \Phi(z, w)g(w), z \in \Omega', g \in A^2(\Omega).$$

Moreover,  $\Phi$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega'' \times_f \Omega''$ , where recall that

$$\Omega'' = \Omega' \setminus f^{-1}(f(\partial\Omega)).$$

Let us denote by  $W'$  the support of  $\Phi$  in  $\Omega' \times_f \Omega'$ . We will prove that  $p_1|_{W'} : W' \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a covering map.

Let  $z \in \Omega'$ . Let  $\Omega_1$  be a neighbourhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that  $f$  extends to a holomorphic mapping on it. We will follow very closely Thomson's argument [Th]. Let  $Y \subset \Omega'$  be a small neighbourhood of  $z$ , and let  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l : Y \rightarrow \Omega_1$  be holomorphic embeddings such that

$$f(\rho_i(w)) = w, \quad f^{-1}(f(w)) \cap \bar{\Omega} \subset \{\rho_i(z)_{1 \leq i \leq l}\}.$$

Let  $P_z \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$  be defined as follows:  $i \in P_z$  if there exists  $w \in Y$  so that  $\rho_i(w) \in \Omega$  and  $\Phi(w, \rho_i(w)) \neq 0$ . By making  $Y$  smaller if necessary, we may assume that  $\rho_i(Y) \cap \rho_j(Y) = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . We claim that for all  $i \in P_z, \rho_i(Y) \subset \Omega$ . Indeed, suppose that for some  $i, \rho_i(Y)$  is not a subset of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be such that

$$\epsilon < \frac{d(\rho_i(Y), \rho_j(Y))}{\sqrt{n}}, j \neq i.$$

For each  $j \neq i$  let us pick  $k$  such that  $|z_k - w_k| > \epsilon$  for all  $z \in \rho_i(Y), w \in \rho_j(Y)$ . For  $w \in Y$ , put

$$h_i^w(z) = \prod_{j \neq i} (z_k - \rho_j(w)_k) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n].$$

Then  $h_i^w(z)$  vanishes on  $\rho_j(w), j \neq i$  and  $h_i^w(\rho_i(w)) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $S(h_j^w(z))(w) = \langle h_j^w, S^*K_w \rangle$  is a holomorphic function on  $U$ . Then the function  $S(h_i^w(z))(w) = \Phi(w, \rho_i(w))h_i^w(\rho_i(w))$  is not identically 0, but vanishes on  $\rho_i^{-1}(\Omega_1 \setminus \Omega)$ , which contains a nonempty open subset by the assumption (recall that  $\rho_i$  is an open mapping). Hence  $S(h_i^w(z))(w) = 0$  for all  $w \in Y$ , a contradiction.

To summarize, we have holomorphic embeddings  $\rho_i : Y \rightarrow \Omega_1, 1 \leq i \leq l$  and a subset  $P_z \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$ , such that  $f(\rho_i(w)) = F(w), w \in Y$ , and for any  $i \in P_z, \rho_i(Y) \subset \Omega'$ , there exists  $w \in Y$ , so that  $\Phi(w, \rho_i(w)) \neq 0$ . Moreover,  $\Phi(w, \rho_j(w)) = 0$  for all  $j \notin P_z$ . Thus, for any  $w \in Y$  we have

$$\{(w, \rho_i(w))_{i \in P_z}\} = p_1^{-1}(w) \cap W'.$$

Therefore  $p_1|_{W'} : W' \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a covering. Hence,  $W'$  is a union of connected components of  $W_f$ . Let us extend  $\Phi$  to  $W$  by 0 on  $W \setminus W'$ . Then for any

$g \in A^2(\Omega), z \in \Omega'$  we have

$$S(g)(z) = \sum_{(z,w) \in W_f} \Phi(z,w)g(w).$$

It can be shown that  $\Phi$  is holomorphic exactly as in the end of the proof of Lemma 5.1. □

Before proceeding further, let us summarize various choices that we have made in relation to  $f, W_f$ .

**Proposition 5.3.**

- (1) *There is an open subset  $Y \subset \Omega'$  such that  $\partial Y \cap \partial\Omega$  contains a nonempty subset of  $\partial\Omega$ . There are holomorphic embeddings*

$$\rho_i : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega} \setminus Z, 1 \leq i \leq m$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^{-1}(Y) \cap W_f &= \{(y, \rho_i(y)), y \in Y, 1 \leq i \leq m\}, \\ \rho_i(\partial(Y) \cap \partial\Omega) &= \partial\Omega \cap \partial(\rho_i(Y)), \\ \rho_i(\bar{Y}) \cap \rho_j(\bar{Y}) &= \emptyset, \quad i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) *There is an open subset  $U \subset \Omega'$ , such that  $\Omega \setminus U$  has measure 0 and biholomorphic mappings  $\rho_i : U \rightarrow U, 1 \leq i \leq m$  such that*

$$p_1^{-1}(U) \cap W_f = \{(z, \rho_i(z)), z \in U, 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $Y \subset \Omega \setminus Z$  be an open subset such that  $\bar{Y}$  is simply connected and  $\partial(Y) \cap \partial\Omega$  contains an open subset of  $\partial\Omega$ . Thus  $p_1 : p_1^{-1}(\bar{Y}) \cap \bar{W}_f \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  is a trivial covering. Therefore there exist holomorphic mappings

$$\rho_i : \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega} \setminus Z, 1 \leq i \leq m$$

such that

$$p_1^{-1}(Y) \cap W_f = \{(y, \rho_i(y)), y \in Y, 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

Recall that  $\partial W_f \subset \partial\Omega \times_f \partial\Omega$ . Therefore,  $\rho_i(\bar{Y} \cap \partial\Omega) = \rho_i(\bar{Y}) \cap \partial\Omega$ . By shrinking  $Y$  further, we get that  $\rho_i(\bar{Y}) \cap \rho_j(\bar{Y}) = \emptyset, i \neq j$ .

Part (2) follows directly from the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Our next goal is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $S : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$  be a compact operator such that it commutes with  $T_f$ . Then  $S = 0$ .*

Before proving the theorem we will need to recall some facts about the asymptotic behaviour of the Bergman kernel function  $K_w$  as  $w$  approached the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

The following statement follows immediately from the well-known localization property of the Bergman kernel [[Oh], Localization Lemma, page 2], combined with the transformation formula of the Bergman kernel function under a biholomorphic mapping.

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain. Let  $z^1, z^2 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $z^1 \in U_1, z^2 \in U_2$  be open neighbourhoods, such that there exists a biholomorphic mapping  $\rho : \bar{\Omega} \cap U_1 \rightarrow \bar{\Omega} \cap U_2$ , so that  $\rho(z^1) = z^2$ . Then  $\|K_w\| = O(\|K_{\rho(w)}\|), w \in U_1 \cap \Omega$  and  $\lim_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \|K_w\| = \infty$ .*

We will also need the following standard fact. We include its proof for a reader’s convenience. Recall that  $k_w$  denotes the normalized Bergman kernel function at  $w$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain. Then  $k_w \rightarrow 0$  weakly as  $w \rightarrow \partial\Omega$*

**Proof.**<sup>1</sup> Let  $g \in A^2(\Omega)$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $g^\epsilon \in A^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  be such that

$$\|g - g^\epsilon\|_{A^2(\Omega)} < \epsilon.$$

Then we have

$$|\langle g, k_w \rangle| < \epsilon + \langle g^\epsilon, k_w \rangle \leq \epsilon + \|g^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} / \|K_w\|_{A^2(\Omega)}$$

Therefore,  $\limsup |\langle g, k_w \rangle| \leq \epsilon$  as  $w \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ . □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 5.4.

**Proof of Theorem 5.4.** We will use notations from Proposition 5.3. It follows from Theorem 5.2 and its proof that there are holomorphic functions  $\phi_i \in A(Y)$  such that

$$S(g(w)) = \sum_i \phi(w)g(\rho_i(w)), \quad w \in Y.$$

Next we will look at the two variable Berezin transform of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is a compact operator and since by Lemma 5.6  $k_w$  weakly as  $w \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ , we have

$$\lim_{w_1, w_2 \rightarrow \partial\Omega} \frac{\langle S(K_{w_1}), K_{w_2} \rangle}{\|K_{w_1}\| \|K_{w_2}\|} = 0.$$

Recall  $\epsilon > 0$ , and functions  $h_i^w(z) = \prod_{j \neq i} h_{ij}(z, w)$ , from the proof of Theorem 5.2: here  $h_{ij}(z, w) = (z_k - \rho_j(w)_k)$  is linear in  $z$  such that

$$|h_{ij}(z, w)| \geq \epsilon, \quad z \in \rho_i(Y), \quad w \in Y, \quad i \neq j.$$

Since  $\Omega$  is bounded, there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\|h_i^w(z)\| < M$  for all  $i, z \in \Omega, w \in Y$ . Thus, for all  $w \in Y$ .

$$|\langle S(h_i^w), K_w \rangle| \leq M \|S\| \|K_w\|.$$

Then,

$$\langle S(h_i^w), K_w \rangle = \sum_j \phi_j(w) h_i^w(\rho_j(w)) = \phi_i(w) \prod_{j \neq i} h_{ji}(\rho_j(w), \rho_i(w)).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Communicated to us by S. Sahutoglu.

By our assumption

$$\prod_{j \neq i} |h_{ji}(\rho_j(w), \rho_i(w))| \geq \epsilon^{m-1}.$$

This implies that there is  $N$  such that  $|K_{\rho_i(w)}(\rho_j(w))| < N$  for all  $i \neq j, w \in Y$ . Thus, there exists  $L > 0$ , such that  $\phi_i(w) \leq L\|K_w\|$  for all  $i, w \in Y$ .

We have

$$\langle S(K_{\rho_i(w)}), K_w \rangle = \sum_j \phi_j(w) K_{\rho_i(w)}(\rho_j(w)).$$

So, for  $i \neq j$  we have

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega \cap \partial U} \frac{\phi_j(w) K_{\rho_i(w)}(\rho_j(w))}{\|K_w\| \|K_{\rho_i(w)}\|} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega \cap \partial Y} \frac{\phi_i(w) \|K_{\rho_i(w)}\|}{\|K_w\|} = 0,$$

which by Proposition 5.5 implies that  $\lim_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega \cap \partial Y} \phi_i(w) = 0$  for all  $i$ . This implies that  $\phi_i = 0$  for all  $i$  by the Boundary uniqueness theorem [Ch, p. 289].  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 5.4 we have the following result about the commutant of  $T_f$  in the Toeplitz algebra of  $\Omega$ .

**Corollary 5.7.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a bounded smooth strongly pseudoconvex domain. If  $S$  is an element of the Toeplitz algebra of  $\Omega$  which commutes with  $T_f$ , then  $S$  is a multiplication operator by a bounded holomorphic function on  $\Omega$ .*

The proof of Corollary 5.7 is based on compactness of the Hankel operators  $H_{\bar{\phi}}, \phi \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  (follows from [[Pe], Theorem 1.2]), and the following well known identity relating Toeplitz and Hankel operators

$$[T_g, T_\phi] = H_{\bar{g}}^* H_\phi, \quad g \in H^\infty(\Omega), \phi \in L^\infty(\Omega).$$

**Proof of Corollary 5.7.** It follows from the preceding discussion that for any  $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , the commutator  $[T_{z_i}, T_g]$  is compact for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus for any element  $S$  of the Toeplitz algebra of  $\Omega$ , operators  $[T_{z_i}, S], 1 \leq i \leq n$  are compact. If in addition  $S$  commutes with  $T_f$ , then  $[T_{z_i}, S], 1 \leq i \leq n$  are compact operators in the commutant of  $T_f$ . Thus by Theorem 5.4  $[T_{z_i}, S] = 0$  for all  $i$ . Now by [SSU]  $S = T_h$  for some  $h \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

## 6. Commutants of $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$

In this section we will relate the commutant algebra of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  with the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(W_f)$  (Definition 4.1).

The following assumption on the mapping  $f$  will play a key role.

**Assumption 2.** Assume that  $Z = f^{-1}(f(V(J_f)))$  is not dense in the Zariski topology of  $\Omega$  : There exists a nonzero  $g \in A^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $g(Z) = 0$ .

This assumption is satisfied if  $f$  is a rational mapping, if  $n = 1$ , or  $f : \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega)$  is a proper mapping [Ru].

The following is the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 6.1.** Assume that Assumption 1 holds for  $\Omega$ . Then the algebra of commutants of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}(W_f)$ . If in addition mapping  $f$  satisfies Assumption 2, then these algebras are isomorphic.

**Proof.** Recall that  $p_1|W_f : W_f \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a covering. From now on we will denote  $p_1|W_f$  by  $p_1$  for simplicity. Similarly,  $p_2|W_f$  will be abbreviated to  $p_2$ . We will define an algebra homomorphism

$$\iota : A(W_f) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A(\Omega'), A(\Omega'))$$

as follows. Let  $c \in A(W_f), \phi \in A(\Omega')$ . We will define a holomorphic function  $\iota_c(\phi) \in A(\Omega')$  in the following way. We put

$$\iota_c(\phi)(z) = \sum_{(z,w) \in W} c(z,w) \frac{J_f(z)}{J_f(w)} \phi(w), \quad z \in \Omega'.$$

Clearly  $\iota_c(\phi) \in A(\Omega')$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\iota$  is an algebra homomorphism. To define  $\iota_c(\phi)$  more explicitly we will use notations from Proposition 5.3 Recall that by the chain rule

$$J_{\rho_i}(z) = \frac{J_f(z)}{J_f(\rho_i(z))}.$$

Therefore

$$\iota_c(\phi)(z) = \sum_i c(z, \rho_i(z)) J_{\rho_i}(z) \phi(\rho_i(z)), \quad z \in \Omega'.$$

In what follows given  $g \in A(\Omega'), z \in \Omega'$ , by  $J_\rho g(\rho(z))$  we will denote the column vector  $(J_{\rho_i}(z)g(\rho_i(z)))_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Now we follow very closely Guo–Huang [[GuoH], the proof of Proposition 3.4].

**Lemma 6.2.** Suppose that  $S : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$  commutes with  $T_f$ . Let  $U \subset \Omega'$  be as above. Then there exists a holomorphic mapping  $\Phi : U \rightarrow gl_m(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $J_\rho S(g)(\rho(z)) = \Phi(z) J_\rho g(\rho(z))$ .

**Proof.** Using Theorem 5.2, there exists  $c \in A(W)$  such that

$$S(g)(z) = \sum_i J_{\rho_i}(z) c(z, \rho_i(z)) g(\rho_i(z)) = \sum_{(z,w) \in W} c(z,w) \frac{J_f(z)}{J_f(w)} g(w).$$

Then the  $i$ -th coordinate of the vector  $J_\rho S(g)(\rho(z))$  is

$$\frac{J_f(z)}{J_f(w)} \sum_{\tau \in p_1^{-1}(w)} \frac{J_f(w)}{J_f(\tau)} c(w, \tau) g(\tau), \quad w = \rho_i(z).$$

Let us put  $\Phi(z)_{jk} = c(\rho_j(z), \rho_k(z))$ . Now it follows easily that

$$J_\rho S(g)(\rho(z)) = \Phi(z) J_\rho g(\rho)(z). \quad \square$$

Now let assume that both  $S, S^*$  commute with  $T_f$ . Then by the above lemma there exist holomorphic mappings  $\Phi, \Psi : U \rightarrow gl_m(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$J_\rho S(g)(\rho(z)) = \Phi(z) J_\rho g(\rho)(z), J_\rho S^*(g)(\rho(z)) = \Psi(z) J_\rho g(\rho)(z).$$

Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega$ . Given two polynomials  $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  we have

$$\langle P(T_f)S(K_\lambda), Q(T_f)K_\mu \rangle = \langle P(T_f)(K_\lambda), Q(T_f)S^*(K_\mu) \rangle.$$

So

$$\int_U P\bar{Q}(f)(z)S(K_\lambda)\bar{K}_\mu dV(z) = \int_U P\bar{Q}(F)(z)(K_\lambda)\overline{S^*(K_\mu)}dV(z)$$

Using the Stone-Weierstrass approximation, we see that for any  $g \in C(\overline{F(\Omega)})$  one has

$$\int_U g(F(z))S(K_\lambda)\bar{K}_\mu d_z V = \int_U g(F(z))(K_\lambda)\overline{S^*(K_\mu)}d_z V.$$

Thus the same equality holds for any  $g \in L^\infty(\overline{F(\Omega)})$ . This implies using change of variables that for all  $z \in U$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j |J_{\rho_j}(z)|^2 S(K_\lambda)(\rho_j(z))\overline{K_\mu(\rho_j(z))} \\ = \sum_j |J_{\rho_j}(z)|^2 K_\lambda(\rho_j(z))\overline{S^*(K_\mu)(\rho_j(z))}, \end{aligned}$$

the latter equality can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(z)J_\rho(z)K_\lambda(\rho(z)), J_\rho(z)K_\mu(\rho(z)) \rangle \\ = \langle J_\rho(z)K_\lambda(\rho(z)), \Psi(z)J_\rho(z)K_\mu(\rho(z)) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where inner product is the standard one in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . Next we will use the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 6.3.** For any  $z \in \Omega'$  vectors  $\{J_\rho(z)K_\lambda(\rho(z))\}_{\lambda \in \Omega}$  span  $\mathbb{C}^m$ .

**Proof.** Let vector  $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^m \in \mathbb{C}^m$  be perpendicular to

$$\{J_\rho(z)K_\lambda(\rho(z))\}_{\lambda \in \Omega}.$$

Thus for all  $\lambda \in \Omega$

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \overline{J_{\rho_i}(z)K_\lambda(\rho_i(z))} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \overline{J_{\rho_i}(z)} K_{\rho_i(z)}(\lambda).$$

Since  $J_{\rho_i}(z) \neq 0$  and  $K_{\rho_i(z)}, 1 \leq i \leq m$  are linearly independent, it follows that  $a = 0$ .  $\square$



Now it follows from the above Lemma that  $\Psi(z)$  is the adjoint of  $\Phi(z)$ . Since  $\Phi, \Psi$  are holomorphic, it follows that  $\Phi, \Psi$  are locally constant functions on  $U$ .

Thus, we conclude that if  $S : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$  is a bounded linear operator such that  $S, S^*$  commute with  $T_f$ , then there exists a locally constant function  $c$  on  $W_f$ , such that  $S = \iota_c$ . This implies that the algebra of commutants of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}(W_f)$ .

Now let us assume that Assumption 2 is satisfied. Therefore, by Bell's result  $A^2(\Omega') = A^2(\Omega)$  [[Be], Removable singularity theorem]. Next, suppose that  $c \in H^\infty(W_f)$  is bounded holomorphic function on  $W$  and  $\phi \in A^2(\Omega)$ . Then we claim that  $\iota_c(\phi) \in A^2(\Omega)$ . Indeed, it follows from the change of variables that for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$

$$\|c(z, \rho_i(z))J_{\rho_i}(z)\phi(\rho_i(z))\|_{L^2(U)} \leq \|c\|_{L^\infty(W)}\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega')}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\iota_c(\phi)\|_{A^2(\Omega')} \leq m\|c\|_{L^\infty(W)}\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega')}.$$

Hence  $\iota_c(\phi) \in A^2(\Omega)$ .

Let  $c \in \mathcal{A}(W)$ . Put  $c^*(z, w) = \overline{c(w, z)}$ ,  $(z, w) \in W$ . Let  $\phi, \psi \in A^2(\Omega)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \iota_c(\phi), \psi \rangle_{A^2(\Omega)} &= \sum_j \int_U c(z, \rho_j(z))J_{\rho_j}(z)\phi(\rho_j(z))\overline{\psi(z)}dV(z) \\ &= \sum_j \int_{\rho_j(U)} \phi(w)c(\rho_j^{-1}(w), w)\overline{J_{\rho_j^{-1}}(w)\overline{\psi(\rho_j^{-1}(w))}}dV(w), \end{aligned}$$

the latter equals to  $\langle \phi, \iota_{c^*}(\psi) \rangle_{A^2(\Omega)}$ . Thus, we have shown that for any  $c \in \mathcal{A}(W)$ ,  $\iota_c : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$  is a bounded linear operator commuting with  $T_f$ . Moreover  $(\iota_c)^* = \iota_{c^*}$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 6.1.  $\square$

As a consequence, we can reprove the following theorem of Douglas, Putinar and Wang [[DPW], Theorem 2.3].

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $f \in A^\infty(D)$  be a finite Blaschke product on the unit disc  $D$ . Then the algebra of commutants of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  is isomorphic to*

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}}_q,$$

where  $q$  equals the number of irreducible components of  $D' \times_f D'$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Definition 4.1 that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}(D', f) = q$ . The algebra of commutants of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}(D', f)$  by Theorem 6.1. But  $\mathcal{A}(D', f)$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}(\partial(D), f)$  by Lemma 4.2, which is commutative since  $\pi_1(\partial D) = \mathbb{Z}$  is Abelian. Thus, the algebra of commutants of  $\{T_f, T_f^*\}$  is a  $q$ -dimensional commutative Von Neumann algebra, hence it must be isomorphic to  $\underbrace{\mathbb{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}}_q$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** I am very grateful to Z. Cuckovic for introducing me to this area; in particular for bringing the results of [DSZ] to my attention. Thanks are also due to T. Le and especially to S. Sahutoglu for countless helpful discussions.

## References

- [AgS] AGRAWAL, OM P.; SALINAS, NORBERTO. Sharp kernels and canonical subspaces. *Amer. J. Math.* **109** (1987), 23–48. Revised version: *Amer. J. Math.* **110** (1988), 23–47. [MR0926737](#) (89g:47026), [Zbl 0644.47029](#), doi: [10.2307/2374538](#).
- [AxCR] AXLER, SHELDON; ČUČKOVIĆ, ŽELJKO; RAO, N. V. Commutants of analytic Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), no. 7, 1951–1953. [MR1694299](#) (2000m:47035), [Zbl 0947.47023](#), [arXiv:math/9808066](#), doi: [10.1090/S0002-9939-99-05436-2](#).
- [Be] BELL, STEVEN R. The Bergman kernel function and proper holomorphic mappings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **270** (1982), no. 2, 685–691. [MR0645338](#) (83i:32033), [Zbl 0482.32007](#), doi: [10.2307/1999869](#).
- [Ca] CATLIN, DAVID. Boundary behavior of holomorphic functions on pseudoconvex domains. *J. Differential Geom.* **15** (1980), no. 4, 605–625. [MR0628348](#) (83b:32013), [Zbl 0484.32005](#).
- [Ca2] CATLIN, DAVID. Necessary conditions for subellipticity of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **117** (1983), no. 1, 147–171. [MR0683805](#) (84c:32021), [Zbl 0552.32017](#), doi: [10.2307/2006974](#).
- [Ch] CHIRKA, E. M. Complex analytic sets. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 46. *Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht*, 1989. xx+372 pp. ISBN: 0-7923-0234-6. [MR1111477](#) (92b:32016), [Zbl 0683.32002](#).
- [Co] COWEN, CARL C. The commutant of an analytic Toeplitz operator. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **239** (1978), 1–31. [MR0482347](#) (58 #2420), [Zbl 0391.47014](#), doi: [10.2307/1997846](#).
- [Do] DOUGLAS, RONALD G. Banach algebra techniques in operator theory. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 179. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 1998. xvi+194 pp. ISBN: 0-387-98377-5. [MR1634900](#) (99c:47001), [Zbl 0920.47001](#), doi: [10.1007/978-1-4612-1656-8](#).
- [DPW] DOUGLAS, RONALD G.; PUTINAR, MIHAI; WANG, KAI. Reducing subspaces for analytic multipliers of the Bergman space. *J. Funct. Anal.* **263** (2012), no. 6, 1744–1765. [MR2948229](#), [Zbl 1275.47071](#), [arXiv:1110.4920](#), doi: [10.1016/j.jfa.2012.06.008](#).
- [DSZ] DOUGLAS, RONALD G.; SUN, SHUNHUA; ZHENG, DECHAO. Multiplication operators on the Bergman space via analytic continuation. *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), no. 1, 541–583. [MR2735768](#) (2012b:47084), [Zbl 1216.47053](#), [arXiv:0901.3787](#), doi: [10.1016/j.aim.2010.07.001](#).
- [GunR] GUNNING, ROBERT C.; ROSSI, HUGO. Analytic functions of several complex variables. *Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J.*, 1965. xiv+317 pp. [MR0180696](#) (31 #4927), [Zbl 0141.08601](#).
- [GuoH] GUO, KUNYU; HUANG, HANSONG. On multiplication operators on the Bergman space: similarity, unitary equivalence and reducing subspaces. *J. Operator Theory* **65** (2011), no. 2, 355–378. [MR2785849](#) (2012f:47086), [Zbl 1222.47040](#).
- [GuoH2] GUO, KUNYU; HUANG, HANSONG. Multiplication operators defined by covering maps on the Bergman space: the connection between operator theory and von Neumann algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **260** (2011), no. 4, 1219–1255. [MR2747021](#) (2012b:46133), [Zbl 1216.46054](#), doi: [10.1016/j.jfa.2010.11.002](#).

- [HS] HAKIM, MONIQUE; SIBONY, NESSIM. Spectre de  $A(\overline{\Omega})$  pour des domaines bornés faiblement pseudoconvexes réguliers. *J. Funct. Anal.* **37** (1980), no. 2, 127–135. [MR0578928](#) (81g:46072), [Zbl 0441.46044](#), doi: [10.1016/0022-1236\(80\)90037-3](#).
- [Le] LE, TRIEU. The commutants of certain Toeplitz operators on weighted Bergman spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **348** (2008), no. 1, 1–11. [MR2449321](#) (2009j:47059), [Zbl 1168.47025](#), doi: [10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.07.005](#).
- [Oh] OHSAWA, TAKEO. Boundary behavior of the Bergman kernel function on pseudoconvex domains. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **20** (1984), no. 5, 897–902. [MR0764336](#) (86d:32025), [Zbl 0569.32013](#), doi: [10.2977/prims/1195180870](#).
- [Øv] ØVRELID, NILS. Generators of the maximal ideals of  $A(\overline{D})$ . *Pacific J. Math.* **39** (1971), 219–223. [MR0310292](#) (46 #9393), [Zbl 0231.46090](#), doi: [10.2140/pjm.1971.39.219](#).
- [Pe] PELOSO, MARCO M. Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces on strongly pseudoconvex domains. *Illinois J. Math.* **38** (1994), no. 2, 223–249. [MR1260841](#) (95e:47039), [Zbl 0812.47023](#).
- [PS] PUTINAR, MIHAI; SALINAS, NORBERTO. Analytic transversality and Nullstellensatz in Bergman space. *The Madison Symposium on Complex Analysis* (Madison, WI, 1991), 367–381, *Contemp. Math.*, 137. *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, 1992. [MR1190997](#) (94f:46032), [Zbl 0784.47010](#), doi: [10.1090/conm/137/1190997](#).
- [Ru] RUDIN, WALTER. Function theory in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, 241. *Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin*, 1980. xiii+436 pp. ISBN: 0-387-90514-6. [MR0601594](#) (82i:32002), [Zbl 0495.32001](#).
- [SSU] SALINAS, NORBERTO; SHEU, ALBERT; UPMEIER, HARALD. Toeplitz operators on pseudoconvex domains and foliation  $C^*$ -algebras. *Ann. of Math.* **130** (1989), no. 3, 531–565. [MR1025166](#) (91e:47026), [Zbl 0708.47021](#), doi: [10.2307/1971454](#).
- [Th] THOMSON, JAMES E. The commutant of a class of analytic Toeplitz operators. *Amer. J. Math.* **99** (1977), no. 3, 522–529. [MR0461196](#) (57 #1181), [Zbl 0372.47018](#), doi: [10.2307/2373929](#).

(Akaki Tikaradze) UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, TOLEDO, OH 43606, USA  
[tikar06@gmail.com](mailto:tikar06@gmail.com)

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2015/21-61.html>.