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#### Abstract

Let $\Pi$ denote the upper half-plane. In this article, we prove that every angular operator on the Bergman space $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ over the upper halfplane can be uniquely represented as an integral operator of the form


$$
\left(A_{\varphi} f\right)(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \int_{\Pi} f(w) \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right) d \mu(w), \forall f \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi), z \in \Pi
$$

where $\varphi$ is a function on $\mathbb{C}_{-}:=\mathbb{C}-\{x \in \mathbb{R}: x \geq 0\}$ given by

$$
\varphi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}
$$

for some $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Here $d \mu(w)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\Pi$. Later on, with the help of above integral representation, we obtain various operator theoretic properties of the angular operators.

Also, we give integral representation of the form $A_{\varphi}$ for all the operators in the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by Toeplitz operators $T_{\mathrm{a}}$ with angular symbols $\mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Pi=\{z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}: y>0\}$ be the upper half-plane, and let $d \mu(z)=$ $d x d y$ be the standard Lebesgue plane measure on $\Pi$. Let $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ be the Bergman

[^0]space of all analytic functions on $\Pi$. This space is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with the reproducing kernel given by
$$
K_{\Pi, w}(z)=-\frac{1}{\pi(z-\bar{w})^{2}}, \forall z, w \in \Pi .
$$

In [21], K. Zhu defined a class of integral operators on the Fock space $F^{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and posed the question of characterizing all the integral kernels so that the operators are bounded. Cao et al. in [7] obtained a solution to this problem for the Fock space $F^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ in all the dimensions by observing that the operators commute with a group of unitary operators on the Fock space. Recently, in [2, 3, 4], analogous results are obtained for various classes of integral operators on the Fock space $F^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ and the Bergman space $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$.

Let $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$ denote the collection of all bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. Since $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, every operator $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$ can be uniquely written as an integral operator of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T f)(z)=\int_{\Pi} f(w) A_{T}(z, \bar{w}) d \mu(w), z \in \Pi \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\underline{\text { where } A_{T}}(z, \bar{w}):=\overline{\left(T^{*} K_{\Pi, z}\right)(w)}={\overline{\left\langle T^{*} K_{\Pi, z}, K_{\Pi, w}\right\rangle}}_{\mathcal{A}^{2}}={\overline{\left\langle K_{\Pi, z}, T K_{\Pi, w}\right\rangle}}_{\mathcal{A}^{2}}=$ : $\underline{\left.\overline{A_{T^{*}}(w, \bar{z}}\right)}$. It can be easily seen that $A_{T}(\cdot, \overline{(\cdot)})$ is defined on $\Pi \times \Pi$ and $A_{T}(\cdot, \bar{w})$, $A_{T}(z, \overline{(\cdot)}) \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{-}:=\mathbb{C}-\{x \in \mathbb{R}: x \geq 0\}$. For a function $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{C}_{-}$, we define

$$
K_{\varphi}(z, \bar{w}):=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right), z, w \in \Pi .
$$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the collection of all analytic functions $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{C}_{\text {_ }}$ such that $K_{\varphi}(\cdot, \bar{w})$, $\overline{K_{\varphi}(z, \overline{(\cdot)})} \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ for each $z, w \in \Pi$. In this article, motivated by the works in [2, 3, 4, 7, 21], we consider the following class of integral operators on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ : For $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$, we formally define an integral operator $A_{\varphi}: \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{\varphi} f\right)(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \int_{\Pi} f(w) \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right) d \mu(w), z \in \Pi, f \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We characterize all the symbols $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$ for which the operator $A_{\varphi}$ is bounded. Indeed, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$. Then the integral operator $A_{\varphi}$ defined by (1.2) is bounded on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ if and only if there exists $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have that

$$
\left\|A_{\varphi}\right\|_{\mathcal{A}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2}}=\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} .
$$

We prove Theorem 1.1 by observing that $A_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$ commutes with a group of unitary operators on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. Such operators are called angular operators and they are introduced in [10]. In fact, we obtain that the collection

$$
\left\{A_{\varphi}: \exists \sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \text { and } \varphi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}\right\}
$$

gives all angular operators in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$. In other words, we provide integral representations of the form (1.1) for all the angular operators. Also, we prove various operator theoretic properties for the angular operators such as compactness, normality, $C^{*}$-algebra properties, etc..

In mathematics, Toeplitz operators are one of the widely studied operators on holomorphic function spaces (Hardy space, Bergman space, Fock space, etc.). For a better understanding, these operators are studied by restricting the defining symbols to a particular class (For example, see $[10,11,12,14,15,16,17$, $18,20,23])$. In [10], $C^{*}$-algebra generated by Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ with angular symbols from $L^{\infty}(\Pi)$ is described. As every Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathbf{a}}$ with angular symbol $\mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi)$ is an angular operator on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$, in Section 4, we represent $T_{\mathbf{a}}$ uniquely in the form (1.2) and give explicit representation for operators in the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with angular symbols.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the collection of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then the spectrum of $T$ is defined by $\sigma(T)=$ $\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:(T-\lambda I)^{-1} \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\right\}$ and the point spectrum of $T$ is given by $\sigma_{p}(T)=$ $\{\lambda \in \sigma(T):(T-\lambda I)$ is not injective $\}$. A number $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ is an approximate eigenvalue of $T$ if there exists a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ of unit vectors such that $(T-\lambda I) x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The approximate point spectrum of $T$, denoted by $\sigma_{a}(T)$, consists of all approximate eigenvalues of $T$. Clearly, $\sigma_{p}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{a}(T)$. Let $\operatorname{ran}(T)=\{T x: x \in \mathcal{H}\}$ and $\operatorname{ker}(T)=\{x \in X: T x=0\}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be Fredholm if
(1) $\operatorname{ran}(T)$ is closed;
(2) $\operatorname{ker}(T)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{*}\right)$ are finite dimensional.

The essential spectrum of $T$ is defined by

$$
\sigma_{e}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda I \text { is not Fredholm }\}
$$

For more details, we refer to $[6,9]$.
Let $(X, M, \nu)$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure space and $L^{2}(X, \nu):=L^{2}(X)$ be the Hilbert space of all $\nu$-measurable complex valued functions on $X$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{2}(X)}^{2}=\int_{X}|f|^{2} d \nu<\infty .
$$

The inner product on $L^{2}(X)$ is given by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{L^{2}(X)}=\int_{X} f \bar{g} d \nu
$$

for all $f, g \in L^{2}(X)$. Let $f$ be a $\nu$-measurable complex valued function on $X$. Then the essential range of $f$, denoted by $\operatorname{ess}(f)$, is given by

$$
\{a \in \mathbb{C}: \forall \epsilon>0, \nu\{x \in X:|f(x)-a|<\epsilon\}>0\} .
$$

Let $L^{\infty}(X, \nu):=L^{\infty}(X)$ be the collection of all essentially bounded $\nu$-measurable functions on $X$. It is a Banach space with the norm given by

$$
\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(X)}=\sup \{|a|: a \in \operatorname{ess}(f)\} .
$$

It is known that the space $L^{\infty}(X)$ is a commutative $C^{*}$-algebra.
Let $m$ be a $\nu$-measurable function on $X$ and $\mathcal{D}_{m} \subseteq L^{2}(X)$ be the set of all $f \in L^{2}(X)$ such that $m \cdot f \in L^{2}(X)$. The operator $M_{m}: \mathcal{D}_{m} \rightarrow L^{2}(X)$ defined by $M_{m} f=m \cdot f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}_{m}$ is called a multiplication operator. It is well known that $M_{m}$ is bounded on $L^{2}(X)$ if and only if $m \in L^{\infty}(X)$. If $\mathcal{M}\left(L^{2}(X)\right)=\left\{M_{m}\right.$ : $\left.m \in L^{\infty}(X)\right\}$, then the map $\Lambda: L^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\left(L^{2}(X)\right)$ defined by $\Lambda(m)=M_{m}$ is a $\star$-isometric isomorphism.

Theorem 2.1. $[6,8,4]$ For all $m, m_{1}, m_{2} \in L^{\infty}(X, M, v)$, we have
(1) $M_{m}^{*}=M_{\bar{m}}$, where $\bar{m}(x)=\overline{m(x)}$ for all $x \in X$;
(2) $M_{m_{1}} M_{m_{2}}=M_{m_{1} m_{2}}=M_{m_{2} m_{1}}=M_{m_{2}} M_{m_{1}}$;
(3) The collection $\mathcal{M}\left(L^{2}(X)\right)$ is a maximal commutative $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(X)\right)$, where $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(X)\right)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators on $L^{2}(X)$;
(4) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p}\left(M_{m}\right)$ if and only if $\nu(\{x: m(x)=\lambda\})$ is positive;
(5) $\sigma\left(M_{m}\right)=\sigma_{a}\left(M_{m}\right)=\sigma_{e}\left(M_{m}\right)=\operatorname{ess}(m)$;
(6) If $\nu$ is non-atomic measure on $X$, then $M_{m}$ is compact if and only if $m=0$ $\nu$-a.e. on $X$.

For $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, let $D_{h}: \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ be the dilation operator defined by

$$
\left(D_{h} f\right)(z)=h f(h z), \quad\left(f \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi), z \in \Pi\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $\left(D_{h}\right)_{h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}}$is a unitary representation of the group $\mathbb{R}_{+}$on $\left.\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$ is said to be angular if it commutes with all the dilations. That is,

$$
T D_{h}=D_{h} T, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}_{+} .
$$

In [11], an integral operator $R: \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$
(R f)(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}} \int_{\Pi}(\bar{z})^{-i t-1} f(z) d \mu(z), f \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi), t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

is considered and with the help of this transform it was proved that the $C^{*}$ algebra generated by Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ with angular symbols is isomorphic to a $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. The operator $R$ is shown to be an isometric isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ onto the space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and its inverse is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R^{*} g\right)(z) & =\left(R^{-1} g\right)(z) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}}(z)^{i t-1} g(t) d t, g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), z \in \Pi .
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator $R^{*}$ is a Bargmann type transform. One can refer to $[1,2,3,4,5$, $13,20,22$ ] and references therin for various applications of the Bargmann type transforms.

If $f$ is a suitable measurable function on $\mathbb{R}$, then its Fourier transform is defined by

$$
(\mathcal{F} f)(x)=\frac{1}{(\pi)^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) e^{-2 i x y} d y .
$$

The transform $\mathcal{F}: L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a unitary operator with the inverse defined by

$$
\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{(\pi)^{1 / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) e^{2 i x y} d y .
$$

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f$ be a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then the translation and modulation of $f$ are given respectively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tau_{a} f\right)(x)=f(x-a),\left(M_{e^{2 \pi i b(\cdot)}} f\right)(x)=e^{2 \pi i b x} f(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The operators $\tau_{a}$ and $M_{e^{2 \pi i b(\cdot)}}$ defined above are unitary operators on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

The following theorem is well known.
Theorem 2.2 ([13]). For any real numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\mathcal{F} \tau_{a} \mathcal{F}^{-1}=M_{e^{2 \pi i c(\cdot)}}, \mathcal{F} M_{e^{2 \pi i b(\cdot)}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}=\tau_{-\pi b}
$$

where $c=-\frac{a}{\pi}$.

## 3. Integral representation of angular operators

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. As a consequence, we obtain various operator theoretic properties of the angular operators. We start with some auxiliary results which will be useful in proving Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the function $\varphi$ defined by (1.3) is analytic on $\mathbb{C}_{\text {_ }}$.

Proof. We are given that $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\varphi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} .
$$

Let $z=|z| e^{i \arg z}$, where $\arg z \in(0,2 \pi)$ is the principal argument of $z$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(z) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) e^{(i t+1)(\ln |z|+i \arg z)} d t \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) e^{i t \ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z} e^{\ln |z|} e^{i \arg z} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\varphi(z)| \\
& \leq e^{\ln |z|}\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) e^{-t \arg z} d t \\
& =e^{\ln |z|}\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) e^{-t \arg z} d t+\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) e^{-t \arg z} d t\right) \\
& =e^{\ln |z|}\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) e^{-t \arg z} d t+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 t}{e^{2 t \pi}-1}\right) e^{t \arg z} d t\right) \\
& <+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the integral in the definition of $\varphi$ converges for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$. Now we show that $\varphi$ is continuous.

Let $z=|z| e^{i \arg z} \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$and let $\left\{z_{n}=\left|z_{n}\right| e^{i \arg z_{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{C}_{-}$ converging to $z$. Then for any $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(t) 2 t\left(1-e^{-2 t \pi}\right)^{-1} e^{\ln \left|z_{n}\right|} e^{i \arg z_{n}} e^{i t \ln \left|z_{n}\right|} e^{-t \arg z_{n}} \\
& \quad \longrightarrow \sigma(t) 2 t\left(1-e^{-2 t \pi}\right)^{-1} e^{\ln |z|} e^{i \arg z} e^{i \ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z}
\end{aligned}
$$

pointwise a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\sigma(t) \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln \left|z_{n}\right|} e^{i \arg z_{n}} e^{i t \ln \left|z_{n}\right|} e^{-t \operatorname{tag} z_{n}}\right| \\
& \leq\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}} \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln \left|z_{n}\right|} e^{-t \arg z_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{\left|z_{n}\right|\right\}$ converges to $|z| \neq 0$, the sequence $\left\{e^{\ln \left|z_{n}\right|}\right\}$ is bounded. Let $c_{1}(z)>0$ such that

$$
e^{\ln \left|z_{n}\right|} \leq c_{1}(z), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

If $t \in(0, \infty)$, then

$$
\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln \left|z_{n}\right|} e^{-t \arg z_{n}} \leq c_{1}(z) \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{-t \arg z} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) .
$$

If $t \in(-\infty, 0)$ and $u=-t$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln \left|z_{n}\right|} e^{-t \arg z_{n}} & =\frac{2 u}{e^{2 u \pi}-1} e^{\ln \left|z_{n}\right|} e^{u \arg z_{n}} \\
& \leq c_{2}(z) \frac{2 u}{e^{2 u \pi}-1} e^{u \arg z} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by the dominated convergence theorem, it follows that $\varphi$ is continuous at each $z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$. Finally, we now prove that $\varphi$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}_{-}$.

Let $\gamma$ be a simple closed contour in $\mathbb{C}_{-}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\sigma(t) \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln |z|} e^{i \arg z} e^{i t \ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z}\right| d t|d \gamma(z)| \\
& \leq\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z} d t|d \gamma(z)| \\
& =\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}}\left(\int_{\gamma} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z} d t|d \gamma(z)|\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{\gamma} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z} d t|d \gamma(z)|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\gamma$ is compact and the functions

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z} d t \text { and } \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z} d t
$$

are continuous functions of $z$, it follows that

$$
\int_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\sigma(t) \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln |z|} e^{i \arg z} e^{i t \ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z}\right| d t|d \gamma(z)|<+\infty .
$$

Therefore, by Fubini's theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t) \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} e^{\ln |z|} e^{i \arg z} e^{i t \ln |z|} e^{-t \arg z} d t d \gamma(z) \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} \int_{\gamma} z^{i t+1} d \gamma(z) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}(0) d t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\gamma$ is arbitrary simple closed contour in $\mathbb{C}_{-}$, by Morera's theorem, it follows that the function $\varphi$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}_{\text {_ }}$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$
F_{\sigma}(z, \bar{w})=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right)^{1+i t} d t, z, w \in \Pi
$$

Then $F_{\sigma}(\cdot, \bar{w}), \overline{F_{\sigma}(z, \overline{(\cdot)})} \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ for each $z, w \in \Pi$.

Proof. Let $z, w \in \Pi$. Then $\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$and

$$
F_{\sigma}(z, \bar{w})=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right),
$$

where the function $\varphi$ is given by (1.3). By Lemma 3.1, we get

$$
\left|F_{\sigma}(\cdot, \bar{w})\right|<+\infty, z, w \in \Pi .
$$

Again by Lemma 3.1, it follows that the functions $F_{\sigma}(\cdot, \bar{w}), F_{\sigma}(z,(\cdot))$ are products of analytic functions on $\Pi$ and hence they are analytic. Now, we show that $F_{\sigma}(\cdot, \bar{w}) \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ for each $w \in \Pi$. Fix $w \in \Pi$ and consider

$$
\int_{\Pi}\left|F_{\sigma}(z, \bar{w})\right|^{2} d \mu(z)=\int_{\Pi}\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right)^{i t+1} d t\right|^{2} d \mu(z)
$$

Let $w=\rho e^{i \eta}, z=r e^{i \theta}$, where $r, \rho \in(0, \infty)$ and $\eta, \theta \in(0, \pi)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Pi}\left|F_{\sigma}(z, \bar{w})\right|^{2} d \mu(z) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|F_{\sigma}\left(r e^{i \theta}, \rho e^{-i \eta}\right)\right|^{2} r d r d \theta \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} r^{4}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)\left(\frac{r e^{i \theta}}{\rho e^{-i \eta}}\right)^{i t+1} d t\right|^{2} r d r d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the change of variable $r=e^{u}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Pi}\left|F_{\sigma}(z, \bar{w})\right|^{2} d \mu(z) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} e^{4 u}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)\left(\frac{e^{u}}{\rho}\right)^{i t+1} e^{i(\theta+\eta)(i t+1)} d t\right|^{2} e^{2 u} d u d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} \rho^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma(t)}{\rho^{i t}}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) e^{-t(\theta+\eta)} e^{i t u} d t\right|^{2} d u d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the Fourier transform is unitary on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Pi}\left|F_{\sigma}(z, \bar{w})\right|^{2} d \mu(z) & =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} \rho^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{\sigma(t)}{\rho^{i t}}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) e^{-t(\theta+\eta)}\right|^{2} d t d \theta \\
& \leq \frac{\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}}}{4 \pi^{2} \rho^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)^{2} e^{-2 t(\theta+\eta)} d t\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)^{2} e^{-2 t(\theta+\eta)} d t\right) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the change of variable $t \rightarrow-t$ in the second integral, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}}}{4 \pi^{2} \rho^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)^{2} e^{-2 t(\theta+\eta)} d t\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)^{2} e^{-2 t(\theta+\eta)} d t\right) d \theta \\
& =\frac{\|\sigma\|_{L^{\infty}}}{4 \pi^{2} \rho^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)^{2} e^{-2 t(\theta+\eta)} d t\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 t}{e^{2 t \pi}-1}\right)^{2} e^{2 t(\theta+\eta)} d t\right) d \theta \\
& <+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the function $F_{\sigma}(\cdot, \bar{w}) \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ for each $w \in \Pi$. In a similar way, we can show that $\overline{F_{\sigma}(z, \overline{(\cdot)})} \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ for each $z \in \Pi$. Hence the lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.3. For $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, the function $\varphi$ defined by (1.3) belongs to $\mathcal{G}$.
Proof. Let $\varphi$ be a function on $\mathbb{C}_{-}$and $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that they satisfy (1.3). By Lemma 3.1, the function $\varphi$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}_{-}$and Lemma 3.2 implies that the function

$$
K_{\varphi}(z, \bar{w})=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right), z, w \in \Pi
$$

satisfies $K_{\varphi}(z, \overline{(\cdot)}), \overline{K_{\varphi}(\cdot, \bar{w})} \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ for each $z, w \in \Pi$. Hence $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$.
Lemma 3.4. Let $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $R^{*} M_{\sigma} R=A_{\psi}$, where

$$
\psi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}
$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{D}:=\operatorname{Span}\left\{K_{\Pi, z}: z \in \Pi\right\}$. It is well-known that the set $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R^{*} M_{\sigma} R f\right)(z) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}}\left(M_{\sigma} R f\right)(t) z^{i t-1} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}} \sigma(t)(R f)(t) z^{i t-1} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) \sigma(t) \int_{\Pi}(\bar{w})^{-i t-1} f(w) d \mu(w) z^{i t-1} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that for any $z \in \Pi$ the function $(\cdot)^{-1} K_{\Pi, z}(\cdot) \in L^{1}(\Pi)$. So for any $f \in \mathcal{D}$, the integral

$$
\int_{\Pi}\left|w^{-1} f(w)\right| d \mu(w)<+\infty
$$

By Fubini's theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R^{*} M_{\sigma} R f\right)(z) & =\int_{\Pi} f(w)\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) \frac{1}{z \overline{\bar{w}}}\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right)^{i t} d t\right) d \mu(w) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \int_{\Pi} f(w)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right)\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right)^{i t+1} d t\right) d \mu(w) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \int_{\Pi} f(w) \psi\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right) d \mu(w) \\
& =\left(A_{\psi} f\right)(z), z \in \Pi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\psi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} .
$$

From above, we get $R^{*} M_{\sigma} R=A_{\psi}$ on $\mathcal{D}$.
Now we show that $R^{*} M_{\sigma} R=A_{\psi}$ on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. Let $g \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ and $\left\{g_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $g_{n} \rightarrow g$ in $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. For each $z \in \Pi$, let

$$
q_{z}(w):=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \overline{\psi\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right)}=\overline{K_{\psi}(z, \bar{w})}, w \in \Pi .
$$

Then for each $z \in \Pi, q_{z} \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ and $\left(A_{\psi} g_{n}\right)(z)=\left\langle g_{n}, q_{z}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{A}^{2}} \rightarrow\left\langle g, q_{z}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{A}^{2}}=$ $\left(A_{\psi} g\right)(z)$. But $A_{\psi} g_{n}=R^{*} M_{\sigma} R g_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that

$$
\left(R^{*} M_{\sigma} R g_{n}\right)(z) \rightarrow\left(A_{\psi} g\right)(z)
$$

for all $z \in \Pi$. As $R^{*} M_{\sigma} R$ is bounded on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$, we get $R^{*} M_{\sigma} R g_{n} \rightarrow R^{*} M_{\sigma} R g$ in $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. Since $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space, $\left(R^{*} M_{\sigma} R g_{n}\right)(z) \rightarrow$ $\left(R^{*} M_{\sigma} R g\right)(z)$ for all $z \in \Pi$. Hence $\left(R^{*} M_{\sigma} R g\right)(z)=\left(A_{\psi} g\right)(z)$ for all $z \in \Pi$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. That is, $R^{*} M_{\sigma} R g=A_{\psi} g$ for all $g \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. Thus, we get $R^{*} M_{\sigma} R=A_{\psi}$ on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$.
Remark 3.1. In Lemma 3.4, the choice of the dense set $\mathcal{D}$ is useful to apply Fubini's theorem for interchanging the order of integration.
Remark 3.2. For $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, we consider $E_{h}(x)=h^{i x}$ for all $x \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Then by Lemma 3.4, we get $R^{*} M_{E_{h}} R=D_{h}$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $M \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ such that $M M_{E_{h}}=M_{E_{h}} M$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Then there exists $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $M=M_{\sigma}$.
Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ such that $M M_{E_{h}}=M_{E_{h}} M$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. That is,

$$
M M_{e^{i x \ln (h)}}=M_{e^{i x \ln (h)}} M, \forall h \in \mathbb{R}_{+} .
$$

As the map $h \mapsto \ln (h)$ is continuous from $\mathbb{R}_{+}$onto $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
M M_{e^{2 \pi i b(\cdot)}}=M_{e^{2 \pi i b(\cdot)}} M, \forall b \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

By Theorem 2.2, we get $\mathcal{F}^{-1} M \mathcal{F} \tau_{a}=\tau_{a} \mathcal{F}^{-1} M \mathcal{F}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. By [19, Chapter 2, Proposition 2], there exixts $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $M(\mathcal{F} f)=M_{\sigma}(\mathcal{F} f)$ for all $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Since the Fourier transform is unitary on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, we get $M f=\sigma f$ for all $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence $M=M_{\sigma}$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$. Then $T$ is an angular operator if and only if there exists $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $T=R^{*} M_{\sigma} R$.
Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$ be angular operator. Then $T D_{h}=D_{h} T$, for all $h \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. By Remark 3.2, we get $\left(R T R^{*}\right) M_{E_{h}}=M_{E_{h}}\left(R T R^{*}\right)$, for all $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. By Lemma 3.5, it follows that $R T R^{*}=M_{\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. That is,

$$
T=R^{*} M_{\sigma} R
$$

Conversely, if $T=R^{*} M_{\sigma} R$ for some $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, then $R T R^{*}=M_{\sigma}$ commutes with all $M_{E_{h}}$ for $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Hence, by Remark 3.2, $T$ commutes with $R^{*} M_{E_{h}} R=$ $D_{h}$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. By definition of angular operators, we get that $T$ is angular. This proves the theorem.
Remark 3.3. The proof of Theorem 3.6 can also be found in [10, Theorem 2.2].
Lemma 3.7. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$ and let $A_{\varphi}$ be given by (1.2). If $A_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$, then there exists $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $A_{\varphi}=R^{*} M_{\sigma} R$.
Proof. Let $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Then

$$
\left(D_{h} A_{\varphi} f\right)(z)=h\left(A_{\varphi} f\right)(h z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi h z^{2}} \int_{\Pi} f(w) \varphi\left(\frac{h z}{\bar{w}}\right) d \mu(w)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{\varphi} D_{h} f\right)(z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \int_{\Pi}\left(D_{h} f\right)(w) \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right) d \mu(w) \\
& =\frac{h}{2 \pi z^{2}} \int_{\Pi} f(h w) \varphi\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right) d \mu(w) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the change of variable $w \mapsto \frac{w}{h}$, we get

$$
\left(A_{\varphi} D_{h} f\right)(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi h z^{2}} \int_{\Pi} f(w) \varphi\left(\frac{h z}{\bar{w}}\right) d \mu(w)=\left(D_{h} A_{\varphi} f\right)(z), \forall z \in \Pi .
$$

Therefore, $D_{h} A_{\varphi}=A_{\varphi} D_{h}$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. That is, the operator $A_{\varphi}$ is angular. Hence, by Theorem 3.6, there exists $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $A_{\varphi}=R^{*} M_{\sigma} R$.
Lemma 3.8. Let $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that the operators $A_{\varphi_{1}}, A_{\varphi_{2}} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$. Then $A_{\varphi_{1}}=A_{\varphi_{2}}$ if and only if $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}$.
Proof. We are given that $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that the operators $A_{\varphi_{1}}, A_{\varphi_{2}} \in$ $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$. If $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}$ then $A_{\varphi_{1}}=A_{\varphi_{2}}$. Conversely, suppose $A_{\varphi_{1}}=A_{\varphi_{2}}$. Let

$$
K_{\varphi_{1}}(z, \bar{w})=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \varphi_{1}\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right), K_{\varphi_{2}}(z, \bar{w})=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \varphi_{2}\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right), \quad z, w \in \Pi .
$$

Then for all $f \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A_{\varphi_{1}} f\right)(z) & =\int_{\Pi} f(w) K_{\varphi_{1}}(z, \bar{w}) d \mu(w) \\
& =\int_{\Pi} f(w) K_{\varphi_{2}}(z, \bar{w}) d \mu(w)=\left(A_{\varphi_{2}} f\right)(z), \quad z \in \Pi
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Pi} f(w)\left(K_{\varphi_{1}}-K_{\varphi_{2}}\right)(z, \bar{w}) d \mu(w)=0 \\
& \Longrightarrow \int_{\Pi} f(w) \overline{\left.\overline{K_{\varphi_{1}}-K_{\varphi_{2}}}\right)(z, \bar{w})} d \mu(w)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

For $z \in \Pi$, we define $\Phi_{z}(w):=\overline{\left(K_{\varphi_{1}}-K_{\varphi_{2}}\right)(z, \bar{w})}$ for all $w \in \Pi$. Clearly, $\Phi_{z} \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. Therefore, we have $\left\langle f, \Phi_{z}\right\rangle_{\mathcal{A}^{2}}=0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. This gives $\Phi_{z} \equiv 0$. Since $z \in \Pi$ is arbitrary, we get $\Phi_{z}(w)=0$ for all $z, w \in \Pi$. That is, $\overline{\left(K_{\varphi_{1}}-K_{\varphi_{2}}\right)(z, \bar{w})}=0$ for all $z, w \in \Pi$. This implies

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \varphi_{1}\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi z^{2}} \varphi_{2}\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right), \forall z, w \in \Pi .
$$

Hence $\varphi_{1}\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right)=\varphi_{2}\left(\frac{z}{\bar{w}}\right)$ for all $z, w \in \Pi$. That is, $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}$.
Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $A_{\varphi}$ given by (1.2) is bounded on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. By Lemma 3.7, there exists $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $A_{\varphi}=R^{*} M_{\sigma} R$. But Lemma 3.4 implies that $R^{*} M_{\sigma} R=A_{\psi}$, where

$$
\psi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} .
$$

By Lemma 3.3, we get $\psi \in \mathcal{G}$. As $A_{\varphi}=A_{\psi}$ with $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{G}$, by Lemma 3.8, it follows that $\varphi=\psi$. That is,

$$
\varphi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} .
$$

Conversely, suppose $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi$ is given by (1.3). Then by Lemma 3.4, it follows that $A_{\varphi}=R^{*} M_{\sigma} R$. Since $M_{\sigma}$ is bounded operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, we get $A_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have that the every angular operator $T$ is of the form $A_{\varphi}$ for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$ and vice-versa. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the collection of all angular operators on $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$, then we have $\mathfrak{A}=\left\{A_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right): \varphi \in \mathcal{G}\right\}$. That is

$$
\mathfrak{A}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
A_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right) & \begin{array}{l}
\varphi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} \text {for } \\
\text { some } \sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

3.1. Operator theoretic properties of angular operators. In this subsection, we study various operator theoretic properties for the operator $A_{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$ in terms of the symbol $\varphi$.

Using Theorems 2.1, 1.1 and 3.6, one can easily prove the following results. The proofs are left to the reader.

Theorem 3.9 (Adjoint of $A_{\varphi}$ ). Let $\varphi$ be a function on $\mathbb{C}_{-}$and $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that they satisfy (1.3). Then $A_{\varphi}^{*}=A_{\widetilde{\varphi}}$, where $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{G}$ and it is given by

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\sigma(t)}\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} .
$$

Theorem 3.10. Let $\varphi$ be a function on $\mathbb{C}_{-}$and $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that they satisfy (1.3). Then we have the following:
(1) $A_{\varphi}$ is normal;
(2) $A_{\varphi}$ is compact if and only if $\varphi \equiv 0$;
(3) The collection $\mathfrak{A}$ is a maximal commutative $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$.

Theorem 3.11 (Spectrum of $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}$ ). Let $\varphi$ be a function on $\mathbb{C}_{-}$and $m \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that they satisfy (1.3), with $m$ instead of $\sigma$. Then we have the following:
(1) $\sigma\left(A_{\varphi}\right)=\sigma_{a}\left(A_{\varphi}\right)=\sigma_{e}\left(A_{\varphi}\right)=\operatorname{ess}(m)$;
(2) $\lambda \in \sigma_{p}\left(A_{\varphi}\right)$ if and only if the Lebesgue measure of $\{x: m(x)=\lambda\}$ is positive.

Now, we give the structure of common reducing subspaces of operators in the collection $\mathfrak{A}$. Before that, we recall some basic definitions and results.

Definitions 3.12. [9, Definition 4.41] Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. A closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is an invariant subspace of $T$ if $T(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be a reducing subspace of $T$ if it is invariant under both $T$ and $T^{*}$.

Lemma 3.13. [9, Proposition 4.42] Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is an invariant subspace of $T$ if and only if $P_{\mathcal{M}} T P_{\mathcal{M}}=T P_{\mathcal{M}}$ and it is a reducing subspace of $T$ if and only if $T P_{\mathcal{M}}=P_{\mathcal{M}} T$, where $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an orthogonal projection associated to $\mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 3.14 (Common reducing subspace). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a reducing subspace of all the operators in $\mathfrak{A}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}=A_{\varphi_{0}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)\right)$, where

$$
\varphi_{0}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{E}(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}
$$

and $\chi_{E}$ is a characteristic function associated to a measurable set $E$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a closed subspace of $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$. By Lemma 3.13 and Theorem $1.1, \mathcal{M}$ is a reducing subspace of operators in $\mathfrak{A} \Longleftrightarrow A_{\varphi} P_{\mathcal{M}}=P_{\mathcal{M}} A_{\varphi}$ for all $A_{\varphi} \in \mathfrak{A} \Longleftrightarrow M_{m}\left(R P_{\mathcal{M}} R^{*}\right)=\left(R P_{\mathcal{M}} R^{*}\right) M_{m}$ for all $m \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is a maximal commutative $C^{*}$-algebra, we get $\left(R P_{\mathcal{M}} R^{*}\right)=M_{\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Since $M_{\sigma}\left(=R P_{\mathcal{M}} R^{*}\right)$ is an orthogonal projection on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a Lebesgue measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma=\chi_{E}$ almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}$ and $M_{\sigma}=M_{\chi_{E}}$. Hence $P_{\mathcal{M}}=R M_{\chi_{E}} R^{*}$. By Theorem 1.1, we get $P_{\mathcal{M}}=A_{\varphi_{0}}$, where

$$
\varphi_{0}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{E}(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} .
$$

This proves the theorem.

## 4. Angular Toeplitz operators

Let $P$ be the orthogonal projection on $L^{2}(\Pi)$ with range $\mathcal{A}^{2}(\Pi)$ and let $\mathbf{a} \in$ $L^{\infty}(\Pi)$. Then the Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathbf{a}}: L^{2}(\Pi) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Pi)$ is defined by $T_{\mathbf{a}} f=$ $P \mathbf{a} f$. Let $\mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi)$. Then $\mathbf{a}$ is said to an angular function if $\mathbf{a}(z)=\mathbf{a}(\arg z)$ almost everywhere on $\Pi$. For a Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi)$, we have the following results.
Theorem 4.1. [10, Proposition 3.1] Let $\mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi)$, then the Toeplitz operator $T_{\mathbf{a}}$ is angular if and only if $\mathbf{a}$ is an angular function.

Theorem 4.2. [10] Let $\mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi)$ be an angular function. Then $T_{\mathbf{a}}=R^{*} M_{\gamma_{\mathrm{a}}} R$, where $\gamma_{\mathrm{a}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}(t)=\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \mathbf{a}(x) e^{-2 x t} d x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi)$ be an angular function. By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.2, we have $A_{\varphi_{\mathbf{a}}}=R^{*} M_{\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}} R=T_{\mathbf{a}}$, where $\varphi_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{G}$ and it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathbf{a}}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_{\mathbf{a}}(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is given by (4.1). Let $\mathcal{A}_{\text {top }}=\left\{T_{\mathbf{a}}: \mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi)\right.$ is angular $\}$. Then from above, it is clear that

$$
\mathcal{A}_{t o p}=\left\{A_{\varphi_{\mathbf{a}}}: \mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi) \text { is angular and } \varphi_{\mathbf{a}} \text { is given by (4.2) }\right\} .
$$

Let $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}: \mathbf{a} \in L^{\infty}(\Pi)\right.$ is angular and $\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}$ is given by (4.1) $\}$. Then the map $\eta: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {top }} ; \gamma_{\mathrm{a}} \mapsto A_{\varphi_{\mathrm{a}}}$ is a $*$-isometric isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{A \mathcal { T }}$ be the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}_{\text {top }}$. Let VSO( $\left.\mathbb{R}\right)$ be the collection of all bounded very slowly oscillating functions on $\mathbb{R}$, that is the functions which are uniformly continuous with respect to the "arcsinh-metric" $\rho(x, y)=$ $|\operatorname{arcsinh}(x)-\operatorname{arcsinh}(y)|$. From [10], we have that VSO( $\mathbb{R})$ is a closed $C^{*}$-algebra subalgebra of $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and it is equal to the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by $\Gamma$. Let

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\varphi \in \mathcal{G} & \begin{array}{l}
\varphi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma(t)\left(\frac{2 t}{1-e^{-2 t \pi}}\right) z^{1+i t} d t, z \in \mathbb{C}_{-} \text {for } \\
\text { some } \sigma \in \operatorname{VSO}(\mathbb{R})
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Then it is easy to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.3. The $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A J}$ generated by $\mathcal{A}_{\text {top }}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{A T}=\left\{A_{\varphi}: \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\right\} .
$$
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