

## Correction to “On $BT_1$ group schemes and Fermat curves”

**Rachel Pries and Douglas Ulmer**

ABSTRACT. We correct an error in Proposition 5.6(3) of [PU21] and revise other statements in the paper accordingly.

### 1. Corrected $u_{1,1}$ -numbers

The calculation of  $u_{1,1}$ -numbers in part (3) of Proposition 5.6 in Section 5.3 of [PU21] is incorrect. In this section, we give more details on part (2) of Proposition 5.6 and a corrected statement and proof of part (3).

Before stating the result, we make the following definitions. Assume that  $w$  is a primitive word of length  $\lambda > 2$ , and rotate  $w$  so that it begins with  $f$  and ends with  $v$ . Define  $d(w)$  and  $u(w)$  as follows: each subword of  $w$  of the form  $f^2(vf)^e v^2$  (where  $e \geq 0$ ) contributes 1 to  $d(w)$  and  $e + 1$  to  $u(w)$ . Examples:

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^3 v^2) &= 1, & u(f^3 v^2) &= 1, & d(f^4 v f^2 v) &= 0, & u(f^4 v f^2 v) &= 0, \\ d(f v f^2 v f v^3 f v) &= 1, & u(f v f^2 v f v^3 f v) &= 2, \\ d(f^2 v^2 f^2 v f v^2) &= 2, & u(f^2 v^2 f^2 v f v^2) &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

The invariant  $d$  defined here turns out to be the same as the  $u$  of Proposition 5.6.

Also, as in Subsection 3.2, let  $r$  be the integer such that (up to rotation)  $w$  can be written in the form

$$w = v^{n_r} f^{m_r} \dots v^{n_1} f^{m_1}$$

where all  $m_i$  and  $n_i$  are  $\geq 1$ .

The following replaces parts (2) and (3) of [PU21, Proposition 5.6].

**Proposition.** *Let  $w$  be a primitive word of length  $\lambda > 2$ .*

(1) *There is a bijection*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_k}(M(w), M_{1,1}) \cong k^{d(w)+r}.$$

(2) *The  $u_{1,1}$ -number of  $M(w)$  is  $u(w)$ .*

**Proof.** For (1), we use Lemma 3.1 to present  $M(w)$  with generators  $E_0, \dots, E_{r-1}$  (with indices taken modulo  $r$ ) and relations  $V^{n_i} E_i = F^{m_i} E_{i-1}$ . Let  $z_0, z_1$  be a  $k$ -basis of  $M_{1,1}$  with  $Fz_0 = Vz_0 = z_1$  and  $Fz_1 = Vz_1 = 0$ . Then a homomorphism  $\psi : M(w) \rightarrow M_{1,1}$  is determined by its values on the generators  $E_i$ . Write

$$\psi(E_i) = a_{i,0} z_0 + a_{i,1} z_1.$$

---

Received July 8, 2024.

Then  $\psi$  is a  $\mathbb{D}_k$ -module homomorphism if and only if  $V^{n_i}\psi(E_i) = F^{m_i}\psi(E_{i-1})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

This leads to the system of equations:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_{i,0}^{1/p} \quad \text{if } n_i = 1 \\ 0 \quad \text{if } n_i > 1 \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_{i-1,0}^p \quad \text{if } m_i = 1 \\ 0 \quad \text{if } m_i > 1 \end{array} \right. \quad (*)$$

for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that the  $a_{i,1}$  are all unconstrained, and this accounts for the factor  $k^r$  on the right hand side of the display in part (1).

Since  $w$  is primitive of length  $> 2$ , we may rotate  $w$  so that  $m_1 > 1$  or  $n_r > 1$  (or both). First we deal with the case where all of the  $m_i = 1$  and  $n_r > 1$ . The definitions above give  $d(w) = u(w) = 0$  in this case. On the other hand, the system of equations for the  $a_{i,0}$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_{r-1,0}^p \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} a_{r-1,0}^{1/p} \quad \text{if } n_{r-1} = 1 \\ 0 \quad \text{if } n_{r-1} > 1 \end{array} \right\} &= a_{r-2,0}^p \\ &\vdots \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} a_{1,0}^{1/p} \quad \text{if } n_1 = 1 \\ 0 \quad \text{if } n_1 > 1 \end{array} \right\} &= a_{0,0}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the only solution is  $a_{0,0} = \dots = a_{r-1,0} = 0$ , and this shows that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_k}(M(w), M_{1,1}) \cong k^r$  and that none of these homomorphisms are surjective, in agreement with the calculations  $d(w) = u(w) = 0$ .

Now we assume that at least one of the  $m_i > 1$ , we rotate  $w$  so that  $m_1$  is one of them, and we write  $1 = i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  for the set of indices such that  $m_{i_j} > 1$ . Then the system (\*) breaks up into subsystems involving the variables  $a_{i_j,0}, \dots, a_{i_{j+1}-1,0}$  and "controlled" by the subwords  $s = v^{n_{i_{j+1}-1}} f \dots v^{n_{i_j}} f^{m_{i_j}}$ . (All the exponents of  $f$  in this subword except  $m_{i_j}$  are 1.) If none of the exponents of  $v$  are  $> 1$ , then an argument similar to that in the previous paragraph shows that the only solution has  $a_{i_j,0} = \dots = a_{i_{j+1}-1,0} = 0$ .

For the main case, continue to focus on a subword

$$s = v^{n_{i_{j+1}-1}} \dots f^{m_{i_j}}$$

and assume that some exponent of  $v$  in  $s$  is  $> 1$ . To streamline notation, rewrite  $s$  in the form

$$s = v^{\nu_t} \dots f^{\mu_1} = (vf)^e v^{\nu_{t-e}} \dots f^{\mu_1}$$

where  $e \geq 0$  and we write  $\nu$  for  $n_{i_{j+1}-1}$  and  $\mu$  for  $m_{i_{j+1}-1}$ . Note that we have assumed that  $\nu_{t-e} > 1$  and all  $\mu_i = 1$  except  $\mu_1$ . Writing  $a$  for  $a_{m_{i_{j+1}-1},0}$ , the

relevant part of (\*) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_t^{1/p} &= a_{t-1}^p \\
 a_{t-1}^{1/p} &= a_{t-2}^p \\
 &\vdots \\
 a_{m_{t-e+1}}^{1/p} &= a_{t-e}^p \\
 0 &= a_{t-e-1}^p \\
 \left. \begin{array}{l} a_{t-e-1}^{1/p} \quad \text{if } \nu_{t-e-1} = 1 \\ 0 \quad \text{if } \nu_{t-e-1} > 1 \end{array} \right\} &= a_{t-e-2,0}^p \\
 \left. \begin{array}{l} a_{t-e-2}^{1/p} \quad \text{if } \nu_{t-e-1} = 1 \\ 0 \quad \text{if } \nu_{t-e-1} > 1 \end{array} \right\} &= a_{t-e-3,0}^p \\
 &\vdots \\
 \left. \begin{array}{l} a_1^{1/p} \quad \text{if } \nu_1 = 1 \\ 0 \quad \text{if } \nu_1 > 1 \end{array} \right\} &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

The general solution of this system is given by choosing  $a_t$  arbitrarily in  $k$  and letting

$$a_t = a_{t-1}^{p^2} = \cdots = a_{t-e}^{p^{2e}} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{t-e-1} = \cdots = a_1 = 0. \quad (**)$$

This shows that there is one free parameter in the general solution of (\*) for each subword  $s$  satisfying the hypotheses of this paragraph, and the general solution involves (a highly non-linear!) combination of  $e + 1$  non-zero values.

To make the connection with the definitions of  $d(w)$  and  $u(w)$ , note that the number of subwords of  $w = v^{n_r} \cdots f^{m_1}$  of the form  $(vf)^e v^{>1} \cdots f^{>1}$  is the same as the number of subwords of the rotation  $f^{m_1} v^{n_r} \cdots v^{n_1}$  of the form  $f^2(vf)^e v^2$ . Thus the general solution of (\*) depends on exactly  $d(w) + r$  free parameters from  $k$ . This completes the proof of part (1) of the proposition.

Turning to part (2), take an element  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}_k}(M(w), M_{1,1}^u)$  for some integer  $u > 0$ . The proof of part (1) gives explicit information about the matrix of  $\phi$  (as a  $k$ -linear map) with respect to a suitable basis which we now record. For an ordered basis of  $M(w)$ , we take

$$E_1, \dots, E_r, FE_1, \dots, FE_r, VE_1, \dots, VE_r, \dots$$

where we omit  $VE_i$  if  $m_i = n_i = 1$  (since in this case this element has already appeared as  $FE_i$ ) and the final ... stands for higher powers of  $F$  or  $V$  applied to the  $E_i$ . As a basis of  $M_{1,1}^u$ , we use  $u$  copies of  $z_0$  followed by  $u$  copies of  $z_1$ .

Let  $A$  be the matrix of  $\phi$  with respect to these bases, and let  $A_0$  be the first  $u$  rows of  $A$ . Then  $A_0$  is zero outside its first  $r$  columns, and its rows consist of zeroes and sequences  $a, a^{p^2}, a^{p^4}, \dots, a^{p^{2e}}$  as described at (\*\*) above. In particular, only  $u(w)$  of the columns of  $A_0$  may be non-zero. This implies that  $u_{1,1}(M(w)) \leq u(w)$ .

To see the reverse inequality, we choose solutions (\*\*\*) so that  $A_0$  has a block structure

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where the  $B_i$  correspond to the subwords  $f^2(vf)^e v^2$  of  $w$  and have the shape

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_1^{p^2} & \alpha_1^{p^4} & \dots & \alpha_1^{p^{2e}} \\ \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^{p^2} & \alpha_2^{p^4} & \dots & \alpha_2^{p^{2e}} \\ \dots & & & & \\ \alpha_{e+1} & \alpha_{e+1}^{p^2} & \alpha_{e+1}^{p^4} & \dots & \alpha_{e+1}^{p^{2e}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Choosing the  $\alpha_i \in k$  generically results in each of the  $B_i$  having maximal rank, namely  $e + 1$ , and  $A_0$  having rank  $u(w)$ .

With these choices of solutions of (\*\*\*), the columns  $r + 1, \dots, 2r$  of the bottom half of  $A$  (corresponding to the basis elements  $FE_1, \dots, FE_r$  and copies of  $z_1$ ) has the shape

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_1^{(p)} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_2^{(p)} & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $B^{(p)}$  is obtained from  $B$  by taking the  $p$ -th power of each entry. It follows that  $A$  has rank  $2u(w)$ , so our choices of solutions to (\*\*\*) have produced a surjection  $M(w) \rightarrow M_{1,1}^{u(w)}$ , and this completes the proof that  $u_{1,1}(M(w)) = u(w)$ .  $\square$

## 2. Other revisions

The correction to Proposition 5.6 requires minor revisions later in the paper:

- In Proposition 5.8 of [PU21],  $u_{1,1}$  should be replaced by  $\sum_w \mu_w d(w)$ , where  $H_{dR}^1(X) = \bigoplus_w M(w)^{\mu_w}$ .
- In Proposition 5.9(4) of [PU21], the current formula for  $u_{1,1}$  is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (\ell-4)/2 \rfloor} \mu(-v^2(fv)^j f^2),$$

and the correct formula is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (\ell-4)/2 \rfloor} (j+1)\mu(-f^2(vf)^j v^2).$$

- In the table of examples for  $g = 4$  in Section 5.6 of [PU21], the  $u_{1,1}$ -number in the line  $[0, 0, 1, 1]$  should be 2.

- In part (4) of Proposition 10.3 in [PU21], one should add a coefficient  $(j + 1)$  to the summand in the display, so the correct formula is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{[(\ell-4)/2]} (j+1) \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{2j+1} \left(\frac{p^{\ell-3-2j}-1}{2}\right).$$

- Similarly, in part (4) of Proposition 11.3 in [PU21], the correct formula is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{[(\lambda-4)/2]} (j+1) \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{2j+1} \left(\frac{p^{\lambda-3-2j}+1}{2}\right) + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{\lambda-2} & \text{if } \lambda > 1 \text{ and odd,} \\ \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{\lambda-1} & \text{if } \lambda \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

## References

[PU21] PRIES, R. AND ULMER, D. On BT1 group schemes and Fermat curves, *New York J. Math.* **27** (2021), 705–739. [MR4250272](#), [Zbl 1471.11200](#). [1024](#), [1027](#), [1028](#)

(Rachel Pries) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS, CO 80523, USA  
[pries@colostate.edu](mailto:pries@colostate.edu)

(Douglas Ulmer) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, AZ 85721, USA  
[ulmer@arizona.edu](mailto:ulmer@arizona.edu)

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2024/30-45.html>.