

A note on Hardy spaces on quadratic CR manifolds

M. Calzi

ABSTRACT. Given a quadratic CR manifold \mathcal{M} embedded in a complex space, and a holomorphic function f on a tubular neighbourhood of \mathcal{M} , we show that the L^p -norms of the restrictions of f to the translates of \mathcal{M} is decreasing for the ordering induced by the closed convex envelope of the image of the Levi form of \mathcal{M} .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1498
2. Preliminaries	1499
3. A property of Hardy spaces	1500
4. Examples	1502
References	1504

1. Introduction

Let f be a holomorphic function on the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \mathbb{R} + i\mathbb{R}_+^*$. If f belongs to the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$, that is, if $\sup_{y>0} \|f_y\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ is finite, where $f_y : x \mapsto f(x + iy)$, then it is well known that the function $y \mapsto \|f_y\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ is decreasing on \mathbb{R}_+^* , for every $p \in]0, \infty]$. Nonetheless, if f is simply holomorphic, then the lower semicontinuous function $y \mapsto \|f_y\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ need not be decreasing. Actually, the set where it is finite may be any interval in \mathbb{R}_+^* , or even a disconnected set.

Now, replace the upper half-plane \mathbb{C}_+ with a Siegel upper half-space

$$D := \{(\zeta, z) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z - |\zeta|^2 > 0\},$$

and define

$$f_h : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R} \ni (\zeta, x) \mapsto f(\zeta, x + i|\zeta|^2 + h)$$

Received December 16, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 32V20, 32A35; Secondary: 32A10, 32M10.

Key words and phrases. Hardy spaces, CR manifolds, Siegel domains.

The author is a member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and is partially supported by the 2020 GNAMPA grant *Fractional Laplacians and subLaplacians on Lie groups and trees*.

for every $h > 0$ and for every function f on D . This definition is motivated by the fact that

$$bD := \{ (\zeta, x + i|\zeta|^2) : (\zeta, x) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R} \}$$

is the boundary of D , and the sets $bD + (0, ih)$, for $h > 0$, foliate D as the sets $\mathbb{R} + iy$, for $y > 0$, foliate \mathbb{C}_+ . If f is holomorphic on D , then the mapping $h \mapsto \|f_h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R})}$ is always decreasing (though not necessarily finite), in contrast to the preceding case (cf. Theorem 3.1). This fact is closely related with the fact that every holomorphic function defined in a neighbourhood of bD automatically extends to D . More precisely, if one observes that bD has the structure of a CR submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$, one may actually prove that every CR function (of class C^1) is the boundary values of a unique holomorphic function on D (cf. [2, Theorem 1 of Section 15.3]).

In this note, we show that an analogous property holds when bD is replaced by a general quadratic, or quadric, CR submanifold of a complex space, and then discuss some examples of Šilov boundaries of (homogeneous) Siegel domains.

2. Preliminaries

We fix a complex hilbertian space E of dimension n , a real hilbertian space F of dimension m , and a hermitian map $\Phi : E \times E \rightarrow F_{\mathbb{C}}$. Define

$$\mathcal{M} := \{ (\zeta, x + i\Phi(\zeta)) : \zeta \in E, x \in F \} = \{ (\zeta, z) \in E \times F_{\mathbb{C}} : \text{Im } z - \Phi(\zeta) = 0 \},$$

where $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the complexification of F , while $\Phi(\zeta) := \Phi(\zeta, \zeta)$ for every $\zeta \in E$. We define

$$\rho : E \times F_{\mathbb{C}} \ni (\zeta, z) \mapsto \text{Im } z - \Phi(\zeta) \in F.$$

We endow $E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the product

$$(\zeta, z)(\zeta', z') := (\zeta + \zeta', z + z' + 2i\Phi(\zeta', \zeta))$$

for every $(\zeta, z), (\zeta', z') \in E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$, so that $E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$ becomes a 2-step nilpotent Lie group, and \mathcal{M} a closed subgroup of $E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$. In particular, the identity of $E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $(0, 0)$ and $(\zeta, z)^{-1} = (-\zeta, -z + 2i\Phi(\zeta))$ for every $(\zeta, z) \in E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$. It will be convenient to identify \mathcal{M} with the 2-step nilpotent Lie group $\mathcal{N} := E \times F$, endowed with the product

$$(\zeta, x)(\zeta', x') := (\zeta + \zeta', x + x' + 2\text{Im } \Phi(\zeta, \zeta'))$$

for every $(\zeta, x), (\zeta', x') \in \mathcal{N}$, by means of the isomorphism

$$\iota : \mathcal{N} \ni (\zeta, x) \mapsto (\zeta, x + i\Phi(\zeta)) \in E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

In particular, the identity of \mathcal{N} is $(0, 0)$ and $(\zeta, x)^{-1} = (-\zeta, -x)$ for every $(\zeta, x) \in \mathcal{N}$. Notice that, in this way, \mathcal{N} acts holomorphically (on the left) on $E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$. Given a function f on $E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$, we shall define

$$f_h : \mathcal{N} \ni (\zeta, x) \mapsto f(\zeta, x + i\Phi(\zeta) + ih) \in \mathbb{C}$$

for every $h \in F$.

Observe that the preceding group structures show that, if we define the complex tangent space of \mathcal{M} at (ζ, z) as

$$H_{(\zeta, z)}\mathcal{M} := T_{(\zeta, z)}\mathcal{M} \cap (iT_{(\zeta, z)}\mathcal{M})$$

for every $(\zeta, z) \in \mathcal{M}$, where $T_{(\zeta, z)}\mathcal{M}$ denotes the real tangent space to \mathcal{M} at (ζ, z) , identified with a subspace of $E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$, then

$$H_{(\zeta, z)}\mathcal{M} = dL_{(\zeta, z)}H_{(0,0)}\mathcal{M},$$

where $L_{(\zeta, z)}$ denotes the left translation by (ζ, z) (in $E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$), and $dL_{(\zeta, z)}$ its differential at $(0, 0)$. Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{(\zeta, z)}\mathcal{M} = n$ for every $(\zeta, z) \in \mathcal{M}$, so that \mathcal{M} is a CR submanifold of $E \times F_{\mathbb{C}}$ (cf. [2, Chapter 7]), called a quadratic or quadric CR manifold (cf. [2, Section 7.3] and [10, 11]).

We observe explicitly that \mathcal{M} is generic (that is, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M} - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_{(0,0)}\mathcal{M} = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} E \times F_{\mathbb{C}} - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{M}$, cf. [2, Definition 5 and Lemma 4 of Section 7.1]) and that its Levi form may be canonically identified with Φ (cf. [2, Chapter 10] and [11]).

3. A property of Hardy spaces

We denote by C the convex envelope of $\Phi(E)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Ω be an open subset of F such that $\Omega = \Omega + \overline{C}$, and set $D := \rho^{-1}(\Omega)$. Then, for every $f \in \text{Hol}(D)$, for every $p \in]0, \infty]$, for every $h \in \Omega$ and for every $h' \in \overline{C}$,*

$$\|f_{h+h'}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N})} \leq \|f_h\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N})}.$$

The proof is based on the ‘analytic disc technique’ presented in [2, Section 15.3].

Observe that the assumption that $\Omega = \Omega + \overline{C}$ is not restrictive. Indeed, if Ω is connected and C has a non-empty interior $\text{Int } C$, then every function which is holomorphic on $\rho^{-1}(\Omega)$ extends (uniquely) to a holomorphic function on $\rho^{-1}(\Omega + (\text{Int } C \cup \{0\}))$ by [2, Theorem 1 of Section 15.3], and $\Omega + (\text{Int } C \cup \{0\}) = \Omega + \overline{C}$ since Ω is open and $\overline{C} = \overline{\text{Int } C}$ by convexity. The case in which $\text{Int } C = \emptyset$ may be treated directly using similar techniques.

We also mention that, if $p < \infty$ and either Φ is degenerate or the polar of $\Phi(E)$ has an empty interior (that is, the closed convex envelope of $\Phi(E)$ contains a non-trivial vector subspace), then either $f_h = 0$ or $f_h \notin L^p(\mathcal{N})$ (at least for $p \geq 1$ when Φ is non-degenerate). Cf. [6] for more details in a similar case.

Proof. For every $\mathbf{v} = (v_j) \in E^m$, consider

$$A_{\mathbf{v}} : \mathbb{C} \ni w \mapsto \left(\sum_{j=1}^m v_j w^j, i \sum_{j=1}^m \Phi(v_j) + 2i \sum_{k < j} \Phi(v_j, v_k) w^{j-k} \right) \in E \times F_{\mathbb{C}},$$

and

$$\Psi(\mathbf{v}) := \sum_{j=1}^m \Phi(v_j) \in C,$$

and observe that the following hold:

- $A_{\mathbf{v}}(0) = (0, i\Psi(\mathbf{v}))$;
- $\Psi(E^m)$ is the convex envelope C of $\Phi(E)$, thanks to [12, Corollary 17.1.2];
- $\rho(A_{\mathbf{v}}(w)) = 0$ for every $w \in \mathbb{T}$;
- the mapping $A : E^m \ni \mathbf{v} \mapsto A_{\mathbf{v}} \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}; E \times F_{\mathbb{C}})$ is continuous (actually, polynomial).

Now, take $h \in \Omega$. By continuity, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $A_{\mathbf{v}}(\overline{U}) + ih \subseteq D$ for every $\mathbf{v} \in B_{E^m}(0, \varepsilon)$, where U denotes the unit disc in \mathbb{C} , and \overline{U} its closure. Then, $A_{\mathbf{v}}(\overline{U}) + ih' \subseteq D$ for every $\mathbf{v} \in B_{E^m}(0, \varepsilon)$ and for every $h' \in h + \overline{C}$. For every $h' \in \Psi(B_{E^m}(0, \varepsilon))$, denote by $\nu_{h'}$ the image of the normalized Haar measure on \mathbb{T} under the mapping $\pi \circ A_{\mathbf{v}}$, for some $\mathbf{v} \in B_{E^m}(0, \varepsilon) \cap \Psi^{-1}(h')$, where $\pi : E \times F_{\mathbb{C}} \ni (\zeta, z) \mapsto (\zeta, \text{Re } z) \in \mathcal{N}$. Observe that, for every $(\zeta, x) \in \mathcal{N}$ and for every $h'' \in h + \overline{C}$, the mapping

$$\overline{U} \ni w \mapsto f((\zeta, x + i\Phi(\zeta)) \cdot [A_{\mathbf{v}}(w) + (0, ih'')]) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is continuous and holomorphic on U , so that, by subharmonicity (cf., e.g., [13, Theorem 15.19]),

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(\zeta, x + i\Phi(\zeta) + i(h' + h''))|^{\min(1,p)} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f((\zeta, x + i\Phi(\zeta)) \cdot [A_{\mathbf{v}}(w) + (0, ih'')])|^{\min(1,p)} dw \\ & = \int_{\mathcal{N}} |f_{h''}((\zeta, x)(\zeta', x'))|^{\min(1,p)} d\nu_{h'}(\zeta', x') \\ & = (|f_{h''}|^{\min(1,p)} * \check{\nu}_{h'}) (\zeta, x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{\nu}_{h'}$ denotes the reflection of $\nu_{h'}$, while \mathbf{v} is a suitable element of $B_{E^m}(0, \varepsilon) \cap \Psi^{-1}(h')$. Since $\nu_{h'}$ is a probability measure, by Young's inequality (cf., e.g., [4, Chapter III, § 4, No. 4]) we then infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{h'+h''}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N})} &= \| |f_{h'+h''}|^{\min(1,p)} \|_{L^{\max(1,p)}}^{1/\min(1,p)} \\ &\leq \| |f_{h''}|^{\min(1,p)} \|_{L^{\max(1,p)}(\mathcal{N})}^{1/\min(1,p)} = \|f_{h''}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N})} \end{aligned}$$

for every $h' \in \Psi(B_{E^m}(0, \varepsilon))$ and for every $h'' \in h + \overline{C}$. Since every element of C may be written as a finite sum of elements of $\Psi(B_{E^m}(0, \varepsilon))$, the arbitrariness of h'' shows that

$$\|f_{h+h'}\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N})} \leq \|f_h\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N})}$$

for every $h' \in C$, hence for every $h' \in \overline{C}$ by lower semi-continuity. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Assume that C has a non-empty interior Ω , and set $D := \rho^{-1}(\Omega)$. Then, for every $p \in]0, \infty]$ and $f \in \text{Hol}(D)$,*

$$\sup_{h \in \Omega} \|f_h\|_{h \in L^p(\mathcal{N})} = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0, h \in \Omega} \|f_h\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N})}.$$

In particular, if we define the Hardy space $H^p(D)$ as the set of $f \in \text{Hol}(D)$ such that $\sup_{h \in \Omega} \|f_h\|_{h \in L^p(\mathcal{N})}$ is finite, the preceding result states that $H^p(D)$ may be equivalently defined as the set of $f \in \text{Hol}(D)$ such that $\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0, h \in \Omega} \|f_h\|_{L^p(\mathcal{N})}$ is finite. This result should be compared with [3], where the boundary values of the elements of $H^p(D)$ are characterized as the CR elements of $L^p(\mathcal{N})$, for $p \in [1, \infty]$. In particular, Corollary 3.2 could be deduced from the results of [3], when $p \in [1, \infty]$, though at the expense of some further technicalities.

This result extends [7, Corollary 1.43].

4. Examples

We shall now present some examples of homogeneous Siegel domains $D = \rho^{-1}(\Omega)$ for which $\overline{\Omega}$ is the closed convex envelope of $\Phi(E)$, so that Corollary 3.2 applies.

We recall that D is said to be a Siegel domain if Ω is an open convex cone not containing affine lines, Φ is non-degenerate, and $\Phi(E) \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$. In addition, D is said to be homogeneous if the group of its biholomorphisms acts transitively on D . It is known (cf., e.g., [5, Proposition 1]) that D is homogeneous if and only if there is a triangular Lie subgroup T_+ of $GL(F)$ which acts simply transitively on Ω , and for every $t \in T_+$ there is $g \in GL(E)$ such that $t\Phi = \Phi(g \times g)$.

If T'_+ is another Lie subgroup of $GL(F)$ with the same properties as T_+ , then T_+ and T'_+ are conjugated by an automorphism of F preserving Ω . Thanks to this fact, we may use the results of [7] even if a different T_+ is chosen. In particular, there is a surjective (open and) continuous homomorphism of Lie groups

$$\Delta : T_+ \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^r$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, called the rank of Ω , so that

$$\Delta^{\mathbf{s}} = \Delta_1^{s_1} \cdots \Delta_r^{s_r},$$

$\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^r$, are the characters of T_+ . Once a base point $e_\Omega \in \Omega$ has been fixed, $\Delta^{\mathbf{s}}$ induces a function $\Delta_\Omega^{\mathbf{s}}$ on Ω , setting $\Delta_\Omega^{\mathbf{s}}(t(e_\Omega)) = \Delta^{\mathbf{s}}(t)$ for every $t \in T_+$.

Up to modifying Δ , we may then assume that the functions $\Delta_\Omega^{\mathbf{s}}$ are bounded on the bounded subsets of Ω if and only if $\text{Re } \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^r$ (cf. [7, Lemma 2.34]). In particular, there is $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_-^r$ such that $\Delta^{-\mathbf{b}}(t) = |\det_{\mathbb{C}} g|^2$ for every $t \in T_+$ and for every $g \in GL(E)$ such that $t\Phi = \Phi(g \times g)$ (cf. [7, Lemma 2.9]), and one may prove that $\mathbf{b} \in (\mathbb{R}_-^*)^r$ if and only if $\Phi(E)$ generates F as a vector space, in which case Ω is the interior of the convex envelope of $\Phi(E)$ (cf. [7, Proposition 2.57 and its proof, and Corollary 2.58]). Therefore, we are interested in finding examples of homogeneous Siegel domains for which $\mathbf{b} \in (\mathbb{R}_-^*)^r$.

Notice, in addition, that if $\mathbf{b} \notin (\mathbb{R}_-^*)^r$, then $\Phi(E)$ is contained in a hyperplane, so that the interior of its convex envelope is empty.

The Siegel domain D is said to be symmetric if it is homogeneous and admits an involutive biholomorphism with a unique fixed point (equivalently, if for every $(\zeta, z) \in D$ there is an involutive biholomorphism of D for which (ζ, z) is

an isolated (or the unique) fixed point). The domain D is said to be irreducible if it is not biholomorphic to the product of two non-trivial Siegel domains.

It is well known that every symmetric Siegel domain is biholomorphic to a product of irreducible ones, and that the irreducible symmetric Siegel domains can be classified in four infinite families plus two exceptional domains (cf., e.g., [1, §§ 1, 2]). In particular, for an irreducible symmetric Siegel domain, either $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ (that is, $E = \{0\}$, in which case D is ‘of tube type’), or $\mathbf{b} \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^r$ (cf., e.g., [7, Example 2.11]). Hence, when D is a symmetric Siegel domain, $\overline{\Omega}$ is the closed convex envelope of $\Phi(E)$ if and only if none of the irreducible components of D is of tube type. Note that these domains can be also characterized as those which do not admit any non-constant *rational* inner functions, thanks to [8].

We now present some examples of (homogeneous) Siegel domains.

Example 4.1. Let \mathbb{K} be either \mathbb{C} or the division ring of the quaternions. In addition, fix $r, k, p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \leq r$, and define

- E as the space of $k \times r$ matrices over \mathbb{K} whose j -th columns have zero entries for $j = p + 1, \dots, r$;
 - F as the space of self-adjoint $r \times r$ matrices over \mathbb{K} ;
 - Ω as the cone of non-degenerate positive self-adjoint $r \times r$ matrices over \mathbb{K} ;
 -
- $$\Phi : E \times E \ni (\zeta, \zeta') \mapsto \frac{1}{2}[(\zeta'^* \zeta + \zeta^* \zeta') + i(\zeta^* i \zeta' - \zeta' i \zeta)] \in F_{\mathbb{C}};$$
- T_+ as the group of upper triangular $r \times r$ -matrices over \mathbb{K} with strictly positive diagonal entries, acting on Ω (and F) by the formula $t \cdot h := t h t^*$;
 - $\Delta : T_+ \ni t \mapsto (t_{1,1}, \dots, t_{r,r}) \in (\mathbb{R}_+^*)^r$.

Then, Ω is an irreducible symmetric cone¹ of rank r on which T_+ acts simply transitively by [7, Example 2.6]. In addition, Φ is well defined, since $\zeta'^* \zeta + \zeta^* \zeta', \zeta^* i \zeta' - \zeta' i \zeta \in F$ for every $\zeta, \zeta' \in E$, and clearly $\Phi(\zeta) \in \overline{\Omega}$ and

$$t \cdot \Phi(\zeta) = t \cdot (\zeta^* \zeta) = (\zeta t^*)^* (\zeta t^*) = \Phi(\zeta t^*)$$

for every $t \in T_+$ and for every $\zeta \in E$ (with $\zeta t^* \in E$), so that D is homogeneous. Then, $\mathbf{b} = (b_j)$, with $b_j = -k \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{K}$ for $j = 1, \dots, p$ and $b_j = 0$ for $j = p + 1, \dots, r$. Consequently, $\overline{\Omega}$ is the closed convex envelope of $\Phi(E)$ if and only if $p = r$ and $k > 0$.

Notice that D is irreducible since Ω is irreducible (cf. [9, Corollary 4.8]), and that D is symmetric if $k p = 0$ or if $p = r$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ (cf. [7, Examples 2.14 and 2.15]). If $k p(r - p) > 0$, or if $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{C}$, $r \geq 3$, and $k \geq 2$, then D cannot be symmetric.

¹A cone is said to be homogeneous if the group of its linear automorphisms acts transitively on it. It is said to be symmetric if, in addition, it is self-dual for some scalar product. A convex cone is said to be irreducible if it is not isomorphic to a product of non-trivial convex cones.

Example 4.2. Take $k, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \leq 2$. Define:

- E as the space of formal $k \times 2$ matrices whose entries of the first column belong to \mathbb{C} (and are 0 if $p = 0$), and whose entries of the second column belong to \mathbb{C}^q (and are 0 if $p \leq 1$);
- F as the space of formally self-adjoint 2×2 matrices whose diagonal entries belong to \mathbb{R} , and whose non-diagonal entries belong to \mathbb{C}^q ;
- Ω as the cone of $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in F$ with $a, c > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^q$, and $ac - |b|^2 > 0$;
- Φ so that

$$\Phi \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_k & b_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j |a_j|^2 & \sum_j \overline{a_j} b_j \\ \sum_j a_j \overline{b_j} & \sum_j |b_j|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for every $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_k & b_k \end{pmatrix} \in E$;

- T_+ as the group of formal 2×2 upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries in \mathbb{R}_+^* and non-diagonal entries in \mathbb{C}^q , with the action²

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ b' & c' \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} a'a^2 + c'|b|^2 + 2a\operatorname{Re}\langle b, b' \rangle & acb' + cc'b \\ ac\overline{b'} + cc'\overline{b} & c^2c' \end{pmatrix};$$

- $\Delta : T_+ \ni t \mapsto (t_{1,1}, t_{2,2})$.

Then, Ω is an irreducible symmetric cone of rank 2 on which T_+ acts simply transitively (cf. [7, Example 2.7]). In addition, $\Phi(\zeta) \in \overline{\Omega}$ for every $\zeta \in E$, and

$$t \cdot \Phi(\zeta) = \Phi(\zeta t^*)$$

for every $t \in T_+$ and $\zeta \in E$ (with $\zeta t^* \in E$), provided that $p \leq 1$. Then, D is an irreducible Siegel domain, and it is homogeneous if $p \leq 1$ (it is symmetric if $p = 0$). In addition, $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ if $p = 0$, while $\mathbf{b} = (k, 0)$ if $p = 1$. Further, if $p = 2$, then $\Phi(E)$ contains the boundary of Ω , since $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} = \Phi \begin{pmatrix} a^{1/2} & a^{-1/2}b \\ 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, for every $a > 0$, for every $c \geq 0$ and for every $b \in \mathbb{C}^q$ such that $|b|^2 = ac$ (the case $a = 0, b = 0, c \geq 0$ is treated similarly). Then, $\overline{\Omega}$ is the closed convex envelope of $\Phi(E)$ if and only if $p = 2$.

References

- [1] ARAZY, JONATHAN. A survey of invariant Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on bounded symmetric domains. *Multivariable operator theory* (Seattle, WA, 1993), 7–65. Contemp. Math., 185, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. MR1332053, Zbl 0831.46014, doi: 10.1090/conm/185.1503
- [2] BOGGESE, ALBERT. CR manifolds and the tangential Cauchy–Riemann complex. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1991. xvii+364 pp. ISBN: 0-8493-7152-X. MR1211412, Zbl 0760.32001, doi: 10.1201/9781315140445.1499, 1500
- [3] BOGGESE, ALBERT. CR extension for L^p CR functions on a quadric submanifold of \mathbb{C}^n . *Pacific J. Math.* **201** (2001), no. 1, 1–18. MR1867889, Zbl 1090.32020, doi: 10.2140/pjm.2001.201.1.1502

²Formally, $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ b' & c' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ b' & c' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}^*$.

- [4] BOURBAKI, NICOLAS. Integration. II. Chapters 7–9. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. vii+326 pp. ISBN: 3-540-20585-3. [MR2098271](#), [Zbl 1095.28002](#), doi: [10.1007/978-3-662-07931-7](#). [1501](#)
- [5] CALZI, MATTIA. Besov spaces of analytic type: interpolation, convolution, Fourier multipliers, inclusions. Preprint, 2021. [arXiv:2109.09402](#). [1502](#)
- [6] CALZI, MATTIA. Paley–Wiener–Schwartz theorems on quadratic CR manifolds. Preprint, 2021. [arXiv:2112.07991](#). [1500](#)
- [7] CALZI, MATTIA; PELOSO, MARCO M. Holomorphic function spaces on homogeneous Siegel domains. *Dissertationes Math.* **563** (2021), 168 pp. [MR4300902](#), [Zbl 07413827](#), [arXiv:2009.11083](#), doi: [10.4064/dm833-3-2021](#). [1502](#), [1503](#), [1504](#)
- [8] KORÁNYI, ADAM; VÁGI, STEPHEN. Rational inner functions on bounded symmetric domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **254** (1979), 179–193. [MR0539914](#), [Zbl 0439.32006](#), doi: [10.2307/1998265](#). [1503](#)
- [9] NAKAJIMA, KAZUFUMI. Some studies on Siegel domains. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **27** (1975), 54–75. [MR0367317](#), [Zbl 0293.32030](#), doi: [10.2969/jmsj/02710054](#). [1503](#)
- [10] PELOSO, MARCO M.; RICCI, FULVIO. Tangential Cauchy–Riemann equations on quadratic CR manifolds. Harmonic analysis on complex homogeneous domains and Lie groups (Rome, 2001). *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.* **13** (2002), no. 3-4, 285–294. [MR1984107](#), [Zbl 1225.32037](#), doi: [10.4171/RLM](#). [1500](#)
- [11] PELOSO, MARCO M.; RICCI, FULVIO. Analysis of the Kohn Laplacian on quadratic CR manifolds. *J. Funct. Anal.* **203** (2003), no. 2, 321–355. [MR2003351](#), [Zbl 1043.32021](#), doi: [10.1016/S0022-1236\(03\)00176-9](#). [1500](#)
- [12] ROCKAFELLAR, R. TYRREL. Convex analysis. Princeton Mathematical Series, 28. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970. xviii+451 pp. [MR0274683](#), [Zbl 0193.18401](#), doi: [10.1515/9781400873173](#). [1501](#)
- [13] RUDIN, WALTER. Real and complex analysis. Third edition. McGraw-Hill Book Co. New York, 1987. xiv+416 pp. ISBN: 0-07-054234-1. [MR0924157](#), [Zbl 0925.00005](#). [1501](#)

(M. Calzi) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, VIA C. SALDINI 50, 20133 MILANO, ITALY
mattia.calzi@unimi.it

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2022/28-64.html>.