New York Journal of Mathematics

New York J. Math. 28 (2022) 610-616.

## Direct products of null semigroups and rectangular bands in $\beta \mathbb{N}$

## Yevhen Zelenyuk and Yuliya Zelenyuk

ABSTRACT. We show that, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the direct product of the *m*-element null semigroup and the  $2^c \times 2^c$  rectangular band has copies in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, the direct product of the 2-element null semigroup and the  $2 \times 2$  rectangular band has copies in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$ . We also point out a Ramsey theoretic consequence of the latter fact.

The addition of the discrete semigroup  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers extends to the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  so that for each  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , the left translation  $\beta \mathbb{N} \ni x \mapsto a + x \in \beta \mathbb{N}$  is continuous, and for each  $q \in \beta \mathbb{N}$ , the right translation  $\beta \mathbb{N} \ni x \mapsto x + q \in \beta \mathbb{N}$  is continuous.

We take the points of  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  to be the ultrafilters on  $\mathbb{N}$ , identifying the principal ultrafilters with the points of  $\mathbb{N}$ . For every  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\overline{A} = \{p \in \beta \mathbb{N} : A \in p\}$  and  $A^* = \overline{A} \setminus A$ . The subsets  $\overline{A}$ , where  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , form a base for the topology of  $\beta \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\overline{A}$  is the closure of A. For  $p, q \in \beta \mathbb{N}$ , the ultrafilter p + q has a base consisting of subsets of the form  $\bigcup_{x \in A} (x + B_x)$ , where  $A \in p$  and for each  $x \in A, B_x \in q$ .

Being a compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup,  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  has a smallest two sided ideal  $K(\beta \mathbb{N})$  which is a disjoint union of minimal right ideals and a disjoint union of minimal left ideals. Every right (left) ideal of  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  contains a minimal right (left) ideal, the intersection of a minimal right ideal and a minimal left ideal is a group, and the idempotents in a minimal right (left) ideal form a right (left) zero semigroup, that is, x + y = y (x + y = x) for all x, y.

The semigroup  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  is interesting both for its own sake and for its applications to Ramsey theory and to topological dynamics. The first application to Ramsey theory was the proof of Hindman's theorem: whenever  $\mathbb{N}$  is finitely colored, there is an infinite subset all of whose sums are monochrome. An elementary introduction to  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  can be found in [1].

In [3] D. Strauss showed that if  $\varphi$  is a continuous homomorphism from  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , then  $\varphi(\beta \mathbb{N})$  is finite and  $\varphi(\mathbb{N}^*)$  is a group. In 1996 the author proved that  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  contains no nontrivial finite groups (see [1, Theorem 7.17]). In contrast, it does contain bands (= semigroups of idempotents). For example, apart from

Received June 1, 2021.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22A15, 05D10; Secondary 22A30, 54D80.

*Key words and phrases.* Stone-Čech compactification, idempotent, right cancelable ultrafilter, null semigroup, rectangular band, Ramsey theory.

mentioned already left (right) zero semigroups, it contains chains of idempotents ( $x \le y$  if and only if x + y = y + x = x). A large enough class of finite bands that have copies in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  was constructed in [4]. It includes, in particular, all finite rectangular bands (= direct products of a left zero semigroup and a right zero semigroup, so  $(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (x_1, y_2)$ ). In [2] it was shown that  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  contains even  $2^c \times 2^c$  rectangular bands. The question of whether there are finite semigroups in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  distinct from bands is equivalent to asking whether there exist nontrivial continuous homomorphisms from  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}^*$  and it was an open problem since 1992. It was solved in [6] by constructing a 2-element null semigroup (x+x = y+y = x+y = y+x = y) in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$ . In [7] it was shown that all finite null semigroups have copies in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  and a connection of finite semigroups in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  with Ramsey theory was established.

In this paper we modify construction in [7] and show that

**Theorem 1.** For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the direct product of the *m*-element null semigroup and the  $2^{\mathfrak{c}} \times 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  rectangular band has copies in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$ .

In particular, by Theorem 1, the direct product of the 2-element null semigroup and the 2×2 rectangular band has copies in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$ . This fact and [7, Theorem 4.4] give us the following Ramsey theoretic consequence.

Define  $r : \mathbb{N} \to \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  by  $n \equiv r(n) \pmod{4}$ .

**Corollary 2.** There exists a partition  $\{A_1, ..., A_8\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  with the following property: for any finite partitions  $\mathcal{B}_i$  of  $A_i$ , there exist  $B_i \in \mathcal{B}_i$  and a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $x_n \in B_{r(n)} \cap 2^n \mathbb{N}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each finite  $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $|F| \ge 2$ , if  $j = r(\min F)$  and  $k = r(\max F)$ , then  $\sum_{n \in F} x_n \in B_i$ , where

$$i = \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } j \in \{1, 4\} \text{ and } k \in \{1, 2\} \\ 6 & \text{if } j \in \{2, 3\} \text{ and } k \in \{1, 2\} \\ 7 & \text{if } j \in \{2, 3\} \text{ and } k \in \{3, 4\} \\ 8 & \text{if } j \in \{1, 4\} \text{ and } k \in \{3, 4\}. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let *S* be a subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  splitting into the direct product of a null semigroup  $\{a_1, a_0\}$  and a rectangular band  $\{b_{10}, b_{00}, b_{01}, b_{11}\}$ . Enumerate *S* as

$$S = \{q_1, \dots, q_8\} = \{a_1b_{10}, a_1b_{00}, a_1b_{01}, a_1b_{11}, a_0b_{10}, a_0b_{00}, a_0b_{01}, a_0b_{11}\}.$$

Then for any  $j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , one has  $q_j + q_k = q_i$ , where *i* is as in statement of Corollary 2. Pick a partition  $\{A_1, \dots, A_8\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_i \in q_i$ . Let  $(z_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence guaranteed by [7, Theorem 4.4] and take the subsequence

$$z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_9, z_{10}, z_{11}, z_{12}, z_{17}, z_{18}, z_{19}, z_{20}, \ldots$$

as  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

Notice that it is not true that if each of two finite semigroups has copies in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$ , so does their direct product. Indeed, the direct product of the 2-element chain of idempotents with itself contains a 3-element semilattice which has no copy in  $\beta \mathbb{N}$  [5, Lemma 3].

In the rest of the paper we prove Theorem 1. In fact, we prove a bit stronger result.

Any  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  can be uniquely written as  $x = \sum_{n \in F} 2^n$  for some finite nonempty  $F \subseteq \omega$ . Let supp x = F,  $\phi(x) = \max F$ , and  $\theta(x) = \min F$ . We shall need the continuous extension  $\beta \mathbb{N} \to \beta \omega$  of the function  $\phi$  and we denote it by the same letter  $\phi$ . If  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\phi(x) < \theta(y)$ , then  $\phi(x + y) = \phi(y)$ . If  $\phi(x) \le \phi(y)$ , then  $\phi(x + y) \in \{\phi(y), \phi(y) + 1\}$ , and if  $\phi(x) + 1 < \phi(y)$ , then  $\phi(y - x) \in \{\phi(y), \phi(y) - 1\}$ . It then follows that for any  $v \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $w \in \beta \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\phi(w + v) \in \{\phi(v) - 1, \phi(v), \phi(v) + 1\}$ , and if  $v \in \mathbb{H}$ , where  $\mathbb{H} = \bigcap_{n < \omega} 2^n \mathbb{N}$ , and  $w \in \beta \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\phi(w + v) = \phi(v)$  (see [1, Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 13.4]). The last statement implies that for every  $u \in \omega^*$ ,  $\phi^{-1}(u) \cap \mathbb{H}$  is a left ideal of  $\mathbb{H}$  (since  $\phi(2^n) = n$ ,  $\phi(\mathbb{H}) = \omega^*$ ).

Pick an increasing sequence  $U_0 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq U_m = \omega$  of infinite subsets of  $\omega$  such that  $U_{i+1} \setminus U_i$  is infinite for each  $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ . Define a function *h* from  $\mathbb{N}$  onto the decreasing (m + 1)-element chain 0 > 1 > ... > m of idempotents (with the operation  $i \land j = \max\{i, j\}$ ) by

 $h(x) = \min\{i \in \{0, 1, ..., m\} : \text{supp } x \subseteq U_i\}$ 

(here max and min refer to the usual order, and  $\wedge$  is the operation induced by the order 0 > 1 > ... > m) and let the same letter *h* denote its continuous extension  $\beta \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1, ..., m\}$ . If  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\phi(x) < \theta(y)$ , then h(x + y) = $h(x) \wedge h(y)$ . Consequently, for any  $v \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $w \in \beta \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h(w + v) = h(w) \wedge$ h(v), in particular, the restriction of *h* to  $\mathbb{H}$  is a homomorphism. For each  $i \in$  $\{0, 1, ..., m\}$ , let  $T_i = h^{-1}(\{0, ..., i\}) \cap \mathbb{H}$ .

**Lemma 3.** For each  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., m\}$ ,  $h(K(T_i)) = \{i\}$ , and  $K(T_m) = K(\beta \mathbb{N}) \cap T_m$ . **Proof.** This is [7, Lemma 3.1].

We thus have that  $T_0 \subseteq T_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq T_m = \mathbb{H}$  is an increasing sequence of closed subsemigroups of  $\mathbb{H}$  such that  $T_i \cap \overline{K(T_{i+1})} = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$  and  $K(T_m) = K(\beta \mathbb{N}) \cap T_m$ , and for every  $u \in U_0^*$ ,  $\phi^{-1}(u) \cap T_0$  is a left ideal of  $T_0$ .

Pick an injective sequence  $(u_n)_{n < \omega}$  in  $U_0^*$ . Choose a minimal right ideal  $R_0$  of  $T_0$ , and for every  $n < \omega$ , a minimal left ideal L(n) of  $T_0$  contained in  $\phi^{-1}(u_n) \cap T_0$ , and let p(n) be the identity of the group  $R_0 \cap L(n)$ . Then  $\{p(n) : n < \omega\}$  is a right zero semigroup. Let  $p_0 = p(0)$ .

Enumerate  $\{2^n : n \in U_1 \setminus U_0\}^*$  without repetitions as  $\{r_\alpha : \alpha < 2^c\}$ .

**Lemma 4.**  $(p_0 + r_\alpha + T_m) \cap (p_0 + r_\beta + T_m) = \emptyset$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

**Proof.** This is [7, Lemma 3.2].

For every  $\alpha < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ , choose a minimal right ideal  $R_{1,\alpha}$  of  $T_1$  contained in  $p_0 + r_{\alpha} + T_1$ , and choose a minimal left ideal  $L_1$  of  $T_1$  contained in  $T_1 + p_0$ , and let  $p_{1,\alpha}$  denote the identity of the group  $R_{1,\alpha} \cap L_1$  and  $p_1 = p_{1,0}$ . Then by Lemma 4,  $p_{1,\alpha} \neq p_{1,\beta}$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,  $p_{1,\alpha} + p_0 = p_0 + p_{1,\alpha} = p_{1,\alpha}$ , and  $\{p_{1,\alpha} : \alpha < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$  is a left zero semigroup.

612

Inductively, for each  $i \in \{2, ..., m\}$ , choose a minimal right ideal  $R_i$  of  $T_i$  contained in  $p_{i-1} + T_i$  and a minimal left ideal  $L_i$  of  $T_i$  contained in  $T_i + p_{i-1}$ , let  $p_i$  denote the identity of the group  $R_i \cap L_i$ , and for every  $\alpha < 2^c$ , let  $p_{i,\alpha} = p_{1,\alpha} + p_i$ . Then  $p_i + p_{i-1} = p_{i-1} + p_i = p_i$ , so  $p_0 > p_1 > ... > p_i$  is a chain, and  $p_{i,0} = p_i$ . By Lemma 4,  $p_{i,\alpha} \neq p_{i,\beta}$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , and since  $p_{i,\alpha} \in K(T_i)$ , it follows that all elements  $p_{i,\alpha}$ , where  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and  $\alpha < 2^c$ , are distinct.

We then obtain that  $p_{i,\alpha} + p_0 = p_0 + p_{i,\alpha} = p_{i,\alpha}$  and

$$p_{i,\alpha} + p_{j,\beta} = p_{1,\alpha} + p_i + p_{1,\beta} + p_j = p_{1,\alpha} + (p_i + p_1) + p_{1,\beta} + p_j$$
  
=  $p_{1,\alpha} + p_i + (p_1 + p_{1,\beta}) + p_j = p_{1,\alpha} + p_i + p_1 + p_j = p_{1,\alpha} + p_{i\wedge j}$   
=  $p_{i\wedge j,\alpha}$ .

For every  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and  $\alpha < 2^c$ , let  $D_{i,\alpha} = \{p_{i,\alpha} + p(n) : n < \omega\}$  and pick  $q_{i,\alpha} \in \overline{D_{i,\alpha}} \setminus D_{i,\alpha}$ . Notice that  $\phi(p_{i,\alpha} + p(n)) = \phi(p(n)) = u_n$ . (It is easy to see, although it is not directly important to us, that  $D_{i,\alpha}$  is a right zero semigroup.)

**Lemma 5.**  $q_{i,\alpha} + p_0 = p_{i,\alpha}$ , and so  $q_{i,\alpha} + p_{j,\beta} = p_{i \wedge j,\alpha}$ .

**Proof.** Since the right translation by  $p_0$  is continuous and

$$(p_{i,\alpha} + p(n)) + p_0 = p_{i,\alpha} + (p(n) + p_0) = p_{i,\alpha} + p_0 = p_{i,\alpha},$$

one has  $q_{i,\alpha} + p_0 = p_{i,\alpha}$ . Then

$$q_{i,\alpha} + p_{j,\beta} = q_{i,\alpha} + (p_0 + p_{j,\beta}) = (q_{i,\alpha} + p_0) + p_{j,\beta} = p_{i,\alpha} + p_{j,\beta} = p_{i \land j,\alpha}.$$

Define  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$  by

$$Q = \{p_{i,\alpha} + q_{j,\beta} : i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}.$$

Using Lemma 5, we obtain that

$$(p_{i,\alpha} + q_{j,\beta}) + (p_{k,\gamma} + q_{l,\delta}) = p_{i,\alpha} + (q_{j,\beta} + p_{k,\gamma}) + q_{l,\delta} = p_{i,\alpha} + p_{j\wedge k,\beta} + q_{l,\delta}$$
$$= p_{i\wedge j\wedge k,\alpha} + q_{l,\delta}.$$

Now we shall show that all elements  $p_{i,\alpha} + q_{j,\beta}$  of the semigroup Q are distinct.

An ultrafilter  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  is

(i) *prime* if  $p \notin \mathbb{Z}^* + \mathbb{Z}^*$ , and

(ii) *right cancelable* if the right translation of  $\beta \mathbb{Z}$  by *p* is injective.

An ultrafilter  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  is right cancelable if and only if  $p \notin \mathbb{Z}^* + p$  (see [7, Lemma 3.5]). Thus, every prime ultrafilter is right cancelable.

**Lemma 6.** Let *D* be a countable subset of  $\mathbb{H}$  and suppose that  $\phi$  is injective on *D*. Then every  $q \in \overline{D} \setminus D$  is prime.

**Proof.** Assume the contrary. Then  $q \in \mathbb{Z}^* + v$  for some  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ . Since  $-\mathbb{N}^*$  is a left ideal of  $\beta\mathbb{Z}$ , one has  $v \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $Z = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n + v \notin \mathbb{H}\}$  and let  $D' = \{p \in D : \phi(p) \notin \{\phi(v) - 1, \phi(v), \phi(v) + 1\}\}$ . Notice that  $|\mathbb{Z} \setminus Z| \le 1$  and  $|D \setminus D'| \le 3$ . We then have that  $q \in \overline{D'} \cap \overline{Z + v}$ , so by [1, Theorem 3.40], either

 $n + v \in \overline{D'}$  for some  $n \in Z$  or  $p \in \overline{Z + v} = \overline{Z} + v$  for some  $p \in D'$ . In the first case,  $n + v \in \mathbb{H}$ . In the second, p = w + v for some  $w \in \overline{Z}$ , so

$$\phi(p) = \phi(w + v) \in \{\phi(v) - 1, \phi(v), \phi(v) + 1\}.$$

In either case we have a contradiction.

Statement (3) of the next lemma tells us that all elements  $p_{i,\alpha} + q_{j,\beta}$  of the semigroup *Q* are distinct.

## **Lemma 7.** (1) All subsets $\overline{D_{i,\alpha}}$ , where $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ and $\alpha < 2^{c}$ , are pairwise *disjoint*.

- (2) All elements  $q_{i,\alpha}$ , where  $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and  $\alpha < 2^{c}$ , are distinct.
- (3) All elements  $p_{i,\alpha} + q_{j,\beta}$ , where  $i, j \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and  $\alpha, \beta < 2^{c}$ , are distinct.

**Proof.** (1) Assume on the contrary that  $\overline{D_{i,\alpha}} \cap \overline{D_{j,\beta}} \neq \emptyset$  for some  $(i, \alpha) \neq (j, \beta)$ . Then either  $D_{i,\alpha} \cap \overline{D_{j,\beta}} \neq \emptyset$  or  $\overline{D_{i,\alpha}} \cap D_{j,\beta} \neq \emptyset$ . It suffices to consider the first case. Since  $D_{i,\alpha} \cap D_{j,\beta} = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $p_{i,\alpha} + p(n) = q$  for some  $n < \omega$  and  $q \in \overline{D_{j,\beta}} \setminus D_{j,\beta}$ . But by Lemma 6, q is prime, a contradiction.

(2) is immediate from (1).

(3) Suppose that  $p_{i,\alpha} + q_{j,\beta} = p_{k,\gamma} + q_{l,\delta}$ . Then by [1, Corollary 6.21], either  $q_{j,\beta} \in \beta \mathbb{N} + q_{l,\delta}$  or  $q_{l,\delta} \in \beta \mathbb{N} + q_{j,\beta}$ . In either case  $q_{j,\beta} = q_{l,\delta}$ , since both of them are prime and in  $\mathbb{H}$ , so by (2),  $(j,\beta) = (l,\delta)$ . We thus have that  $p_{i,\alpha} + q_{j,\beta} = p_{k,\gamma} + q_{j,\beta}$ . But then  $p_{i,\alpha} = p_{k,\gamma}$ , since  $q_{j,\beta}$  is right cancelable, and so  $(i,\alpha) = (k,\gamma)$ .

We have constructed *Q* as a subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . We now describe it without mentioning ultrafilters.

Given a semilattice *I* and a cardinal  $\kappa$ , let  $S = S(I, \kappa)$  denote the semigroup whose underlying set is  $I \times \kappa \times I \times \kappa$  and the operation is defined by

$$(i, \alpha, j, \beta) + (k, \gamma, l, \delta) = (i \land j \land k, \alpha, l, \delta).$$

The semigroup S decomposes into the semilattice I of the subsemigroups

$$S_t = \{(i, \alpha, j, \beta) \in S : i \land j = t\},\$$

where  $t \in I$  (that is,  $S_i + S_j \subseteq S_{i \land j}$ ). For every  $(i, \alpha, j, \beta) \in S_t$ , if i = t, then

$$(t, \alpha, j, \beta) + (t, \alpha, j, \beta) = (t, \alpha, j, \beta),$$

so  $(t, \alpha, j, \beta)$  is an idempotent, and if  $i \neq t$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (i,\alpha,j,\beta) + (i,\alpha,j,\beta) &= (t,\alpha,j,\beta) \\ &= (i,\alpha,j,\beta) + (t,\alpha,j,\beta) = (t,\alpha,j,\beta) + (i,\alpha,j,\beta), \end{aligned}$$

so { $(i, \alpha, j, \beta)$ ,  $(t, \alpha, j, \beta)$ } is a null semigroup.

If *I* is a decreasing chain 1 > ... > m, we write  $S(m, \kappa)$  instead of  $S(I, \kappa)$ . For each  $t \in \{1, ..., m\}$ , the component  $S_t$  of  $S = S(m, \kappa)$  is the union of  $\kappa \times (\{1, ..., t\} \times \kappa)$  rectangular band

$$B_t = \{(t, \alpha, j, \beta) : j \in \{1, \dots, t\} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta < \kappa\},\$$

which is the smallest ideal of  $S_t$ , and the subsemigroup

$$S_{t,t} = \{(i, \alpha, t, \beta) : i \in \{1, \dots, t\} \text{ and } \alpha, \beta < \kappa\}.$$

The intersection of  $B_t$  and  $S_{t,t}$  is  $\kappa \times \kappa$  rectangular band

$$B_{t,t} = \{(t, \alpha, t, \beta) : \alpha, \beta < \kappa\},\$$

which is the smallest ideal of  $S_{t,t}$ , and  $S_{t,t}$  is a disjoint union of *t*-element null subsemigroups  $\{(i, \alpha, t, \beta) : i \in \{1, ..., t\}\}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta < \kappa$ , so  $S_{t,t}$  is isomorphic to the direct product of *t*-element null semigroup and  $B_{t,t}$ .

Define  $\varepsilon$  :  $S(m, 2^{\mathfrak{c}}) \to Q$  by

$$\varepsilon(i,\alpha,j,\beta) = p_{i,\alpha} + q_{j,\beta}.$$

Then  $\varepsilon$  is an isomorphism. Furthermore,

$$\varepsilon(m,\alpha,j,\beta) = p_{m,\alpha} + q_{j,\beta} \in K(\beta\mathbb{N})$$

because  $p_{m,\alpha} \in K(\beta \mathbb{N})$ , and

$$\varepsilon(i,\alpha,m,\beta) = p_{i,\alpha} + q_{m,\beta} \in K(\beta\mathbb{N})$$

because  $q_{m,\beta} \in \overline{D_{m,\beta}} \subseteq \overline{K(\beta\mathbb{N})}$  and  $\overline{K(\beta\mathbb{N})}$  is an ideal of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  [1, Theorem 4.44]. Thus, we have established the following result.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S = S(m, 2^{\mathfrak{c}})$ . Then there is an isomorphic embedding  $\varepsilon : S \to \mathbb{N}^*$ . Furthermore,  $\varepsilon$  can be chosen so that  $\varepsilon(S_m) \subseteq \overline{K(\beta\mathbb{N})}$  and  $\varepsilon(K(S_m)) \subseteq K(\beta\mathbb{N})$ .

Since  $S_{m,m}$  is isomorphic to the direct product of the *m*-element null semigroup and the  $2^{c} \times 2^{c}$  rectangular band, Theorem 1 is a partial case of Theorem 8.

## References

- HINDMAN, NEIL; STRAUSS, DONA. Algebra in the Stone-Čech Compactification. Theory and Applications. Second revised and extended edition. De Gruyter Textbook series. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2012. xviii+591 pp. ISBN: 978-3-11-025623-9. MR2893605, Zbl 1241.22001, doi: 10.1515/9783110258356. 610, 612, 613, 614, 615
- HINDMAN, NEIL; STRAUSS, DONA; ZELENYUK, YEVHEN. Large rectangular semigroups in Stone-Čech compactifications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), no. 7, 2795–2812. MR1975400, Zbl 1028.22004, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-03-03276-8. 611
- [3] STRAUSS, DONA. N<sup>\*</sup> does not contain an algebraic and topological copy of βN. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 46 (1992), no. 3, 463–470. MR1190430, Zbl 0723.54035, doi:10.1112/jlms/s2-46.3.463.610
- [4] ZELENYUK, YEVHEN. On subsemigroups of βN and absolute coretracts. *Semigroup Forum* **63** (2001), no. 3, 457–465. MR1851825, Zbl 1009.22005, doi: 10.1007/S002330010073.
  611
- [5] ZELENYUK, YEVHEN; ZELENYUK, YULIYA. Three-element bands in βN. New York J. Math. 21 (2015), 1263–1267. MR3441641, Zbl 1330.22004. 611
- [6] ZELENYUK, YEVHEN. Elements of order 2 in βN. Fund. Math. 252 (2021), no. 3, 355–359. MR4178872, Zbl 1475.22005, doi: 10.4064/fm902-5-2020. 611

 [7] ZELENYUK, YEVHEN Finite semigroups in βN and Ramsey theory. *Bull. London Math.* Soc. 53 (2021), no. 3, 710–722. MR4275083, Zbl 07381903, doi: 10.1112/blms.12453. 611, 612, 613

(Yevhen Zelenyuk) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRI-VATE BAG 3, WITS 2050, SOUTH AFRICA yevhen.zelenyuk@wits.ac.za

(Yuliya Zelenyuk) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, PRIVATE BAG 3, WITS 2050, SOUTH AFRICA yuliya.zelenyuk@wits.ac.za

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2022/28-24.html.

616