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#### Abstract

We prove that a regular subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with finite Jones index always admits a two-sided Pimsner-Popa basis. This is preceded by a pragmatic revisit of Popa's notion of orthogonal systems.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a unital inclusion of von Neumann algebras equipped with a faithful normal conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}$ from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$. Then, a finite set $\mathcal{B}:=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is called a left Pimsner-Popa basis for $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{N}$ via $\mathcal{E}$ if every $x \in \mathcal{M}$ can be expressed as $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}\left(x \lambda_{i}^{*}\right) \lambda_{i}$ - see $[14,17,16,9,20]$ and the references therein. Similarly, $\mathcal{B}$ is called a right Pimsner-Popa basis for $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{N}$ via $\mathcal{E}$ if every $x \in \mathcal{M}$ can be expressed as $x=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \mathcal{E}\left(\lambda_{j}^{*} x\right)$. And, $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be a two-sided basis if it is simultaneously a left and a right Pimsner-Popa basis. It is readily seen that a type $I I_{1}$ subfactor that admits a two-sided basis is always extremal (Proposition 3.1).

An extensively exploited result of Pimsner and Popa (from [14]) states that if $N \subset M$ is a subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with finite Jones index $([7])$, then there always exists a left (equivalently, a right) Pimsner-Popa basis for $M$ over $N$ via the unique trace preserving conditional expectation $E_{N}: M \rightarrow$ $N$. As noted above, non-extremal subfactors do not admit two-sided bases. So, it is natural to ask whether there always exists a two-sided basis for every finite index extremal subfactor or not. In fact, it has also been asked publicly

[^0]by Vaughan Jones at various places - see, for instance, the second talk by M. Izumi in the workshop organized in honour of V. S. Sunder's 60 th birthday in Chennai during March-April 2012. Given the fact that every irreducible regular subfactor of finite index is a group subfactor, it is not surprising that such a subfactor always admits a two-sided orthonormal basis, as was illustrated in [6] (also see [2]) . However, it seems to be a difficult question to answer in general. In this article, we answer this question in affirmative for all regular subfactors of type $I I_{1}$ with finite Jones index (without assuming extremality) in:

Theorem 3.10. Let $N \subset M$ be a regular subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with finite Jones index. Then, $M$ admits a two-sided basis over $N$.

As a consequence, we deduce that every finite index regular subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ is extremal.

Recall that an inclusion $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}$ of von Neumann algebras is said to be regular if its group of normalizers $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{Q}):=\left\{u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}): u \mathcal{Q} u^{*}=\mathcal{Q}\right\}$ generates $\mathcal{P}$ as von Neumann algebra, i.e., $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{Q})^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{P}$. Our proof is essentially self contained and does not depend on any structure theorem for regular subfactors.

An effort has been made to keep this article as self-contained as possible. The reader is assumed only to have some basic knowledge of subfactor theory, for instance, as discussed in the first few chapters of [9].

Here is a brief outline of the content of this article.
As mentioned in the abstract, we first revisit, in Section 2, Popa's ([17]) notion of an orthogonal system for an inclusion of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ with a faithful normal conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$. This generalizes the notion of an orthonormal basis for a subfactor $N \subset M$ of type $I I_{1}$ introduced by Pimsner and Popa in [14]. Dropping orthogonality, Jones and Sunder, in [9], generalized the notion of orthonormal basis and gave another formulation of basis for $M$ over $N$ (as recalled in the first paragraph of Introduction). Very much on the lines of [9], we introduce and discuss the notion of a Pimsner-Popa system, which generalizes Popa's notion of an orthogonal system.

If $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras with a fixed faithful normal tracial state $\operatorname{tr}$ on $\mathcal{M}$, then for any Pimsner-Popa system $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k}\right\}$ for $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ with respect to the unique tr-preserving conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$, it turns out that the positive operator $f:=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}$ is a projection in $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ (Lemma 2.3), which we call the support of the system, where as usual $e_{1}$ denotes the Jones projection for the canonical basic construction $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_{1}$. An astute reader must have already noticed that, if the support of $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ equals 1 , then it is in fact a PimsnerPopa basis (in the sense of [9]) for $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{N}$.

On the other hand, for a finite index subfactor $N \subset M$ of type $I I_{1}$, we observe that for every projection $f \in M_{1}$ there exists a Pimsner-Popa system
with support $f$ (Proposition 2.8). An useful consequence of this observation yields:
Theorem 2.10 Let $N \subset M$ be a subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with finite index. Then, any Pimsner-Popa system $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k}\right\}$ for $M$ over $N$ can be extended to a Pimsner-Popa basis for $M$ over $N$.

One application being that we deduce in Corollary 2.14 that every subfactor of finite index admits a Pimsner-Popa basis (not necessarily orthonormal) containing at least $|G|$ many unitaries, where $G$ is the generalized Weyl group of the subfactor (as defined in the next paragraph).

Given its importance, an important example of an orthogonal system for a finite index subfactor $N \subset M$ that we illustrate (in Corollary 2.13) consists of a set containing coset representatives of, what we call, the generalized Weyl group of the subfactor $N \subset M$, namely, the quotient group

$$
G:=\mathcal{N}_{M}(N) / \mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) .
$$

This group was first considered by Loi in [12]. Clearly, this group agrees with the Weyl group of the subfactor if the subfactor is irreducible, i.e., $N^{\prime} \cap M=$ $\mathbb{C}$. Such coset representatives were also considered in $[4,8,14,15,11,6]$ in the irreducible setup and used effectively.

Our second important class of examples of Pimsner-Popa systems comes from unital inclusions of finite dimensional $C^{*}$-algebras - see Section 2.2.2. This is done by employing the formalism of path algebras introduced independently by Sunder ([19]) and Ocneanu ([13]). Apart from these, Section 2 is also devoted to a detailed discussion of certain other useful properties related to Pimsner-Popa systems.

Finally, in Section 3, we settle the question of existence of two-sided basis for any finite index regular subfactor $N \subset M$. This is achieved through a twofold strategy, namely, we first appeal to the formalism of path algebras to get hold of a two-sided basis for $N^{\prime} \cap M$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to the restriction of $\operatorname{tr}_{M}$ (in Proposition 3.3), which also turns out to be a two-sided basis for $\mathcal{R}:=N \vee\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ over $N$ (Lemma 3.4), and then, thanks to the regularity of $N \subset M$, every set of coset representatives of the generalized Weyl group of $N \subset M$ turns out to be a two-sided orthonormal basis consisting of normalizing unitaries for $M$ over $\mathcal{R}$ (Proposition 3.7). Ultimately, with an appropriate patching technique (Proposition 3.9), we deduce (in Theorem 3.10) that the product of these two two-sided bases forms a two-sided Pimsner-Popa basis for $M$ over $N$. And finally, employing the two-sided bases mentioned above and Watatani's notion of index of a conditional expectation, we derive (in Theorem 3.12) that

$$
[M: N]=|G| \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right),
$$

where $G$ again denotes the generalized Weyl group of the subfactor $N \subset M$.

## 2. Pimsner-Popa bases and systems

Recall, from [17], that given a unital inclusion of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ with a faithful normal conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}$ from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$, a family $\left\{m_{j}\right\}_{j}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ is called a right orthogonal system for $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ if $\mathcal{E}\left(m_{i}^{*} m_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j} f_{j}$ for some projections $\left\{f_{j}\right\}_{j}$ in $\mathcal{N}$. In this article, we will be dealing only with finite right orthogonal systems.
2.1. Pimsner-Popa systems. On the lines of [9, §4.3], Popa's notion of orthogonal systems generalizes naturally to the following:

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a unital inclusion of von Neumann algebras with a faithful normal conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}$ from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$. A finite subset $\left\{\lambda_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ will be called a right Pimsner-Popa system for $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{N}$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ if the matrix $Q=\left[q_{i j}\right]$ with entries $q_{i j}:=\mathcal{E}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{j}\right)$ is a projection in $M_{J}(\mathcal{N})$.

Such a Pimsner-Popa system will be called a right orthogonal system if $q_{i j}=\delta_{i, j} q_{j}$ for some projections $\left\{q_{j}: j \in J\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}$. If each $q_{j}$ is the identity operator, then such an orthogonal system will be called a right orthonormal system.

Remark 2.2. (1) Similarly, one defines left systems by considering the matrix $\left[\mathcal{E}\left(\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}^{*}\right)\right]$ in $M_{J}(\mathcal{N})$. A collection which is both a left system and a right system will be called a two-sided system.
(2) Hereafter, by a Pimsner-Popa (resp., an orthogonal) system we will always mean a right Pimsner-Popa (resp., a right orthogonal) system and will henceforth drop the adjective 'right'. And, whenever the conditional expectation is clear from the context, we shall omit the phrase 'with respect to $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$.

In this subsection, we systematically study these objects and their generalities in the spirit of Pimsner-Popa basis.

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a unital inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras with a fixed faithful normal tracial state $\operatorname{tr}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and let $E_{\mathcal{N}}$ denote the unique trace preserving normal conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}$. As is standard, $e_{1}$ will denote the Jones projection that implements the basic construction $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_{1}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}, E_{\mathcal{N}}$ be as in the preceding paragraph and let $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right\}$ be a Pimsner-Popa system for $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{N}$. Then, the positive operator $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}$ is a projection in $\mathcal{M}_{1}$.

Proof. The idea of the proof is essentially borrowed from [14] and [9]. Consider the projection $Q=\left[q_{i j}\right]:=\left[E_{\mathcal{N}}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{j}\right)\right]$ in $M_{k}(\mathcal{N})$. Let $v_{i}:=\lambda_{i} e_{1}$ for
$1 \leq i \leq k$ and $V \in M_{k}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$ be the matrix given by

$$
V=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
v_{1} & v_{2} & \cdots & v_{n} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now, since $v_{i}^{*} v_{j}=e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{j} e_{1}=q_{i j} e_{1}$, we see that $V^{*} V=Q E=E Q$, where $E$ is the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{1}\right)$ in $M_{k}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$. So, $V$ is a partial isometry in $M_{k}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$. In particular, $V V^{*}$ is a projection in $M_{k}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}\right)$, thereby implying that $\sum_{i} v_{i} v_{i}^{*}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}$ is a projection in $\mathcal{M}_{1}$.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $E_{\mathcal{N}}$ be as in Lemma 2.3. For any PimsnerPopa system $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ for $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{N}$, the projection $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*} \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ will be called the support of the system $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$.

Remark 2.5. (1) A subcollection of an orthogonal (resp., orthonormal) system is also an orthogonal (resp., orthonormal) system.
(2) A Pimsner-Popa system with support equal to 1 turns out to be a Pimsner-Popa basis for $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{N}$ (as mentioned in Section 1). For such a basis, the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*}$ is independent of the basis (see [20]) and is called the Watatani index of $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$. This quantity is denoted by $\operatorname{Index}_{w}(\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M})$.

If $N \subset M$ is a finite index subfactor of type $I I_{1}$, then it is known that $\operatorname{Index}_{w}(N \subset M)=[M: N]$ - see [20]

The following useful equivalence is folklore and will be used on few occasions.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $E_{\mathcal{N}}$ be as in Lemma 2.3. Then, for any finite set $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathcal{M},\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is a Pimsner-Popa basis for $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{N}$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}=1$.

Unlike above characterization of a Pimsner-Popa basis (Lemma 2.6), the converse of Lemma 2.3 may not be true; that is, if for some projection $f \neq 1$ in $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ there is a finite set $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}=f$, then there is no obvious reason why $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ should be a Pimsner-Popa system for $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{N}$. However, in some specific cases the situation is better.

Proposition 2.7. Let $N \subset M$ be a subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with $[M: N]<\infty$, $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be a finite subset of $M$ and $f$ be a projection in $M_{1}$ satisfying the following three conditions:
(1) $f \geq e_{1}$,
(2) $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}=f$ and
(3) $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\{f\}^{\prime} \cap M$.

Then, $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is a Pimsner-Popa system for $M / N$.

Proof. Let $q_{i j}:=E_{N}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Clearly, $q_{i j}^{*}=q_{j i}$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{k} q_{i k} q_{k j}\right) e_{1} & =\left(\sum_{k} E_{N}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{k}\right) E_{N}\left(\lambda_{k}^{*} \lambda_{j}\right)\right) e_{1} \\
& =\left(\sum_{k} E_{N}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{k} E_{N}\left(\lambda_{k}^{*} \lambda_{j}\right)\right)\right) e_{1} \\
& =\sum_{k} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{k} E_{N}\left(\lambda_{k}^{*} \lambda_{j}\right) e_{1} \\
& =\sum_{k} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{k} e_{1} \lambda_{k}^{*} \lambda_{j} e_{1} \\
& =e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*} f \lambda_{j} e_{1} \\
& =e_{1} f \lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{j} e_{1} \\
& =q_{i j} e_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. So, by the uniqueness part of the Pushdown Lemma [14, Lemma 1.2], we deduce that $\sum_{k} q_{i k} q_{k l}=q_{i j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Thus, the matrix $Q:=\left[q_{i j}\right]$ is a projection in $M_{n}(N)$. This completes the proof.

The following observation is the crux of this section.
Proposition 2.8. Let $N \subset M$ be as in Proposition 2.7. Then, for any projection $f \in M_{1}$, there exists a Pimsner-Popa system $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ for $M / N$ with support equal to $f$.

Proof. The proof that we give is inspired by [9, Proposition 4.3.3(a)]. Fix an $n \geq[M: N]$. Since $0 \leq \operatorname{tr}(f) \leq 1$, we obtain $n \geq \operatorname{tr}(f)[M: N]$. Since $M_{n}(N)$ is a $I I_{1}$-factor, we can choose a projection $Q \in M_{n}(N)$ with $\operatorname{tr}_{M_{n}(N)}(Q)=\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f)[M: N]}{n}$. Consider the diagonal matrix $P_{1}:=\operatorname{diag}(f, 0, \ldots, 0)$ in $M_{n}\left(M_{1}\right)$. Then, $P_{1}$ is a projection with $\operatorname{tr}_{M_{N}\left(M_{1}\right)}\left(P_{1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f)}{n}$.

On the other hand, consider the projection $P_{0}:=Q E$ in $M_{n}\left(M_{1}\right)$, where $E:=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{1}\right)$. Clearly,

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{M_{n}\left(M_{1}\right)}\left(P_{0}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(q_{i i} e_{1}\right)}{n}=\frac{\sum_{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(q_{i i}\right)}{n[M: N]}=\frac{\operatorname{tr}_{M_{n}(N)}(Q)}{[M: N]}=\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f)}{n} ;
$$

so that, $P_{1} \sim P_{0}$ in $M_{n}\left(M_{1}\right)$. Hence, there exists a partial isometry $V \in$ $M_{n}\left(M_{1}\right)$ such that $V^{*} V=P_{0}$ and $V V^{*}=P_{1}$. Note that, the condition $V V^{*}=P_{1}$ forces $V$ to be of the form

$$
V=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
v_{1} & v_{2} & \cdots & v_{n} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

for some $v_{i}$ 's in $M_{1}$. These $v_{i}$ 's then satisfy $\sum_{i} v_{i} v_{i}^{*}=f$ and $v_{i}^{*} v_{j}=q_{i j} e_{1}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. In particular, $v_{i}^{*} v_{i}=q_{i i} e_{1} \leq e_{1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Thus, $\left|v_{i}\right| \leq e_{1} \leq 1$ and this implies that $\left|v_{i}\right|=\left|v_{i}\right| e_{1}$; so that, by polar decomposition of $v_{i}$, we obtain $v_{i}=w_{i}\left|v_{i}\right|=w_{i}\left|v_{i}\right| e_{1}=v_{i} e_{1}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, where each $w_{i}$ is an appropriate partial isometry.

Therefore, by the Pushdown Lemma [14, Lemma 1.2], we obtain a set $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ in $M$ such that $v_{i}=\lambda_{i} e_{1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. In particular,

$$
q_{i j} e_{1}=v_{i}^{*} v_{j}=e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{j} e_{1}=E_{N}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{j}\right) e_{1} ;
$$

so that, by the uniqueness component of Pushdown Lemma, $q_{i j}=E_{N}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{j}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. So, $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a Pimsner-Popa system for $M / N$ and its support is given by $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}=\sum_{i} v_{i} v_{i}^{*}=f$.

Remark 2.9. (1) An appropriate customization of above proof actually guarantees the existence of an orthogonal system as well. Indeed, if we choose a projection $q \in N$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(q)=\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f)[M: N]}{n}$ and let $Q:=\operatorname{diag}(q, q, \ldots, q) \in M_{n}(N)$ then clearly $Q$ is a projection with $\operatorname{tr}_{M_{n}(N)}(Q)=\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f)[M: N]}{n}$. Then, a Pimsner-Popa system $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ for $M / N$ provided by the proof of Theorem 2.8 is in fact an orthogonal system for $M / N$ with support $f$.
(2) We could even take a projection $Q=(1, \ldots, 1, q) \in M_{n}(N)$, where $q$ is a projection in $N$ with $\operatorname{tr}_{N}(q)=\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f)[M: N]-n+1}{n}$. This choice of $Q$ yields an orthogonal system $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ with support $f$ such that $E_{N}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{i}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $E_{N}\left(\lambda_{n}^{*} \lambda_{n}\right)=q$. In particular, if $f=1$, then we obtain an orthonormal basis (in the sense of [14]) for $M / N$.

As mentioned in the Introduction, the following consequence can be used to construct bases with some specific requirements as we shall see, for instance, in Corollary 2.14.

Theorem 2.10. Let $N \subset M$ be as in Proposition 2.7. Then, any PimsnerPopa system $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right\}$ for $M / N$ can be extended to a Pimsner-Popa basis for $M / N$.

Proof. Let $f$ denote the support of the given system $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}$. By Proposition 2.8, there exists a Pimsner-Popa system $\left\{\lambda_{k+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k+l}\right\}$ for $M / N$ with support $1-f$. Then,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k+l} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}+\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{k+i} e_{1} \lambda_{k+i}^{*}=f+(1-f)=1
$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.6, $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k+l}\right\}$ is a Pimsner-Popa basis for $M / N$.

### 2.2. Examples of Pimsner-Popa systems.

2.2.1. Pimsner-Popa bases and intermediate subalgebras. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset$ $\mathcal{M}$ be an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an intermediate von Neumann subalgebra, i.e., $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Fix a faithful normal tracial state on $\mathcal{M}$ and let $e_{\mathcal{P}}$ denote the canonical Jones projection for the basic construction $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}_{1}$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ be a finite set in $\mathcal{P}$. If $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a Pimsner-Popa basis for $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$, then it is easy to see that $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a PimsnerPopa system for $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{N}$ with support $e_{\mathcal{P}}$. Indeed, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}\right) x \Omega & =\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} E_{\mathcal{\mathcal { N }}}^{\mathcal{M}}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} x\right) \Omega \\
& =\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} E_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{P}}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} E_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{M}}(x)\right) \Omega \\
& =E_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{M}}(x) \Omega=e_{\mathcal{P}}(x \Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second last equality holds because $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{N}$.
2.2.2. Inclusion of finite dimensional $\boldsymbol{C}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$-algebras. Let $A_{0} \subset A_{1}$ be a unital inclusion of finite dimensional $C^{*}$-algebras with dimension vectors $\vec{m}=\left[m_{1}, \cdots, m_{k}\right]$ and $\vec{n}=\left[n_{1}, \cdots, n_{l}\right]$, respectively; so that

$$
A_{0} \cong M_{m_{1}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{m_{k}}(\mathbb{C}) \text { and } A_{1} \cong M_{n_{1}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_{l}}(\mathbb{C}) .
$$

We briefly recall the formalism of path algebras associated to such an inclusion, introduced independently by Ocneanu ([13]) and Sunder ([19]). For details, we refer the reader to $[9, \S 5.4]$.

Let $\widehat{C}$ denote the set of minimal central projections of a finite dimensional $C^{*}$-algebra $C$. With this notation, let $\widehat{A_{0}}=\left\{p_{1}^{(0)}, \ldots, p_{k}^{(0)}\right\}$ and $\widehat{A_{1}}=\left\{p_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, p_{l}^{(1)}\right\}$. Let $A_{-1}:=\mathbb{C}$ and put $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}=\{\star\}$. Consider the Bratteli diagram for $\mathbb{C} \subset A_{0}$ and let $\Omega_{0]}$ denote the set of all directed edges starting from $\star$ and ending at $p_{i}^{(0)}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. Similarly, let $\Omega_{[0,1]}$ denote the set of edges in the Bratelli diagram of $A_{0} \subset A_{1}$, and $\Omega_{1]}$ denote the set of all paths starting from $\star$ and ending at $p_{j}^{(1)}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq l$. For any edge or path $\beta, s(\beta)$ and $r(\beta)$ denotes the source vertex and range vertex of $\beta$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{0]}, \mathcal{H}_{[0,1]}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1]}$ denote the corresponding Hilbert spaces with orthonormal bases indexed by $\Omega_{0]}, \Omega_{[0,1]}$ and $\Omega_{1]}$, respectively. Then, from [19] (also see [9]), there exist $C^{*}$-subalgebras $B_{0} \subset B_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1]}\right)$ such that the inclusion $A_{0} \subset A_{1}$ is isomorphic to the inclusion $B_{0} \subset B_{1}$ - see [9, Proposition 5.4.1(v)]. The pair $B_{0} \subset B_{1}$ is called the path algebra model of the pair $A_{0} \subset A_{1}$.

Fix $\lambda, \mu \in \Omega_{1]}$ with same end points. Define $e_{\lambda, \mu} \in B_{1}$ by

$$
e_{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha, \beta)=\delta_{\lambda, \alpha} \delta_{\mu, \beta} \text { for all } \alpha, \beta \in \Omega_{1]} .
$$

Then, the set $\left\{e_{\lambda, \mu}: \lambda, \mu \in \Omega_{1]}\right.$ with $\left.r(\lambda)=r(\mu)\right\}$ forms a system of matrix units for $B_{1}$ - see [9, Proposition 5.4.1 (iv)].

Now, let us assume that $A_{0} \subset A_{1}$ has a faithful tracial state $\operatorname{tr}$ on $A_{1}$. Let $E_{A_{0}}^{A_{1}}: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{0}$ denote the unique tr-preserving conditional expectation. Let $\bar{t}^{(1)}$ be the trace vector corresponding to $\operatorname{tr}$ and $\bar{t}^{(0)}$ be the one corresponding to $\operatorname{tr}_{\left.\right|_{A_{0}}}$. Then, by [19] (also see [9]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{B_{0}}\left(e_{\lambda, \mu}\right)=\delta_{\lambda_{[0}, \mu_{[0}} \frac{\bar{t}_{r(\lambda)}^{(1)}}{t_{r\left(\lambda_{0]}\right)}^{(0)}} e_{\lambda_{0]}, \mu_{0]}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, consider $I:=\left\{(\kappa, \beta): \kappa \in \Omega_{[0,1]}, \beta \in \Omega_{1]}, r(\kappa)=r(\beta)\right\}$ and, for each $(\kappa, \beta) \in I$, let

$$
a_{\kappa, \beta}:=\sum_{\left\{\theta \in \Omega_{0]}: r(\theta)=s(\kappa)\right\}} e_{\theta \circ \kappa, \beta} .
$$

Then, by [9, Proposition 5.4.3], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{B_{0}}\left(a_{\kappa, \beta}\left(a_{\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{*}\right)=\delta_{(\kappa, \beta),\left(\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\bar{t}_{r(\kappa)}^{(1)}}{\bar{t}_{s(\kappa)}^{(0)}} \sum_{\substack{\theta, \theta^{\prime} \in \Omega_{0} \\ r(\theta)=r\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)=s(\kappa)}} e_{\theta, \theta^{\prime}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, for each $p \in \widehat{A_{0}}$, consider a projection $j_{p} \in B_{0}$ (as in [9, Lemma 5.7.3]) given by

$$
j_{p}=\frac{1}{\bar{n}_{p}^{(0)}} \sum_{\substack{\left.\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \Omega_{0}\right] \\ r(\alpha)=r\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=p}} e_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}},
$$

where $\left(\bar{n}_{p}^{(0)}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{dim} p A_{0}$, and let $\lambda_{\kappa, \beta}:=\left(\bar{n}_{s(\kappa)}^{(0)} \frac{\bar{t}_{r(\kappa)}^{(1)}}{\bar{t}_{s(\kappa)}^{(0)}}\right)^{-1 / 2} a_{\kappa, \beta}$. Then, by Equation 2.2, we obtain

$$
E_{B_{0}}\left(\lambda_{\kappa, \beta}\left(\lambda_{\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{*}\right)=\delta_{(\kappa, \beta),\left(\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)} \quad j_{s(\kappa)} .
$$

Therefore, $\left\{\lambda_{\kappa, \beta}:(\kappa, \beta) \in I\right\}$ is a left orthogonal system for $A_{1} / A_{0}$. This example will have a significant role to play in Section 3.

We will discuss some further useful properties of Pimsner-Popa systems in Section 2.4. Before that, let us digress to an important class of examples of orthonormal systems consisting of unitaries.

### 2.3. Generalized Weyl group and orthonormal systems.

In this subsection, we illustrate an important example of an orthonormal system consisting of unitaries, which will attract a good share of limelight of this article. Let $N \subset M$ be a subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ (which is not necessarily irreducible), let $\mathcal{U}(N)$ (resp., $\mathcal{U}(M)$ ) denote the group of unitaries of $N$ (resp., $M$ ) and $\mathcal{N}_{M}(N):=\left\{u \in \mathcal{U}(M): u N u^{*}=N\right\}$ denote the group of unitary normalizers of $N$ in $M$. It is straightforward to see that $\mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap\right.$ $M)\left(=\mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) \mathcal{U}(N)\right)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{N}_{M}(N)$.

Definition 2.11. [12] The generalized Weyl group of a subfactor $N \subset M$ is defined as the quotient group

$$
G:=\mathcal{N}_{M}(N) / \mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) .
$$

This group first appeared in [12, Proposition 5.2]. Note that the generalized Weyl group of an irreducible subfactor agrees with its Weyl group, namely, the quotient group $\mathcal{N}_{M}(N) / \mathcal{U}(N)$.

The following two useful observations are well known for irreducible subfactors - see, for instance, $[6,8,14,15,11,12]$. For the non-irreducible case, their proofs can be extracted readily from [12, Proposition 5.2].
Lemma 2.12. [12] Let $w \in \mathcal{N}_{M}(N) \backslash \mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$. Then, $E_{N}(w)=0$.
In particular, for any two elements $v, u \in \mathcal{N}_{M}(N), E_{N}\left(v u^{*}\right)=0=$ $E_{N}\left(v^{*} u\right)$ if $[u] \neq[v]$ in the generalized Weyl group $G$.
Corollary 2.13. [12] Suppose $[M: N]<\infty$ and $G$ denotes the generalized Weyl group of the subfactor $N \subset M$. Then, any set of coset representatives $\left\{u_{g}: g=\left[u_{g}\right] \in G\right\}$ of $G$ in $\mathcal{N}_{M}(N)$ forms a two-sided orthonormal system for $M / N$. Also, $G$ is a finite group with order $\leq[M: N]$.
Corollary 2.14. Every finite index subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ admits a PimsnerPopa basis containing at least $|G|$ many unitaries.
Proof. By Corollary 2.13, there exists an orthonormal system for $M / N$ consisting of unitaries. Then, by Theorem 2.10, this orthonormal system can be extended to a Pimsner-Popa basis for $M / N$. This completes the proof.
Remark 2.15. Corollary 2.14 could be related somewhat to a recent question asked by Popa in [18] about the maximum number of unitaries possible in an orthonormal basis (in the sense of [14]) of a given subfactor. It, at least, tells us that every finite index subfactor $N \subset M$ of type $I I_{1}$ always admits a Pimsner-Popa basis (not necessarily orthonormal) containing at least $|G|$ many unitaries.

In view of Corollary 2.14, calculating cardinality of $G$ becomes quite relevant. However, in practice, we are yet to find a suitable way to calculate the cardinality of $G$. Since the generalized Weyl group is the same as the Weyl group of an irreducible subfactor, it is always non-trivial for such a subfactor.

### 2.4. Some useful properties related to Pimsner-Popa systems.

Let $(N, P, Q, M)$ be a quadruple of $I I_{1}$-factors, i.e., $N \subset P, Q \subset M$, with $[M: N]<\infty$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ be (right) Pimsner-Popa bases for $P / N$ and $Q / N$, respectively. Consider two auxiliary operators $p(P, Q)$ and $p(Q, P)$ (as in [1]) given by

$$
p(P, Q)=\sum_{i, j} \lambda_{i} \mu_{j} e_{1} \mu_{j}^{*} \lambda_{i}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad p(Q, P)=\sum_{i, j} \mu_{j} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*} \mu_{j}^{*} .
$$

By [1, Lemma 2.18], $p(P, Q)$ and $p(Q, P)$ are both independent of choice of bases. And, by [1, Proposition 2.22], $J p(P, Q) J=p(Q, P)$, where $J$ is the usual modular conjugation operator on $L^{2}(M)$; so that, $\|p(P, Q)\|=$ $\|p(Q, P)\|$. Let us denote this common value by $\lambda$.

Proposition 2.16. Let $(N, P, Q, M)$ be a quadruple of type $I I_{1}$ factors such that $N^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$ and $[M: N]<\infty$, and let $\left\{\lambda_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ be a Pimsner-Popa basis for $P / N$. Then, the following hold:
(1) $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \lambda_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ is a Pimsner-Popa system for $M / Q$ with support $\frac{1}{\lambda} p(P, Q)$.
(2) If $(N, P, Q, M)$ is a commuting square, then $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ can be extended to a Pimsner-Popa basis for $M / Q$.

Proof. (1) From [1, Lemma 3.2], we know that $\frac{1}{\lambda} p(P, Q)\left(=\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{Q} \lambda_{i}^{*}\right)$ is a projection and, by [1, Lemma 3.4], $e_{Q}$ is a subprojection of $\frac{1}{\lambda} p(P, Q)$. Further, by [1, Proposition 2.25], we know that $p(P, Q) \in P^{\prime} \cap Q_{1}$; so, it follows that $\left\{\lambda_{i}: i \in I\right\} \subseteq\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda} p(P, Q)\right\}^{\prime} \cap M$. Also, we have

$$
\sum_{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \lambda_{i} e_{Q} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \lambda_{i}^{*}=\frac{1}{\lambda} p(P, Q) .
$$

Thus, in view of Proposition 2.7, $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \lambda_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ is a Pimsner-Popa system for $M / Q$ with support $\frac{1}{\lambda} p(P, Q)$
(2) Suppose that $(N, P, Q, M)$ is a commuting square. Then, by [1, Propositions $2.14 \& 2.20]$, we know that $p(P, Q)$ is a projection. Thus, $\lambda=$ $\|p(P, Q)\|=1$ and the conclusion follows from (1) and Theorem 2.10.

Proposition 2.17. Let $N \subset M$ be an irreducible subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with finite index and $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ be a Pimsner-Popa system for $M / N$ with support lying in $N^{\prime} \cap M_{1}$. Then, $1 \leq \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}{ }^{*} \leq[M: N]$.

Proof. Let $f$ denote the support of $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$, i.e., $f=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}$. Then, we obtain $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*}=[M: N] E_{M}(f)$. Since $N^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$, we have $E_{M}(f)=$ $\operatorname{tr}(f) \in[0,1]$. Therefore, $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} \leq[M: N]$.

On the other hand, since $f \in N^{\prime} \cap M_{1}$ and $N^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$, by [14, Proposition 1.9], we have $\operatorname{tr}(f) \geq \tau$. Then, by irreducibility of $N \subset M$ again, we have $\operatorname{tr}(f)=E_{M}(f)=\tau \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*}$. Hence, $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} \geq 1$.

We conclude this section with a small observation on a kind of local behaviour of orthogonal systems. Recall, from [7], that for a subfactor $N \subset$ $M$ and a projection $f \in N^{\prime} \cap M$, the index of $N$ at $f$ is given by $\left[M_{f}: N_{f}\right]=$ $[M: N]_{f}$. Also, a finite index subfactor $N \subset M$ is said to be extremal, if $\operatorname{tr}_{N^{\prime}}$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{M}$ agree on $N^{\prime} \cap M$. Clearly, if $N \subset M$ is irreducible, then it is extremal.

Proposition 2.18. Let $N \subset M$ be an irreducible subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with $[M: N]<\infty$ and $f \in N^{\prime} \cap M_{1}$ be a projection. Then, for any orthogonal system $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ with support $f$, we have $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*}=\sqrt{\left[M_{1}: N\right]_{f}}$.
Proof. Since $N \subset M$ is extremal, the following local index formula holds (see [7]):

$$
\left[f M_{1} f: N f\right]=\left[M_{1}: N\right]\left(\operatorname{tr}_{M_{1}}(f)\right)^{2}=\left([M: N] \operatorname{tr}_{M_{1}}(f)\right)^{2}
$$

On the other hand, since $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ is an orthogonal system, we obtain $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*}=$ $[M: N] \operatorname{tr}_{M_{1}}(f)$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Regular subfactor and two-sided basis

Before we pursue our hunt for a two-sided basis in a regular subfactor, as asserted in the Introduction, we first show that every finite index subfactor with a two-sided basis is extremal, which, most likely, is folklore.

Proposition 3.1. Let $N \subset M$ be a type $I I_{1}$ subfactor with finite index. If there exists a two-sided basis for $M$ over $N$, then $N \subset M$ is extremal.
Proof. Given any right basis $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ for $M / N$, it is known (see, for instance, [1, Lemma 2.23]) that the $\operatorname{tr}_{N^{\prime}}$ preserving conditional expectation $E_{M^{\prime}}: N^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
E_{M^{\prime}}(x)=[M: N]^{-1} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} x \lambda_{i}^{*}, x \in N^{\prime} .
$$

Thus, if $x \in N^{\prime} \cap M$, then $\operatorname{tr}_{N^{\prime}}(x)=E_{M^{\prime} \cap M}(x)=[M: N]^{-1} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} x \lambda_{i}^{*}$.
Now, let $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be any two-sided basis for $M / N$. Then, we have $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} e_{1} \lambda_{i}=1=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}$ so that $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{i}=[M: N] 1_{M}$ (after applying $E_{M}^{M_{1}}$ on both sides of first equality). Thus, for any $x \in N^{\prime} \cap M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}_{M}(x) & =[M: N]^{-1} \operatorname{tr}_{M}\left(x \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{i}\right) \\
& =[M: N]^{-1} \operatorname{tr}_{M}\left(\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} x \lambda_{i}^{*}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{M}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{N^{\prime}}(x) 1_{M}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}_{N^{\prime}}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $N \subset M$ is extremal.
As the header suggests, this section is devoted to proving the existence of two-sided basis for a finite index regular subfactor. Keeping this in mind, from now onward, throughout this section, $N \subset M$ will denote a finite index subfactor of type $I I_{1}$, which is not necessarily irreducible, and $\mathcal{R}$ will denote the intermediate von Neumann subalgebra generated by $N$ and $N^{\prime} \cap M$, i.e., $\mathcal{R}=N \vee\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$. We first present some preparatory results that we require to deduce the main theorem.

Lemma 3.2. With notations as in the preceding paragraph, we have

$$
\mathcal{N}_{M}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{M}(\mathcal{R})
$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_{M}(N)$. Then, $u N u^{*}=N$, and for $x \in N^{\prime} \cap M$, we have

$$
\left(u x u^{*}\right) n=u x u^{*} n u u^{*}=u u^{*} n u x u^{*}=n\left(u x u^{*}\right) \text { for all } n \in N,
$$

i.e., $u\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) u^{*}=N^{\prime} \cap M$. So, $u(n x) u^{*}=\left(u n u^{*}\right)\left(u x u^{*}\right) \in N \vee\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ for all $n \in N$ and $x \in N^{\prime} \cap M$. Thus, we readily deduce that $u \mathcal{R} u^{*}=\mathcal{R}$.

The following crucial ingredient is an adaptation of [9, Lemma 5.7.3].
Proposition 3.3. Let $\operatorname{tr}$ denote the restriction of $\operatorname{tr}_{M}$ on $N^{\prime} \cap M$. Then, $N^{\prime} \cap M$ has a two-sided Pimsner-Popa basis over $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to tr .

Proof. Let $\vec{n}=\left[n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{k}\right]$ denote the dimension vector of $N^{\prime} \cap M$ and $\bar{t}$ denote the trace vector of tr. Consider the path algebra model $B_{-1} \subseteq$ $B_{0} \subseteq B_{1}$ for the inclusion $\mathbb{C} \subseteq N^{\prime} \cap M$ as recalled in Section 2.2.2. Since $\left(\mathbb{C} \subseteq N^{\prime} \cap M\right) \cong\left(B_{0} \subseteq B_{1}\right)$, it is enough to show that $B_{0} \subseteq B_{1}$ admits a two-sided basis with respect to the tracial state (on $B_{1}$ ) determined by the trace vector $\bar{t}$. Let

$$
J:=\left\{(\kappa, \beta): \kappa, \beta \in \Omega_{1]} \text { such that } r(\kappa)=r(\beta)\right\} .
$$

Then, by [9, Proposition 5.4.1(iv)] (or see Section 2.2.2), $\left\{e_{\kappa, \beta}:(\kappa, \beta) \in J\right\}$ is a system of matrix units for $B_{1}$. So, by [9, Proposition 5.4.3 (iii)], we easily deduce that

$$
E_{B_{0}}\left(e_{\kappa, \beta}\left(e_{\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{*}\right)=\delta_{(\kappa, \beta),\left(\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)} \bar{t}_{r(\kappa)} \text { for all }(\kappa, \beta),\left(\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in J
$$

Then, defining

$$
\lambda_{\kappa, \beta}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{t}_{r(\kappa)}}} e_{\kappa, \beta} \text { for }(\kappa, \beta) \in J,
$$

we obtain

$$
\sum_{\left(\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in I} E_{B_{0}}\left(e_{\kappa, \beta}\left(\lambda_{\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{*}\right) \lambda_{\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}=e_{\kappa, \beta} \text { for all }(\kappa, \beta) \in J
$$

In particular, since $\left\{e_{\kappa, \beta}:(\kappa, \beta) \in J\right\}$ is a system of matrix units for $B_{1}$, we have

$$
\sum_{\left(\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in J} E_{B_{0}}\left(x\left(\lambda_{\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{*}\right) \lambda_{\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}=x \text { for all } x \in B_{1},
$$

that is, $\mathcal{B}:=\left\{\lambda_{\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}}:\left(\kappa^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \in J\right\}$ is a left Pimsner-Popa basis for $\left(N^{\prime} \cap\right.$ $M) / \mathbb{C}$. Hence, being a self-adjoint set, $\mathcal{B}$ is in fact a two-sided Pimsner-Popa basis for $B_{1}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.4. $\mathcal{R}$ has a two-sided basis over $N$ contained in $N^{\prime} \cap M$.

Proof. First observe that ( $\mathbb{C}, N^{\prime} \cap M, N, M$ ) is a commuting square (see, for instance, [5, Lemma 4.6.2]). Now the quadruple ( $\mathbb{C}, N^{\prime} \cap M, N, N \vee\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ ) is non-degenerate because $N \vee\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ is the SOT closure of the algebra $N\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)\left(=\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) N\right)$ (see [16, Proposition 1.1.5]). Therefore, the conclusion follows once we apply Lemma 3.3 and [16, Proposition 1.1.5] again.

The following useful result is implicit in [10], and was also observed in [3, Lemma 4.2]. For the sake of completeness, we include a proof using Pimsner-Popa basis.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\theta$ be an automorphism of $\mathcal{R}$ such that its restriction to $N$ is an outer automorphism of $N$. Then, $\theta$ is a free automorphism of $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. Suppose $\theta$ is not a free automorphism of $\mathcal{R}$. Then, by definition, there exists a non-zero $r \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r x=\theta(x) r \text { for all } x \in \mathcal{R} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.4, there exists a basis $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ for $\mathcal{R} / N$ contained in $N^{\prime} \cap$ $M$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} E_{N}\left(\lambda_{i}^{*} r\right)=r \neq 0$, we must have $E_{N}\left(\lambda_{j}^{*} r\right) \neq 0$ for at least one $\lambda_{j}$. Thus, multiplying both sides of Equation (3.1) by $\lambda_{j}^{*}$ on the left, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}^{*} r x=\lambda_{j}^{*} \theta(x) r=\theta(x) \lambda_{j}^{*} r \text { for all } x \in N . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, taking conditional expectation $E_{N}$ on both sideds of Equation (3.2), we get

$$
E_{N}\left(\lambda_{j}^{*} r\right) x=\theta(x) E_{N}\left(\lambda_{j}^{*} r\right) \text { for all } x \in N .
$$

This shows that $\left.\theta\right|_{N}$ is not free. But a free automorphism of a factor is outer $([10],[9, \S A .4])$. Hence, we have a contradiction as $\theta_{\left.\right|_{N}}$ is given to be outer.

Proposition 3.6. Let $G$ denote the generalized Weyl group of $N \subset M$. Then, any set of coset representatives $\left\{u_{g}: g=\left[u_{g}\right] \in G\right\}$ of $G$ in $\mathcal{N}_{M}(N)$ forms a two-sided orthonormal system for $M / \mathcal{R}$.
Proof. Let $w \in \mathcal{N}_{M}(N)$. We first assert that

$$
E_{\mathcal{R}}(w)=0 \text { if and only if } w \in \mathcal{N}_{M}(N) \backslash \mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right) .
$$

Necessity is obvious. Conversely, suppose $w \notin \mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$. Note that, by Lemma 3.2, wxw* $\in \mathcal{R}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$. So, $\beta: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ defined by $\beta(x)=w x w^{*}$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{R}$, which restricts to an outer automorphism on $N$ (since $w \notin \mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ ). Thus, by Proposition 3.5, $\beta$ is a free automorphism of $\mathcal{R}$. Then, applying $E_{\mathcal{R}}$ on both sides of the equation $w x=\beta(x) w$, we obtain $E_{\mathcal{R}}(w) x=\beta(x) E_{N}(w)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$. Since $\beta$ is free, we must have $E_{\mathcal{R}}(w)=0$. This proves the assertion.

Now, fix a set of coset representatives $\left\{u_{g}: g=\left[u_{g}\right] \in G\right\}$ of $G$ in $\mathcal{N}_{M}(N)$. Then, by above assertion, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathcal{R}}\left(u_{g} u_{h}^{*}\right)=0=E_{\mathcal{R}}\left(u_{g}^{*} u_{h}\right) \text { if and only if } g \neq h . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\left\{u_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ forms a two-sided orthonormal system for $M$ over $\mathcal{R}$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{P}:=\mathcal{N}_{M}(N)^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left\{u_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ be an orthonormal system for $M / \mathcal{R}$ as in Proposition 3.6. If $p$ denotes the support of $\left\{u_{g}: g \in\right.$ $G\}$, then $p=e_{\mathcal{P}}$.

In particular, if $N \subset M$ is regular, then $\left\{u_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ forms a two-sided orthonormal basis for $M$ over $\mathcal{R}$.

Proof. We have $p=\sum_{g} u_{g} e_{\mathcal{R}} u_{g}^{*} \in\left\langle\mathcal{M}, e_{\mathcal{R}}\right\rangle \in B\left(L^{2}(\mathcal{M})\right)$ (see Definition 2.4). We first assert that $\left.p\right|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{P})}=i d$.

Let $A=\operatorname{span}\left(\mathcal{N}_{M}(N)\right)$. Then, $\mathcal{P}=A^{\prime \prime}$ and since $A$ is a unital $*-$ subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}$, by Double Commutant Theorem, we have $A^{\prime \prime}=\bar{A}^{\text {SOT }}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, there exists a net $\left(x_{i}\right) \subset A$ such that $x_{i}$ converges to $x$ in SOT. Thus, $x_{i} \Omega$ converges to $x \Omega$ in $L^{2}(M)$. So, it suffices to show that $p(u \Omega)=u \Omega$ for every $u \in \mathcal{N}_{M}(N)$ for then we will have

$$
p(x \Omega)=\lim _{i} p\left(x_{i} \Omega\right)=\lim _{i} x_{i} \Omega=x \Omega .
$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_{M}(N)$. Then, $[u]=\left[u_{g}\right]$ for a unique $g \in G$. So, $u=u_{g} v$ for some $v \in \mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(u \Omega) & =\sum_{t \in G} u_{t} e_{\mathcal{R}} u_{t}^{*} u \Omega=\sum_{t \in G} u_{t} E_{\mathcal{R}}\left(u_{t}^{*} u\right) \Omega \\
& =\sum_{t \in G} u_{t} E_{\mathcal{R}}\left(u_{t}^{*} u_{g}\right) v \Omega=u_{g} v \Omega=u \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second last equality holds because of Equation 3.3.
Now, it just remains to show that

$$
p_{\left(L^{2}(P)\right)^{\perp}}=0 .
$$

For this, it suffices to show that, for all $y \in M$ satisfying $\operatorname{tr}_{M}\left(x^{*} y\right)=0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{P}$, we must have $p(y \Omega)=0$, that is, we just need to show that $\sum_{g \in G} u_{g} E_{\mathcal{R}}\left(u_{g}^{*} y\right) \Omega=0$ for any such $y$. In fact, we assert that $E_{\mathcal{R}}\left(u_{g}^{*} y\right)=0$ for all $g \in G$.

For $z \in \mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right), u_{g} z^{*} \in \mathcal{P}$ so that $\operatorname{tr}_{M}\left(z u_{g}^{*} y\right)=0$ for all $g \in G$. Further, since

$$
\mathcal{R}={\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathcal{U}(N) \mathcal{U}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)\right\}}}^{\text {SOT }}
$$

and $\operatorname{tr}_{M}$ is SOT-continuous on bounded sets, it follows that $\operatorname{tr}_{M}\left(r u_{g}^{*} y\right)=0$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $g \in G$. Hence, by the trace preserving property of the conditional expectation, we deduce that $E_{\mathcal{R}}\left(u_{g}^{*} y\right)=0$ for all $g \in G$. This completes the proof.

The following two elementary observations turn out to be catalytic in proving the existence of two-sided basis for an arbitrary regular subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with finite index.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras with a faithful tracial state $\operatorname{tr}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ be a basis for $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$. Then, for any $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{N}),\left\{u \lambda_{i} u^{*}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is also a basis for $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$.

Proof. Note that the map $\theta: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ given by $\theta(x)=u x u^{*}$ is a $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{M}}$ (and hence $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{P}}$ ) preserving automorphism of $\mathcal{P}$ that keeps $\mathcal{N}$ invariant. Then, a routine verification shows that $\left\{u \lambda_{i} u^{*}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is also a basis for $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$, which we leave to the reader.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be as in Lemma 3.8. Suppose $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$ has a two-sided basis $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ and $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{P}$ has a two-sided basis $\left\{\mu_{j}: 1 \leq\right.$ $j \leq n\}$ contained in $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{N})$. Then, $\left\{\mu_{j} \lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ is a two-sided basis for $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{N}$.
Proof. Let $\lambda_{i, j}^{\prime}:=\mu_{j} \lambda_{i} \mu_{j}^{*}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, by Lemma 3.8, $\left\{\lambda_{i, j}^{\prime}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$ for each $j$. Similarly, $\left\{\left(\lambda_{i, j}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right.$ : $1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is also a basis for $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$. Since $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$, we have $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*}=e_{\mathcal{P}}$ (see Section 2.2.1). So, by Lemma 2.6, we obtain $\sum_{i, j} \mu_{j} \lambda_{i} e_{1} \lambda_{i}^{*} \mu_{j}^{*}=\sum_{j} \mu_{j} e_{\mathcal{P}} \mu_{j}^{*}=1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.6 again, $\left\{\mu_{j} \lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{N}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\sum_{i, j} \lambda_{i}^{*} \mu_{j}^{*} e_{1} \mu_{j} \lambda_{i}=\sum_{i, j} \mu_{j}^{*}\left(\lambda_{i, j}^{\prime}\right)^{*} e_{1} \lambda_{i, j}^{\prime} \mu_{j}=\sum_{j} \mu_{j}^{*} e_{\mathcal{P}} \mu_{j}=1,
$$

where the second last equality holds because $\left\{\lambda_{i, j}^{\prime}: i \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{P} / \mathcal{N}$ and the last equality follows because $\left\{\mu_{j}^{*}: 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{P}$. Thus, we conclude that $\left\{\left(\mu_{j} \lambda_{i}\right)^{*}\right\}$ is also a basis for $\mathcal{M} / \mathcal{N}$. This completes the proof.

We are now all set to deduce the main theorem of this article.
Theorem 3.10. Let $N \subset M$ be a regular subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with finite index. Then, $M$ admits a two-sided Pimsner-Popa basis over $N$.

Proof. We observed in Lemma 3.4 that $\mathcal{R}:=N \vee\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ admits a two-sided basis, say, $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$, over $N$. Further, we readily deduce, from Proposition 3.7, that $M$ also admits a two-sided basis, say, $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}$, over $\mathcal{R}$, which is contained in $\mathcal{N}_{M}(\mathcal{N})$. By Lemma 3.2, we know that $\mathcal{N}_{M}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{M}(\mathcal{R})$. Hence, by Proposition 3.9, we conclude that $\left\{\mu_{j} \lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a two-sided PimsnerPopa basis for $M$ over $N$.

In view of Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following:
Corollary 3.11. Every regular subfactor of type $I I_{1}$ with finite index is extremal.

It is well known to the experts that every regular subfactor has integer index; for instance, there is a mention of this fact in [5, Page 150] (without a proof). As final application of some of the results proved above, we deduce
this fact along with a precise expression for the index of such a subfactor. We will use Watatani's notion of index of a conditional expectation to do so.

Recall, from [20], that, given an inclusion $B \subset A$ of unital $C^{*}$-algebras, a conditional expectation $E: A \rightarrow B$ is said to have finite index if there exists a right Pimsner-Popa basis $\left\{\lambda_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ for $A$ over $B$ via $E$ and the Watatani index of $E$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Ind}(E)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*},
$$

which is independent of the basis $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ and is an element of $\mathcal{Z}(A)$.
Theorem 3.12. Every regular subfactor $N \subset M$ of type $I I_{1}$ with finite Jones index has integer valued index and the index is given by

$$
[M: N]=|G| \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right),
$$

where $G$ denotes the generalized Weyl group of the inclusion $N \subset M$.
Proof. Consider the inclusion $\mathbb{C} \subseteq N^{\prime} \cap M$. Let $\Lambda$ denote its inclusion matrix. Let $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\} \subset N^{\prime} \cap M$ be a two-sided basis for $N^{\prime} \cap M$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to tr as in Proposition 3.3. We observed in Lemma 3.4 that $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a two-sided basis for $\mathcal{R}:=N \vee\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$ as well over $N$ with respect to $E_{\left.N\right|_{\mathcal{R}}}$.

Further, from Proposition 3.7, $M$ admits a two-sided basis consisting of unitaries, say, $\left\{\mu_{j}: 1 \leq j \leq|G|\right\}$, over $\mathcal{R}$, which is contained in $\mathcal{N}_{M}(\mathcal{N})$. As seen in Theorem 3.10, $\left\{\mu_{j} \lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a two-sided Pimsner-Popa basis for $M$ over $N$ with respect to $E_{N}$. Thus, $\left\{\lambda_{i}^{*} \mu_{j}^{*}\right\}$ is also a basis for $M$ over $N$, and we obtain

$$
[M: N]=\sum_{i, j} \lambda_{i}^{*} \mu_{j}^{*} \mu_{j} \lambda_{i}=|G| \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*} \lambda_{i}=|G| \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}^{*}=|G| \operatorname{Ind}(\operatorname{tr}),
$$

where the second last equality holds because $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}$ is a two-sided basis for $\operatorname{tr}$. In particular, $\operatorname{Ind}(\operatorname{tr})$ is scalar-valued. So, if $\Lambda$ denotes the matrix of the inclusion $\mathbb{C} \subseteq N^{\prime} \cap M$ and $\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ denotes the trace vector of tr, then by [20, Corollary 2.4.3], there exists a $\beta>0$ such that $\bar{s} \Lambda \Lambda^{t}=\beta \bar{s}$ and $\operatorname{Ind}(\operatorname{tr})=\beta$.

Now, if $\left[n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right]$ is the dimension vector of $N^{\prime} \cap M$, then by Watatani's convention, we have $\Lambda=\left[n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right]^{t}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} n_{i}=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{s} \Lambda \Lambda^{t} & =\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} n_{i}\right) n_{1},\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} n_{i}\right) n_{2}, \ldots,\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i} n_{i}\right) n_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields $\beta=\frac{n_{i}}{s_{i}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus, if $p_{i}$ denotes a minimal projection in the $i$-th summand of $N^{\prime} \cap M$ and $\tilde{p}_{i}$ denotes the $i$-th minimal
central projection, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(p_{i}\right)=s_{i}=n_{i} / \beta$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$; so, $\operatorname{tr}\left(\tilde{p}_{i}\right)=$ $n_{i}^{2} / \beta=s_{i} n_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. This gives

$$
1=\operatorname{tr}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{tr}\left(\tilde{p}_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}^{2} / \beta
$$

so that $\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}^{2}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)$. Hence,

$$
[M: N]=|G| \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N^{\prime} \cap M\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
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