

A universal coarse K -theory

Ulrich Bunke and Denis-Charles Cisinski

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we construct an equivariant coarse homology theory with values in the category of non-commutative motives of Blumberg, Gepner and Tabuada, with coefficients in any small additive category. Equivariant coarse K -theory is obtained from the latter by passing to global sections. The present construction extends joint work of the first named author with Engel, Kasprowski and Winges by promoting the codomain of the equivariant coarse K -homology functor to non-commutative motives.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. The universal invariant of additive categories | 5 |
| 2.1. The universal localizing invariant | 5 |
| 2.2. A universal K -theory of additive categories | 7 |
| 2.3. Properties of the universal K -theory for additive categories | 12 |
| 3. A universal equivariant coarse homology theory | 17 |
| 3.1. Coarse homology theories | 17 |
| 3.2. X -controlled \mathbf{A} -objects | 19 |
| 3.3. The universal equivariant coarse homology theory | 20 |
| 3.4. Continuity | 22 |
| 3.5. Functors on the orbit category | 23 |
| References | 26 |

1. Introduction

In this paper we introduce a universal K -theory-like equivariant coarse homology theory associated to an additive category.

Equivariant coarse homology theories have been introduced in [BEKWa, Def. 3.10] as the basic objects of equivariant coarse homotopy theory. Equivariant coarse homology theories give rise to Bredon-type equivariant homology theories by a construction which we will explain in the Remark

Received November 22, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19D99, 18E30.

Key words and phrases. coarse homology theory, additive categories, universal localizing invariants.

1.5 below. Such a presentation of equivariant homology theories plays an important role in the proofs of isomorphism conjectures, see e.g. [CP95], [BFJR04],[BLR08]¹.

As an example of an equivariant coarse homology theory, an equivariant coarse K -homology functor $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ associated to an additive category \mathbf{A} is constructed in [BEKWa, Sec. 8]. It is defined as a composition of functors

$$\mathcal{K}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G} \mathbf{Add} \xrightarrow{K} \mathbf{Sp} . \quad (1.1)$$

In this formula $G\mathbf{BornCoarse}$ denotes the category of G -bornological coarse spaces, and $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ is a functor which associates to a G -bornological coarse space X the additive category of equivariant X -controlled \mathbf{A} -objects $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$. The functor K is a non-connective K -theory functor from additive categories to spectra [PW85].

In [BGT13] Blumberg, Gepner, and Tabuada interpret algebraic K -theory as a localizing invariant of small stable ∞ -categories. They construct a universal localizing invariant

$$\mathcal{U}_{loc} : \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{loc} , \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex}$ denotes the ∞ -category of small stable ∞ -categories.

The goal of the present paper is to construct a factorization of $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ over \mathcal{U}_{loc} . To find such a factorization is very much in spirit of the ideas of Balmer-Tabuada [BT08] and might be helpful in an approach to the ‘‘Mother Isomorphism Conjecture’’. It also leads to further new examples of equivariant coarse homology theories, see Example 1.2.

In Section 2 we construct the functor $\mathbf{Ch}^b(-)_{\infty} : \mathbf{Add} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex}$ which sends a small additive category \mathbf{A} to the small stable ∞ -category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_{\infty}$ obtained from the bounded chain complexes over \mathbf{A} by inverting homotopy equivalences. We then analyse the composition

$$\mathbf{UK} : \mathbf{Add} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Ch}^b(-)_{\infty}} \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{loc}} \mathcal{M}_{loc} .$$

In Section 3 we first review bornological coarse spaces and define the category $\mathbf{BornCoarse}$. We furthermore recall the notion of an equivariant coarse homology theory, and the definition of the categories of X -controlled \mathbf{A} -objects $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$. Then we continue and define, in analogy to (1.1), the functor

$$\mathbf{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G} \mathbf{Add} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{UK}} \mathcal{M}_{loc} . \quad (1.3)$$

From this functor we can recover the usual coarse algebraic K -homology functor (1.1) by

$$\mathcal{K}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(-) \simeq \mathbf{map}_{\mathcal{M}_{loc}}(\mathcal{U}_{loc}(\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^{\omega}), \mathbf{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(-)) , \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^{\omega}$ denotes the small stable ∞ -categories of compact spectra.

Our main theorem is:

¹These are only some papers of a vast list we will not try to review here.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.5). *The functor $\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ is an equivariant coarse homology theory.*

The arguments for the proof are very similar to the case of usual K -theory and essentially copied from [BEKWa].

From the universal coarse homology theory $\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ associated to \mathbf{A} one can derive new coarse homology theories which have not been considered so far.

Example 1.2. An example is topological Hochschild homology THH. In [BGT13] topological Hochschild homology was characterized as a localizing invariant

$$\mathrm{THH} : \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp} .$$

By the universal property of the morphism (1.2) there is an essentially unique factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{THH}} & \mathbf{Sp} . \\ & \searrow \mathcal{U}_{loc} & \nearrow \mathrm{THH}_{\infty} \\ & & \mathcal{M}_{loc} \end{array}$$

This allows to define an equivariant coarse homology theory

$$\mathrm{THH}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G} \mathcal{M}_{loc} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{THH}_{\infty}} \mathbf{Sp} .$$

□

In Section 3.5 we package the coarse homology functors $\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^H$ for the subgroups H of G together into a functor

$$\underline{\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}}_{\mathbf{A}} : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathcal{M}_{loc}) .$$

We want to stress the following point. In order to define the functor $\underline{\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}}_{\mathbf{A}}$ (Definition 3.14) it is not necessary to know how to construct equivariant coarse homology theories. In our case one only needs the non-equivariant version $\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}$ and a decomposition

$$\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}} \simeq \mathcal{K} \circ \mathcal{V} .$$

Here $\mathcal{V} : \mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^{+}$ sends a bornological coarse X space to the marked additive category of X -controlled objects and controlled morphisms, marked by the $\mathbf{diag}(X)$ -controlled isomorphisms, and the functor $\mathcal{K} : \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{loc}$ is the universal K -theory functor (see Subsection 3.5 for details). Equivariance is built in using the coinduction functor

$$\mathrm{Coind} : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^{+}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^{+}) .$$

Viewing G -bornological coarse spaces as particular bornological coarse spaces with a G -action the functor \mathcal{V} naturally provides a functor

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{eq}} : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^{+}) .$$

In Definition 3.14 we set

$$\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}} := \mathcal{K} \circ \mathrm{Coind} \circ \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{eq}} .$$

This idea might be helpful in other cases where the non-equivariant version of a coarse homology is already known, while the details of a construction of an equivariant versions are not yet fixed.

Our main result here, besides of the construction of $\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}$, is:

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 3.15). $\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is an equivariant coarse homology theory.

Remark 1.4. Coarse homology theories play an important role in the approach to injectivity results for assembly maps developed [BEKWc], but in contrast to equivariant coarse K -homology $K\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ the universal theory $\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ considered in the present paper does seem to usable there. To be useful for [BEKWc] the equivariant homology theory must in addition be strongly additive [BEKWa, Def. 3.12]. The strong additivity of $K\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ depends on the fact that the algebraic K -theory functor K preserves products. Carlsson [Car95] has shown that the connective algebraic K -theory functor $K_{\geq 0} : \mathbf{Add} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}_{\geq 0}$ preserves products, and this result extends to the non-connective K -theory, but probably not to the functor UK . We refer to [KW] and [KW19] for related results.

Remark 1.5. By Elmendorff's theorem the category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathbf{Spc})$ can be considered as the home of G -equivariant homotopy theory. Bredon-type G -equivariant \mathcal{C} -valued homology theories are then represented by objects in the category $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G), \mathcal{C})$, see Davis-Lück [DL98]. The values of the functor $\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}$ must not be confused with such homology theories since $\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}$ takes values in contravariant functors instead of covariant ones. The Davis-Lück type equivariant homology theories can be recovered as follows.

To every set S we can associate a bornological coarse space $S_{min,max}$ given by S with the minimal coarse and the maximal bornological structures. This gives a functor

$$T : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{BornCoarse} , \quad S \mapsto S_{min,max} ,$$

and hence a functor

$$T^G : \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Set}) \rightarrow G\mathbf{BornCoarse} .$$

The composition

$$\underline{\mathrm{UK}}_{\mathbf{A}}^G : \mathbf{Orb}(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Set}) \xrightarrow{T^G} G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathrm{UK}}_{\mathbf{A}}^G} \mathcal{M}_{loc}$$

is a Bredon-type \mathcal{M}_{loc} -valued equivariant homology theory. The equivariant algebraic K associated to an additive category as considered in [DL98], [BLR08], [BFJR04] can now be recovered in the style of (1.4) by

$$K_{\mathbf{A}}^G(-) \simeq \mathbf{map}_{\mathcal{M}_{loc}}(\mathcal{U}_{loc}(\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^{\omega}), \underline{\mathrm{UK}}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(-)) .$$

Indeed this follows from (1.4) and the equivalence $K_{\mathbf{A}}^G(-) \simeq K\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G \circ T^G$ which we check in [BEKWa]. \square

Acknowledgement: A great part of this work is a side product of the collaboration with A. Engel, D. Kasprovski, Ch. Winges and M. Ullmann on various projects in equivariant coarse homotopy theory. The authors were supported by the SFB 1085 (DFG).

2. The universal invariant of additive categories

2.1. The universal localizing invariant. Let $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex}$ denote the ∞ -category of small stable ∞ -categories and exact functors.

Definition 2.1.

- (1) A morphism $u : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex}$ is a Morita equivalence if it induces an equivalence of \mathbf{Ind} -completions $\mathbf{Ind}(u) : \mathbf{Ind}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}(\mathbf{B})$.
- (2) An exact sequence in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex}$ is a commutative square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbf{B} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{0} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \end{array}$$

in which the morphism i is fully faithful and the induced functor $\mathbf{B}/\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a Morita equivalence.

Remark 2.2. For a stable ∞ -category \mathbf{A} , the \mathbf{Ind} -completion can be identified with the ∞ -category of exact functors from the opposite of \mathbf{A} to the stable ∞ -category of spectra. The idempotent completion $\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A})$ of \mathbf{A} can be defined as the full subcategory of compact objects in the \mathbf{Ind} -completion of \mathbf{A} . Moreover, the triangulated category $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Ind}(\mathbf{A}))$ is compactly generated (more precisely, the objects of \mathbf{A} form a generating family of compact generators), and the triangulated category $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))$ is canonically equivalent to the idempotent completion (or karoubianization) of the category $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{A})$ (in the classical sense). Therefore, one can characterize Morita equivalences as the exact functors $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ inducing an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{B})$.

Following [BGT13] a functor $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with \mathcal{C} a presentable stable ∞ -category is called a localizing invariant if it inverts Morita equivalences, sends exact sequences to fibre sequences, and preserves filtered colimits.

In [BGT13] a universal localizing invariant

$$\mathcal{U}_{loc} : \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{loc}$$

has been constructed. The non-connective K -theory of small stable ∞ -categories is a localizing invariant. It can be derived from the universal invariant as follows. Let $\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^{\omega}$ be the small stable ∞ -category of compact

spectra and \mathbf{A} be a small stable ∞ -category. Then by [BGT13, Thm. 1.3] the non-connective K -theory spectrum of \mathbf{A} is given by

$$K(\mathbf{A}) \simeq \mathop{\mathrm{map}}_{\mathcal{M}_{loc}}(\mathcal{U}_{loc}(\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^{\omega}), \mathcal{U}_{loc}(\mathbf{A})) . \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.3. There is also a connective K -theory spectrum $K^{Wald}(\mathbf{A})$, which is the connective cover of $K(\mathbf{A})$, and whose value at π_0 is the usual Grothendieck group of the triangulated category $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))$.

Definition 2.4.

- (1) A stable ∞ -category \mathbf{A} is *flasque* if there exists an exact functor $S : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ such that there exists an equivalence $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{A}} \oplus S \simeq S$.
- (2) A *delooping* of a stable ∞ -category \mathbf{A} is a collection of exact sequences of ∞ -categories of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^n(\mathbf{A}) & \longrightarrow & F^n(\mathbf{A}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S^{n+1}(\mathbf{A}) \end{array}$$

for all non-negative integers n , such that $F^n(\mathbf{A})$ is flasque for all n , together with a Morita equivalence $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow S^0(\mathbf{A})$.

Given a delooping of a stable ∞ -category \mathbf{A} as in the definition above, we have the following commutative squares of spectra:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A})) & \longrightarrow & K^{Wald}(F^n(\mathbf{A})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K^{Wald}(S^{n+1}(\mathbf{A})) \end{array} . \quad (2.2)$$

Since $K^{Wald}(F^n(\mathbf{A})) \simeq 0$, these squares define canonical maps

$$K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \Omega(K^{Wald}(S^{n+1}(\mathbf{A}))) \quad (2.3)$$

and hence maps

$$\Omega^n(K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}(K^{Wald}(S^{n+1}(\mathbf{A}))) . \quad (2.4)$$

Proposition 2.5. *Given any choice of delooping of a stable ∞ -category \mathbf{A} , there is a canonical equivalence of spectra*

$$\mathrm{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \simeq K(\mathbf{A}) .$$

Furthermore, for any non negative integer n , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$K_0(\mathrm{Idem}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \cong \pi_{-n}(K(\mathbf{A})) ,$$

where $K_0 = \pi_0 \circ K^{Wald}$ denotes the Grothendieck group functor.

Proof. We have cofiber sequences of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(S^n(\mathbf{A})) & \longrightarrow & K(F^n(\mathbf{A})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K(S^{n+1}(\mathbf{A})) \end{array}$$

whence equivalences

$$K(S^n(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow \Omega(K(S^{n+1}(\mathbf{A}))) \quad (2.5)$$

from which we deduce an equivalence

$$K(\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(K(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) . \quad (2.6)$$

By naturality of the map $K^{Wald} \rightarrow K$, we get the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^{Wald}(\mathbf{A}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(K(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \end{array}$$

of which any inverse of the bottom horizontal map gives a map

$$\operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{A}) \quad (2.7)$$

Since $K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))$ is the connective cover of $K(S^n(\mathbf{A}))$, the canonical map from $K_j^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))$ to $K_j(S^n(\mathbf{A})) \cong K_{j-n}(\mathbf{A})$ is an isomorphism for all non negative integers j . Since the formation of stable homotopy groups commutes with small filtered colimits, we have canonical isomorphisms of groups for any integer i :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(\operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A})))) &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \pi_{i+n}(K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq -i} \pi_{i+n}(K^{Wald}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq -i} \pi_{i+n}(K(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \pi_{i+n}(K(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \\ &\cong \pi_i(\operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(K(S^n(\mathbf{A})))) \\ &\cong K_i(\mathbf{A}) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, map (2.7) is a stable weak homotopy equivalence. \square

2.2. A universal K -theory of additive categories. An additive category \mathbf{A} can be considered as a Waldhausen category whose weak equivalences are the isomorphisms and whose cofibrations are the inclusions of direct summands. The connective K -theory $K^{Wald}(\mathbf{A})$ is defined as the Waldhausen K -theory of this Waldhausen category (this agrees with Quillen's definition, up to a functorial equivalence). This construction can be refined in order to produce a non-connective K -theory spectrum $K(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\pi_i(K(\mathbf{A})) \cong \pi_i(K^{Wald}(\mathbf{A}))$ for all integers i , with $i \geq 1$ (or $i \geq 0$ if \mathbf{A} is

idempotent complete); see [PW85, Sch06]. In Proposition 2.20 below we provide an alternative description as a specialization of a universal K -theory for additive categories.

We consider the categories **Add** and **RelCat** of small additive categories and relative categories. We have a functor

$$(\mathbf{Ch}^b(-), W_h) : \mathbf{Add} \rightarrow \mathbf{RelCat} \quad (2.8)$$

which sends an additive category \mathbf{A} to the relative category $(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}), W_h)$ of bounded chain complexes and homotopy equivalences. We have a localization functor

$$\mathcal{L} : \mathbf{RelCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty \quad (2.9)$$

which sends a relative category (\mathbf{C}, W) to the localization $\mathbf{C}[W^{-1}]$. For an additive category \mathbf{A} we will use the notation

$$\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty := \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}), W_h) .$$

Remark 2.6. Assume that we model ∞ -categories by quasi-categories. Then a model for the localization functor is given by

$$(\mathbf{C}, W) \mapsto \mathbf{N}((L_W^H \mathbf{C})^{fib}) ,$$

where $L_W^H \mathbf{C}$ is the hammock localization producing a simplicial category from a relative category, $(-)^{fib}$ is the fibrant replacement in simplicial categories, and \mathbf{N} is the coherent nerve functor which sends fibrant simplicial categories to quasi categories.

As explained for instance in [CP97, Section 4], the category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ has the structure of a cofibration category where

- (1) weak equivalences are homotopy equivalences,
- (2) cofibrations are morphisms of chain complexes which are degree-wise split injections.

Note that if $A \rightarrow B$ is a cofibration in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$, then the quotient A/B exists. In this case we say that $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A/B$ is a short exact sequence.

Let

$$\ell : \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty \quad (2.10)$$

denote the canonical morphism.

Proposition 2.7. *If \mathbf{A} is a small additive category, then $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ is a small stable ∞ -category. Moreover,*

- (1) (2.10) *preserves the null object.*
- (2) (2.10) *sends short exact sequences to cofiber sequences.*

Proof. Let W_{ch} denote the subset of W_h of homotopy equivalences which are in addition cofibrations.

Lemma 2.8. *The morphism of relative categories*

$$(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}), W_{ch}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}), W_h)$$

induces an equivalence $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}), W_{ch}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ of ∞ -categories.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ is a cofibration category, by Ken Brown's Lemma every morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ has a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
 & \searrow i & \nearrow p, \simeq \\
 & & C \xleftarrow{r} B
 \end{array}
 ,$$

where i is a cofibration, p is a weak equivalence, and furthermore r is a cofibration such that $p \circ r = \text{id}_B$. If f is in addition a weak equivalence, then so are i and r . So every functor from $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ to an infinity category which sends the elements of W_{ch} to equivalences also sends the elements of W_h to equivalences. This implies the assertion in view of the universal property of the functor (2.10). \square

By [Lur09, Thm. 2.2.0.1 and Prop. 2.2.4.1] and Lemma 2.8 the mapping spaces of the ∞ -category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ are represented by the simplicial mapping sets of the hammock localization $(L_{W_{ch}}^H \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}))^{fib}$, see Remark 2.6. More precisely, for objects A and B of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ we have a canonical homotopy equivalence

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty}(\ell(A), \ell(B)) \simeq \text{Map}_{(L_{W_{ch}}^H \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}))^{fib}}(A, B) . \quad (2.11)$$

The homotopy type of the simplicial mapping sets in the hammock localization can be computed using a method first introduced by Dwyer and Kan, and further studied by Weiss in [Wei99, Rem. 1.2]. For two objects A and B of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ we consider the following category $\mathcal{M}(A, B)$:

- (1) The objects are pairs (f, s) , where $f : A \rightarrow C$ is just a morphism and $s : B \rightarrow C$ a trivial cofibration.
- (2) A morphism $u : (f, s) \rightarrow (f', s')$ is a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & C & & \\
 & f \nearrow & \downarrow u & \nwarrow s & \\
 A & & & & B \\
 & f' \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow s' & \\
 & & C' & &
 \end{array}
 .$$

- (3) The composition is defined in the obvious way.

Then by [Wei99, Rem. 1.2] we have a canonical weak equivalence of simplicial sets

$$\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{M}(A, B)) \simeq \text{Map}_{(L_{W_{ch}}^H \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}))^{fib}}(A, B) . \quad (2.12)$$

We now consider a pushout square in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{a} & A' \\
 i \downarrow & & \downarrow i' \\
 B & \xrightarrow{b} & B'
 \end{array}
 \quad (2.13)$$

in which the map i is a cofibration. By virtue of [Wei99, Thm. 2.1], for any object E in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$, the commutative square of simplicial sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{M}(A, E)) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{M}(A', E)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{M}(B, E)) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{M}(B', E)) \end{array} \quad (2.14)$$

is homotopy Cartesian. This implies, by (2.12), that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{(L_{W_{ch}}^H \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}))^{fib}}(A, B)(A, E) & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{(L_{W_{ch}}^H \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}))^{fib}}(A, B)(A', E) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_{(L_{W_{ch}}^H \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}))^{fib}}(A, B)(B, E) & \longleftarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{(L_{W_{ch}}^H \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}))^{fib}}(A, B)(B', E) \end{array} \quad (2.15)$$

is a homotopy Cartesian square of Kan complexes. Consequently, by virtue of [Lur09, Thm. 4.2.4.1] (and possibly [Lur09, Remark A.3.3.13]), the square (2.13) is sent by ℓ to a pushout square in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$.

Similarly, for every object A of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ the simplicial set $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{M}(A, 0))$ is contractible since it is the nerve of a category with an initial object. Hence ℓ sends the null complex to a terminal object in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$.

Note that the opposite of an additive category is again an additive category. Applying what precedes to the opposite category of \mathbf{A} , we see that ℓ sends 0 also to an initial object in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$, and that any pullback square of the form (2.13) in which the map b is a degree-wise split surjection is sent to a pull-back square in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$.

The explicit description of the mapping spaces in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ given by (2.11) and (2.12) implies that, up to equivalence, all maps of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ come from maps of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$. In particular, by virtue of [Lur09, Cor. 4.4.2.4] and its dual version, we have proved that the ∞ -category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ has finite limits and finite colimits, and that $0 = \ell(0)$ is a null object.

Since, up to equivalence, any morphism in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ is the image under ℓ of a cofibration, any cofiber sequence of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ is the image under ℓ of a pushout square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

in which i is a cofibration. But such a square also is a pullback square, with p a degree-wise split surjection, and therefore, the functor ℓ sends such a square to a fiber sequence. In other words, any cofiber sequence in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ is a fiber sequence. Replacing \mathbf{A} by its opposite category, we see that we also

proved the converse: any fiber sequence in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ is a cofiber sequence. In other words, the ∞ -category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ is stable in the sense of [Lur17, Def. 1.1.1.9], and we have proved the proposition. \square

Remark 2.9. For an additive category \mathbf{A} the category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ has a natural dg -enriched refinement $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_{dg}$. By [Lur17, Thm. 1.3.1.10] we can thus form a small ∞ -category $\mathbf{N}_{dg}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_{dg})$. It is easy to check that this category is pointed by the zero complex, has finite colimits (sums and push-outs exist), and that the suspension is represented by the shift. Consequently, $\mathbf{N}_{dg}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_{dg})$ is a stable ∞ -category. In fact, [Lur17, 1.3.2.10] asserts this for the dg -nerve $\mathbf{N}_{dg}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})_{dg})$ of the dg -category of not necessarily bounded chain complexes. We can consider $\mathbf{N}_{dg}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_{dg})$ as a full subcategory of $\mathbf{N}_{dg}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{A})_{dg})$ which is stable under finite colimits.

By the universal property of the localization functor (2.9) the natural morphism $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{dg}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_{dg})$ factorizes through a morphism

$$\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{dg}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_{dg}) . \quad (2.16)$$

This morphism induces an equivalence of homotopy categories

$$\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{N}_{dg}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_{dg})) .$$

Proposition 2.7 is now equivalent to the fact that the functor (2.16) is an equivalence of ∞ -categories. \square

Let A be an object of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$.

Corollary 2.10. *We have the relation $\Sigma(\ell(A)) \simeq \ell(A[1])$.*

Proof. Let A be an object of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$. By Proposition 2.7, the functor ℓ sends the short exact sequence

$$A \rightarrow \mathbf{Cone}(\mathrm{id}_A) \rightarrow A[1]$$

to the cofiber sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ell(A) & \longrightarrow & \ell(\mathbf{Cone}(\mathrm{id}_A)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ell(A[1]) \end{array}$$

Since $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Cone}(\mathrm{id}_A)$ is a chain homotopy equivalence, this cofiber sequence exhibits $\ell(A[1])$ as the suspension of $\ell(A)$ in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$. \square

Proposition 2.11. *The functor $\mathbf{Ch}^b(-)_\infty$ has a natural factorization*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ex} \\ & \nearrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(-)_\infty & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Add} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Cat}_\infty \end{array} .$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, the functor $\mathbf{Ch}^b(-)_\infty$ takes values in stable ∞ -categories. We must show that it send a morphism $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}'$ between additive categories to an exact functor. By [Lur17, Cor. 1.4.2.14] we must show that $\mathbf{Ch}^b(f)_\infty$ preserves the zero object and suspension. It clearly preserves the zero object (represented by the zero complex). By Corollary 2.10 in the domain and target of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(f)_\infty$ the suspension is represented by the shift and $\mathbf{Ch}^b(f)$ clearly preserves the shift, $\mathbf{Ch}^b(f)_\infty$ also preserves suspension. \square

Definition 2.12. We define the functor $\mathbf{UK} : \mathbf{Add} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{loc}$ as the composition

$$\mathbf{UK} : \mathbf{Add} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Ch}^b(-)_\infty} \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ex} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}_{loc}} \mathcal{M}_{loc} .$$

2.3. Properties of the universal K -theory for additive categories.

Recall that a morphism between additive categories is a functor between the underlying categories which preserves finite coproducts. It is an equivalence if the underlying morphism of categories is an equivalence. More generally, it is a Morita equivalence if it induces an equivalence of idempotent completions. Finally, recall the similar Definition 2.1 (in conjunction with Remark 2.2) for morphisms between stable ∞ -categories.

Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category.

Lemma 2.13. *The morphism $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A})$ induces a Morita equivalence*

$$\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty .$$

Proof. In view of Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 we must show that the induced morphism

$$c : \mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty)$$

is an equivalence. We first observe that for any additive category \mathbf{B} the natural morphism $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{B})$ induces a fully faithful embedding $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{B})_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{B}))_\infty$. This is obvious if we use the description of the ∞ -categories of chain complexes via dg -nerves as discussed in Remark 2.9. We now have a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ \mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty) & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty) \end{array} .$$

Since the vertical morphisms and the upper horizontal morphism are fully-faithful, so is c . It remains to show that c is essentially surjective. We note that the morphism i identifies $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty$ with the smallest full stable subcategory of $\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty)$ containing the objects in the image of the composition

$$\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}) \xrightarrow{[0]} \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A})) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty . \quad (2.17)$$

In view of the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty & \\
 \text{(2.17)} \nearrow & & \searrow i \\
 \mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}) & & \mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty) \\
 \searrow \mathrm{Idem}(\ell \circ [0]) & & \nearrow c \\
 & \mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty) &
 \end{array}$$

every object in the image of the composition $i \circ (2.17)$ also belongs to the essential image of c . Hence c is essentially surjective and we have shown the lemma \square

Remark 2.14. A particular case of a result of Balmer and Schlichting [BS01, Thm. 2.8] states that, for any small idempotent complete additive category \mathbf{A} , the triangulated category $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty)$ is idempotent complete. Applying this result to i and the proof of Lemma 2.13 to c we conclude that for any small additive category \mathbf{A} , the natural functors

$$\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty) \xrightarrow{c} \mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty) \xleftarrow{i} \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty$$

are equivalences of ∞ -categories.

Lemma 2.15. *The functor $\mathrm{UK} : \mathbf{Add} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{loc}$ sends Morita equivalences of additive categories to equivalences in \mathcal{M}_{loc} .*

Proof. Since the functor \mathcal{U}_{loc} sends Morita equivalences of stable ∞ -categories to equivalences of spectra, it is sufficient to prove that the functor $\mathbf{A} \mapsto \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ sends Morita equivalences of additive categories to Morita equivalences of ∞ -categories.

First of all, this functor sends equivalences of additive categories to equivalences of ∞ -categories because the functor $\mathbf{A} \mapsto \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$ preserves equivalences of categories, and because the localization functor (2.9) sends equivalences of (relative) categories to equivalences of ∞ -categories.

For an additive category \mathbf{A} the morphism $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A})$ is a Morita equivalence of additive categories. By Lemma 2.13 we get a Morita equivalence of stable ∞ -categories $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_\infty$.

Any Morita equivalence $f : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}'$ between additive categories fits into a commutative square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{A}' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Idem}(f)} & \mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}')
 \end{array}$$

in which the functor $\mathrm{Idem}(f)$ is an equivalence of categories. By the observations made above the functor $\mathbf{Ch}^b(-)_\infty$ sends the two vertical and the

lower horizontal morphism to equivalences. This readily implies that the map $\mathrm{UK}(f)$ is an equivalence from $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty$ to $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}')_\infty$. \square

Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category.

Definition 2.16. *A full additive subcategory \mathbf{C} of \mathbf{A} is a Karoubi-filtration if every diagram*

$$X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$$

in \mathbf{A} with $X, Z \in \mathbf{C}$ admits an extension

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \uparrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} & U \oplus U^\perp & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} & U \end{array}$$

with $U \in \mathbf{C}$.

In [Kas15, Lemma 5.6] it is shown that Definition 2.16 is equivalent to the standard definition of a Karoubi filtration as considered in [CP97].

A morphism in \mathbf{A} is called completely continuous (c.c.) if it factorizes over a morphism in \mathbf{C} . We can form a new additive category \mathbf{A}/\mathbf{C} with the same objects as \mathbf{A} and the morphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{C}}(A, A') := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(A, A')/c.c. .$$

Assume that \mathbf{C} is a Karoubi filtration in an additive category \mathbf{A} .

Lemma 2.17. *The sequence of stable ∞ -categories*

$$\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{C})_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{C})_\infty$$

is exact.

Proof. As observed in Lemma 2.13, for any additive category \mathbf{B} , the natural functor $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{B})_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{B}))_\infty$ is a Morita equivalence of stable ∞ -categories. Furthermore the canonical functor $\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Idem}(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A})/\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{C}))$ is an equivalence of categories, because the functor Idem (being a left adjoint) sends pushouts of additive categories to pushouts in the category of idempotent complete additive categories. Therefore, the canonical functor

$$\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{C})_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A})/\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{C}))_\infty$$

is a Morita equivalence of stable ∞ -categories.

All this means that, without loss of generality, it is sufficient to prove the case where \mathbf{C} is idempotent complete (since we may replace \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{C} by their idempotent completions at will). By [BGT13, Prop. 5.15] it suffices to show that, under this extra assumption on \mathbf{C} ,

$$\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{C})_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{C})_\infty)$$

is exact. In view of Example [Sch04, Ex. 1.8] this is shown in [Sch04, Prop. 2.6]. \square

Assume that \mathbf{C} is a Karoubi filtration in an additive category \mathbf{A} .

Proposition 2.18. *We have the following fibre sequence in \mathcal{M}_{loc} .*

$$\mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{C})$$

Proof. This immediately follows from Lemma 2.17, Definition 2.12, and the fact that \mathcal{U}_{loc} sends exact sequences to fibre sequences. \square

Definition 2.19. *An additive category \mathbf{A} is called flasque if there exists an endo-functor $S : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ such that $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{A}} \oplus S \simeq S$.*

Let \mathbf{A} be a small additive category. By $K(\mathbf{A})$, we denote Schlichting's non-connective K -theory spectrum. Its stable homotopy groups in non-negative degrees i agree with Quillen's K -theory groups of $\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A})$ denoted above by $K_i^{Wald}(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))$, and with the K -theory groups defined by Pedersen and Weibel in negative degrees.

Let \mathbf{A} be a small additive category.

Proposition 2.20. *There is a canonical equivalence of spectra*

$$K(\mathbf{A}) \simeq \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{M}_{loc}}(\mathcal{U}_{loc}(\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^{\omega}), \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{A})) .$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.13 and the fact that \mathcal{U}_{loc} sends Morita equivalences to equivalences, Formula (2.1) expresses $\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{M}_{loc}}(\mathcal{U}_{loc}(\mathbf{S}_{\infty}^{\omega}), \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{A}))$ as the non-connective K -theory spectrum $K(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_{\infty})$. By virtue of [BGT13, Cor. 7.12] the connective cover of $K(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))_{\infty})$ agrees with Waldhausen's K -theory of $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A}))$, which in turns agrees with Quillen's K -theory of $\mathrm{Idem}(\mathbf{A})$ by the Gillet-Waldhausen theorem (as shown in [TT90]). There is a construction, due to Karoubi, and recalled in [PW85, Example 5.1], which associates functorially, to any small additive category \mathbf{A} , a flasque additive category $C(\mathbf{A})$, together with an additive full embedding $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow C(\mathbf{A})$ which turns \mathbf{A} into a Karoubi filtration of $C(\mathbf{A})$. The suspension $S(\mathbf{A})$ of \mathbf{A} is then defined as the quotient $C(\mathbf{A})/\mathbf{A}$. Iterating this construction, we see with Lemma 2.17 that we have a delooping of the stable ∞ -category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_{\infty}$, of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Ch}^b(S^n(\mathbf{A}))_{\infty} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ch}^b(C(S^n(\mathbf{A})))_{\infty} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ch}^b(S^{n+1}(\mathbf{A}))_{\infty} \end{array}$$

This delooping exists at the level of Waldhausen categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Ch}^b(S^n(\mathbf{A})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ch}^b(C(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ch}^b(S^{n+1}(\mathbf{A})) \end{array}$$

By naturality of the comparison maps $K^{Wald}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})) \rightarrow K^{Wald}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A}))$ (where the left hand denotes the usual Waldhausen construction associated with the cofibration category $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})$), we obtain a natural morphism of spectra:

$$\operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(K^{Wald}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(K^{Wald}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(S^n(\mathbf{A})))_\infty).$$

By virtue of Proposition 2.5, the codomain of this map is the non-connective spectrum $K(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{A})_\infty)$. The domain of this map is precisely Schlichting's definition [Sch06, Def. 8] of the non-connective K -theory of \mathbf{A} . Since both side agree canonically on connective covers, to prove that this comparison map is a stable weak homotopy equivalence, it is sufficient to prove that it induces an isomorphism on stable homotopy groups in non positive degree. But this map induces an isomorphism on π_0 for any \mathbf{A} . Therefore, replacing \mathbf{A} by $S^n(\mathbf{A})$, for positive integers n , we see that it induces an isomorphism in degree $-n$, because both sides are canonically isomorphic to

$$K_{-n}(\mathbf{A}) = K_0(\operatorname{Idem}(S^n(\mathbf{A}))) \cong K_0(\operatorname{Idem}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(S^n(\mathbf{A}))))$$

(this is proved in Theorem [Sch06, Theorem 8, p. 124] for the left-hand side, and follows from the last assertion of Proposition 2.5 for the right-hand side). \square

Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category.

Proposition 2.21. *If \mathbf{A} is flasque, then $\operatorname{UK}(\mathbf{A}) \simeq 0$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{B} be an additive category. We define a new additive category $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})$ by:

- (1) An object of \mathbf{B} is a triple (B, B_0, B_1) of an object B of \mathbf{B} with two subobjects such that the induced morphism $B_0 \oplus B_1 \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism.
- (2) A morphism $(B, B_0, B_1) \rightarrow (B', B'_0, B'_1)$ is a morphism $B \rightarrow B'$ preserving the subobjects.

We have a sequence

$$\mathbf{B} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B}) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{B}$$

where the first morphism i sends the object B in \mathbf{B} to the object $(B, B, 0)$ of $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})$, and the second morphism p sends the object (B, B_0, B_1) of $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})$ to the object B_1 of \mathbf{B} . The morphism i is fully faithful and determines a Karoubi filtration on $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})$, and the morphism p is the projection onto the quotient. It has a left-inverse $j : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})$ given by $B \mapsto (B, 0, B)$.

We finally have a functor $s : \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ which sends (B, B_0, B_1) to B .

Let $f, g : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be two morphisms between additive categories. Then we can define a morphism $(f, g) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})$ by $A \mapsto (f(A) \oplus g(A), f(A), g(A))$ which is uniquely determined by the choice of representatives of the sums. We now consider the composition

$$h : \mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{(f,g)} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B}) \xrightarrow{s} \mathbf{B}.$$

Note that \mathcal{M}_{loc} is stable so that it makes sense to add morphisms. We have

$$\mathrm{UK}(f), \mathrm{UK}(g), \mathrm{UK}(h) \in \pi_0(\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{M}_{loc}}(\mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{A}), \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{B}))) .$$

Lemma 2.22. *We have $\mathrm{UK}(h) \simeq \mathrm{UK}(f) + \mathrm{UK}(g)$.*

Proof. We have a fibre sequence $\mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{B}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{UK}(i)} \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{UK}(p)} \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{B})$ which is split by $\mathrm{UK}(j)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{UK}(f)+\mathrm{UK}(g) & & \\
 & \searrow & \curvearrowright & \searrow & \\
 \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{UK}(f)\oplus\mathrm{UK}(g)} & \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{B}) \oplus \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{B}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mathrm{UK}(i)\circ\mathrm{pr}_0+\mathrm{UK}(j)\circ\mathrm{pr}_1} & \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{UK}(s)} & \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{B}) . \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{UK}(f,g)} & \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{B} \oplus \mathbf{B}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{UK}(i,j)} & \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{B})) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{UK}(s)} & \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{B}) \\
 & \searrow & \curvearrowleft & \searrow & & & \\
 & & \mathrm{UK}(h) & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The dashed arrow is induced by the two embeddings $B \rightarrow B \oplus B$ and the universal property of the sum. \square

Let $S : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ be the endo-functor such that $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{A}} \oplus \mathbf{S} \simeq \mathbf{S}$. We get the equivalence $\mathrm{UK}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{A}}) + \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{S}) \simeq \mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{S})$ and this implies $\mathrm{UK}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{A}}) \simeq 0$, hence $\mathrm{UK}(\mathbf{A}) \simeq 0$. \square

Proposition 2.23. *The functor UK preserves filtered colimits.*

Proof. The functor (2.8) clearly preserves filtered colimits. The localization functor (2.9) preserves filtered colimits. In order to see this we could use the model given in Remark 2.6 and observe that hammock localization preserves filtered colimits, a filtered colimit of Kan-complexes is again a Kan complex, and the coherent nerve functor preserves filtered colimits, and finally that a filtered colimit of quasi-categories is a filtered colimit in the ∞ -category \mathbf{Cat}_{∞} . The inclusion $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{ex} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$ preserves filtered colimits. And finally, by definition the universal localizing \mathcal{U}_{∞} invariant preserves filtered colimits. \square

3. A universal equivariant coarse homology theory

3.1. Coarse homology theories. Following [BE16] a bornological coarse space is a triple $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ of a set X with a coarse structure \mathcal{C} and a bornology \mathcal{B} which are compatible in the sense that every coarse thickening of a bounded set is again bounded. A morphism $f : (X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{C}', \mathcal{B}')$ between bornological coarse spaces is a controlled and proper map. We thus have a category $\mathbf{BornCoarse}$ of bornological coarse spaces and morphisms.

For a group G a G -bornological coarse space is a bornological coarse space $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ with an action of G by automorphisms such that its set of G -invariant entourages \mathcal{C}^G is cofinal in \mathcal{C} . A morphism between G -bornological coarse spaces is an equivariant morphism of bornological coarse spaces. In this way we have defined a full subcategory

$$G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \subseteq \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{BornCoarse})$$

of G -bornological coarse spaces and equivariant morphisms.

The category $G\mathbf{BornCoarse}$ has a symmetric monoidal structure which we denote by \otimes . It is defined by

$$(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) \otimes (X', \mathcal{C}', \mathcal{B}') := (X \times X', \mathcal{C}\langle \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}' \rangle, \mathcal{B}\langle \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}' \rangle) ,$$

where G acts diagonally on the product set, $\mathcal{C}\langle \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}' \rangle$ denotes the coarse structure generated by all products $U \times U'$ of entourages of X and X' , and $\mathcal{B}\langle \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}' \rangle$ denotes the bornology generated by all products $B \times B'$ of bounded subsets of X and X' .

In [BE16] we axiomatized the notion of a coarse homology theory. The equivariant case will be studied throughly in [BEKWa]. In the following definition and the text below we describe the axioms.

Let \mathcal{C} be a stable cocomplete ∞ -category and consider a functor

$$E : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} .$$

Definition 3.1 ([BEKWa, Def. 3.10]). *E is an equivariant \mathcal{C} -valued coarse homology theory if it satisfies:*

- (1) *coarse invariance.*
- (2) *excision.*
- (3) *vanishing on flasques.*
- (4) *u -continuity.*

The detailed description of these properties is as follows:

- (1) (coarse invariance) We require that for every G -bornological coarse space X the projection $\{0, 1\}_{max, max} \otimes X \rightarrow X$ induces an equivalence

$$E(\{0, 1\}_{max, max} \otimes X) \rightarrow E(X) .$$

Here for a set S we let $S_{max, max}$ denote the set S equipped with the maximal coarse and bornological structures.

- (2) (excision) A big family in a G -bornological coarse spaces X is a filtered family $(Y_i)_{i \in I}$ of invariant subsets of X such that for every i in I and entourage U of X there exists j in I such that $U[Y_i] \subseteq Y_j$. We define $E(\mathcal{Y}) := \text{colim}_{i \in I} E(Y_i)$. We furthermore set

$$E(X, \mathcal{Y}) := \text{Cofib}(E(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow E(X)) .$$

A complementary pair (Z, \mathcal{Y}) consists of an invariant subset Z of X and a big family such that there exists i in I such that $Z \cup Y_i = X$.

Note that $Z \cap \mathcal{Y} := (Z \cap Y_i)_{i \in I}$ is a big family in Z . Excision then requires that the canonical morphism

$$E(Z, Z \cap \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow E(X, \mathcal{Y})$$

is an equivalence. Here all subsets of X are equipped with the induced bornological coarse structure.

- (3) A G -bornological coarse space X is flasque if it admits an equivariant selfmap $f : X \rightarrow X$ (we say that f implements flasqueness) such that $(f \times \text{id})(\text{diag}_X)$ is an entourage of X , for every entourage U of X , the subset $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (f^n \times f^n)(U)$ of $X \times X$ is again an entourage of X , and for every bounded subset B of X there exists an n in \mathbb{N} such that $f^n(X) \cap B = \emptyset$. Vanishing on flasques requires that

$$E(X) \simeq 0$$

if X is flasque.

- (4) For every invariant entourage U of X we define a new bornological coarse space X_U . It has the underlying bornological space of X and the coarse structure $\mathcal{C}\langle U \rangle$. The condition of u -continuity requires that the natural morphisms $X_U \rightarrow X$ induce an equivalence

$$\text{colim}_{U \in \mathcal{C}G} E(X_U) \xrightarrow{\cong} E(X) .$$

3.2. X -controlled \mathbf{A} -objects. In this section we associate in a functorial way to every additive category and bornological coarse space a new additive category of controlled objects and morphisms. This construction is taken from [BEKWa, Sec. 8.2].

Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category. Let furthermore X be a G -bornological coarse space with bornology \mathcal{B} . We will consider \mathcal{B} as a poset. The group G acts on $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{A})$ in the natural way such that g in G sends the functor $A : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ to the obvious functor $gA : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ defined on objects by $gA(B) := A(g^{-1}B)$.

Definition 3.2. *An equivariant X -controlled \mathbf{A} -object is a pair (A, ρ) consisting of a functor $A : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ and a family $\rho = (\rho(g))_{g \in G}$ of natural isomorphisms $\rho(g) : A \rightarrow gA$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $A(\emptyset) \cong 0$.
- (2) For all B, B' in \mathcal{B} , the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(B \cap B') & \longrightarrow & A(B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A(B') & \longrightarrow & A(B \cup B') \end{array}$$

is a pushout.

- (3) For all B in \mathcal{B} , there exists a finite subset F of B such that the induced morphism $A(F) \rightarrow A(B)$ is an isomorphism.
- (4) For all pairs of elements g, g' of G we have $\rho(gg') = g\rho(g') \circ \rho(g)$.

Let $(A, \rho), (A', \rho')$ be equivariant X -controlled \mathbf{A} -objects and U be an entourage in \mathcal{C} .

Definition 3.3. *An equivariant U -controlled morphism $f: (A, \rho) \rightarrow (A', \rho')$ is a natural transformation*

$$f: A(-) \rightarrow A'(U[-]) ,$$

such that $\rho'(g) \circ f = (gf) \circ \rho(g)$ for all elements g of G .

We let $\text{Mor}_U((A, \rho), (A', \rho'))$ denote the set of equivariant U -controlled morphisms. We then define the set of controlled morphisms from (A, ρ) to (A', ρ') by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)}((A, \rho), (A', \rho')) := \text{colim}_{U \in \mathcal{C}} \text{Mor}_U((A, \rho), (A', \rho')) .$$

One can compose controlled morphisms in the obvious way. We denote the resulting category of equivariant X -controlled \mathbf{A} -objects and equivariant controlled morphisms by $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$. It is additive by [BEKWa, Lemma 8.7]

Let $\phi: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{B}', \mathcal{C}')$ be a morphism of G -bornological coarse spaces. We define a functor $\phi_*: \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X')$ as follows:

- (1) For an object (A, ρ) of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$ define $\phi_*(A, \rho) := (\phi_*A, \phi_*\rho)$ in $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X')$ by:
 - (a) $\phi_*A(B') := (\mathcal{B}' \ni B' \mapsto A(\phi^{-1}(B')) \in \mathbf{A})$.
 - (b) $\phi_*\rho(\gamma)(B') = \rho(\gamma)(\phi^{-1}(B'))$ for all g in G and B' in \mathcal{B}' .
- (2) For a U -controlled morphism $f: (A, \rho) \rightarrow (A', \rho')$ in $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$ we define the V -controlled morphism

$$\phi_*f := \{f_{\phi^{-1}(B')} : \phi_*A(B') \rightarrow \phi_*A(V[B'])\}_{B' \in \mathcal{B}'},$$

in $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X')$, where $V := (\phi \times \phi)(U)$.

We have thus defined a functor

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G : G\text{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \text{Add} .$$

If G is the trivial group, then we omit G from the notation.

3.3. The universal equivariant coarse homology theory. Let \mathbf{A} be an additive category.

Definition 3.4. *We define the functor*

$$\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G := \text{UK} \circ \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G : G\text{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{loc} .$$

Theorem 3.5. *The functor $\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ is an equivariant coarse homology theory.*

Proof. In the following four lemmas we verify the conditions listed in Definition 3.1. We keep the arguments sketchy as more details are given in [BEKWa, proof of Thm. 8.9].

Lemma 3.6. *$\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ is coarsely invariant.*

Proof. If X is a G -bornological coarse space, then we claim that the projection $p : \{0, 1\}_{max, max} \otimes X \rightarrow X$ induces an equivalence of additive categories $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(\{0, 1\}_{max, max} \otimes X) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$. Since UK preserves equivalences the claim implies the Lemma.

We now show the claim. Let $i : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}_{max, max} \otimes X$ denote the inclusion given by the element 0 of $\{0, 1\}$. Then $p \circ i = \text{id}_X$ and therefore $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(p) \circ \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(i) \cong \text{id}_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)}$. Furthermore, the composition $i \circ p$ is close to the identity of $\{0, 1\}_{max, max} \otimes X$. By [BEKWa, Lemma 8.11] we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(i) \circ \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(p) \cong \text{id}_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(\{0, 1\}_{max, max} \otimes X)}$. \square

Lemma 3.7. $\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ is excisive.

Proof. Let X be a G -bornological coarse space and $\mathcal{Y} := (Y_i)_{i \in I}$ be a big family on X . Then

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(\mathcal{Y}) := \text{colim}_{i \in I} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Y_i)$$

is a Karoubi-filtration in $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$. Since UK commutes with filtered colimits we have an equivalence

$$\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(\mathcal{Y}) \simeq \text{UK}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(\mathcal{Y})) .$$

By Proposition 2.18 we get a fibre sequence

$$\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{UK}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)/\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(\mathcal{Y})) .$$

We conclude that

$$\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X, \mathcal{Y}) \simeq \text{UK}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)/\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(\mathcal{Y})) . \quad (3.1)$$

Let now (Z, \mathcal{Y}) be a complementary pair. Then we get a similar sequence

$$\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Z \cap \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Z) \rightarrow \text{UK}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Z)/\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Z \cap \mathcal{Y})) .$$

One now checks that there is a canonical equivalence of additive categories

$$\psi : \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Z)/\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Z \cap \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)/\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(\mathcal{Y})$$

induced by the inclusion $i : Z \rightarrow X$. We refer to the proof of [BEKWa, Prop. 8.15] for the verification. Using the equivalence (3.1) twice we see that the natural morphism

$$\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Z, Z \cap \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X, \mathcal{Y})$$

is an equivalence. \square

Lemma 3.8. $\text{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ vanishes on flasques.

Proof. Assume that X is a flasque G -bornological coarse space. We claim that then $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$ is a flasque additive category so that the Lemma follows from Proposition 2.21.

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be the selfmap implementing flasqueness. We can then define an exact functor

$$S : \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$$

by

$$S(A, \rho) := \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A \circ (f^n)^{-1}, \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho \circ (f^n)^{-1} \right).$$

One checks that S is well-defined (e.g., that the evaluation of the first entry at a bounded subset of X involves a finite sum of non-trivial summands, only) and that

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(f) \circ S \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)} \oplus S.$$

Since f is close to the identity of X we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(f) \cong \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)}$ by [BEKWa, Lemma 8.11]. Consequently,

$$S \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)} \oplus S$$

as required. \square

Lemma 3.9. $\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ is u -continuous.

Proof. Let X be a G -bornological coarse space. Then by an inspection of the definitions we have an isomorphism of additive categories

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X) \cong \mathrm{colim}_{U \in \mathcal{C}^G} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X_U).$$

The lemma now follows from Proposition 2.23. \square

\square

3.4. Continuity. In this section we verify an additional continuity property of the coarse homology $\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$. The property plays an important role in the comparison of assembly and forget control maps discussed in [BEKWa, Sec. 11].

Let X be a G -bornological coarse space.

Definition 3.10 ([BEKWa, Def. 5.6]). A filtered family of invariant subsets $(Y_i)_{i \in I}$ of X is called *trapping* if every locally finite subset of X is contained in Y_i some index i in I .

Definition 3.11 ([BEKWa, Def. 5.15]). An equivariant \mathcal{C} -valued coarse homology theory E is *continuous* if for every trapping exhaustion $(Y_i)_{i \in I}$ of a G -bornological coarse space X the natural morphism $\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} E(Y_i) \rightarrow E(X)$ is an equivalence.

Proposition 3.12. The coarse homology theory $\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G$ is continuous.

Proof. Let X be a G -bornological coarse space. If (A, ρ) is an equivariant X -controlled \mathbf{A} -object, then its support $\mathrm{supp}(A, \rho) := \{x \in X \mid A(\{x\}) \neq 0\}$ is locally finite.

Let $(Y_i)_{i \in I}$ be a trapping exhaustion of X . Then there exists i in I such that (A, ρ) is supported on Y_i . Consequently we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Y_i) \cong \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X).$$

By Proposition 2.23 we now get $\mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} \mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(Y_i) \simeq \mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^G(X)$. \square

3.5. Functors on the orbit category. In this section we package the equivariant homology theories $\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^H$ for all subgroups H of G together into one object. We furthermore give an alternative construction of the equivariant coarse homology theories from the non-equivariant coarse homology theory.

The orbit category $\mathbf{Orb}(G)$ of G is the category of transitive G -sets and equivariant maps. Every object of the orbit category is isomorphic to one of the form G/H with the left G -action for a subgroup H of G . In particular we have the object G with the left action. The right action of G induces an isomorphism of groups $\mathbf{Aut}_{\mathbf{Orb}(G)}(G) \cong G^{op}$. Hence we have a functor $i : BG \rightarrow \mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}$ which sends the unique object of BG to G . If \mathcal{C} is a presentable ∞ -category, then we have an adjunction

$$\mathrm{Res} : \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathcal{C}) : \mathrm{Coind} ,$$

where Res is the restriction along i .

For every subgroup H of G we have an evaluation

$$\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} , \quad E \mapsto E^{(H)}$$

at the object G/H . The family of all these evaluations detects limits, colimits, and equivalences in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathcal{C})$.

We consider the relative category (\mathbf{Add}, W) , where W are the equivalences of additive categories. Applying the localization functor (2.9) we then obtain an ∞ -category $\mathbf{Add}_{\infty} := \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Add}, W)$. We furthermore have a morphism $\ell : \mathbf{Add} \rightarrow \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}$ where we secretly identify ordinary categories with ∞ -categories using the nerve functor.

A marked additive category is a pair (\mathbf{A}, M) of an additive category \mathbf{A} and a collection M of isomorphisms in \mathbf{A} (called marked isomorphisms) which is closed under composition and taking inverses. A morphism between marked additive categories $(\mathbf{A}, M) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}', M')$ is an exact functor $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}'$ which in addition sends $M \rightarrow M'$. We get a category \mathbf{Add}^+ of small marked additive categories and morphisms. A marked isomorphism $u : F \rightarrow F'$ between two functors $F, F' : (\mathbf{A}, M) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}', M')$ between marked additive categories is an isomorphism of functors such that for every object A of \mathbf{A} the isomorphism $u_A : F(A) \rightarrow F'(A)$ is a marked isomorphism.

A morphism between marked additive categories is called a marked equivalence if it is invertible up to marked isomorphism. We consider the relative category (\mathbf{Add}^+, W^+) , where W^+ are the marked equivalences. We define $\mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^+ := \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Add}^+, W^+)$ and let $\ell^+ : \mathbf{Add}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^+$ denote the canonical localization functor.

The functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{Add}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Add}$ which forgets the marking induces a commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Add}^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & \mathbf{Add} \\ \downarrow \ell^+ & & \downarrow \ell \\ \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{\infty}} & \mathbf{Add}_{\infty} \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

of ∞ -categories.

In [BEKWb] it is shown that \mathbf{Add}_∞^+ is a presentable ∞ -category. Consequently we have an adjunction

$$\mathbf{Res} : \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathbf{Add}_\infty^+) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Add}_\infty^+) : \mathbf{Coind} .$$

Example 3.13. We consider a marked additive category \mathbf{A}^+ with an action of G , i.e., an object of $\mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Add}^+)$. Then we can form the object $\mathbf{Coind}(\ell^+(\mathbf{A}^+))$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathbf{Add}_\infty^+)$. In order to understand this object we calculate the evaluation $\mathbf{Coind}(\ell^+(\mathbf{A}^+))^{(H)}$ for a subgroup H of G . As a first step we have

$$\mathbf{Coind}(\ell^+(\mathbf{A}^+))^{(H)} \simeq \lim_{BH} \ell^+(\mathbf{A}^+) .$$

We now analyse the right-hand side. We consider the following marked additive category $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{+,H}$:

- (1) The objects of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{+,H}$ are pairs (M, ρ) , where M is an object of \mathbf{A}^+ and $\rho = (\rho(h))_{h \in H}$ is a collection of marked isomorphisms $\rho(h) : M \rightarrow h(M)$ such that $h(\rho(h')) \circ \rho(h) = \rho(h'h)$ for all pairs of elements h', h in H .
- (2) A morphism $A : (M, \rho) \rightarrow (M', \rho')$ in $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{+,H}$ is a morphism $A : M \rightarrow M'$ in \mathbf{A}^+ such that $h(A) \circ \rho(h) = \rho'(h) \circ A$ for every h in H . The composition of morphisms is induced by the composition in \mathbf{A}^+ .
- (3) The marked isomorphisms in $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{+,H}$ are the isomorphisms given by marked isomorphisms in \mathbf{A}^+ .

In [BEKWb] it is shown that we have a natural equivalence

$$\lim_{BH} \ell^+(\mathbf{A}^+) \simeq \ell^+(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{+,H}) .$$

As a final result we get the equivalence

$$\mathbf{Coind}(\ell^+(\mathbf{A}^+))^{(H)} \simeq \ell^+(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{+,H}) . \quad (3.3)$$

It follows from (3.2), the universal property of the localization ℓ , and Lemma 2.15 that we have a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Add}^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & \mathbf{Add} & \xrightarrow{\text{UK}} & \mathcal{M}_{loc} \\ & \searrow \ell^+ & & \searrow \ell & \nearrow \text{UK}_\infty \\ & & \mathbf{Add}_\infty^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_\infty} & \mathbf{Add}_\infty \end{array} . \quad (3.4)$$

We refine the functor $\mathbf{V}_\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathbf{Add}$ described in Section 3.2 to a functor $\mathbf{V}_\mathbf{A}^+ : \mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathbf{Add}^+$ by defining

$$\mathbf{V}_\mathbf{A}^+(X) := (\mathbf{V}_\mathbf{A}(X), M) ,$$

where the set of marked isomorphisms M is the set of $\text{diag}(X)$ -controlled isomorphisms in $\mathbf{V}_\mathbf{A}(X)$.

Definition 3.14. We define the functor

$$\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}} : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathcal{M}_{loc})$$

as the composition

$$\begin{aligned} G\mathbf{BornCoarse} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{BornCoarse}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^+} \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Add}^+) \\ &\xrightarrow{\ell^+} \mathbf{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^+) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Coind}} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}^+) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{\infty}} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathbf{Add}_{\infty}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{UK}_{\infty}} \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Orb}(G)^{op}, \mathcal{M}_{loc}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.15. $\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is an equivariant coarse homology theory.

Proof. Since the conditions listed in Definition 3.1 concern equivalences or colimits and the collection of evaluations $\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^{(H)} : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{loc}$ detect equivalences and colimits it suffices to show that

$$\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^{(H)} : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{loc}$$

are equivariant coarse homology theories for all subgroups H of G .

We now note that if $E : H\mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a H -equivariant \mathcal{C} -valued coarse homology theory, then $E \circ \mathrm{Res}_H^G : G\mathbf{BornCoarse} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a G -equivariant \mathcal{C} -valued coarse homology theory, where Res_H^G denotes the functor which restricts the action from G to H .

The theorem now follows from the following Lemma and Theorem 3.5 (applied to the subgroups H in place of G). \square

Lemma 3.16. We have an equivalence of functors from $G\mathbf{BornCoarse}$ to \mathcal{M}_{loc}

$$\underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^{(H)} \simeq \underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^H \circ \mathrm{Res}_H^G .$$

Proof. Let X be a G -bornological coarse space. By (3.3) we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Coind}(\ell^+(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^+(X)))^{(H)} = \ell^+(\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X))^H) .$$

Hence we have an equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^{(H)}(X) &\simeq \mathrm{UK}_{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_{\infty}(\ell^+(\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X))^H))) \\ &\stackrel{(3.4)}{\simeq} \mathrm{UK}(\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X))^H)) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By a comparison of the explicit description of $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X))^H$ given in Example 3.13 with the definition of $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^H(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X))$ given in Section 3.2, and using that $\rho(g)$ is $\mathbf{diag}(X)$ -controlled (i.e., marked), one now gets a canonical isomorphism (actually an equality) of additive categories

$$\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X))^H) \cong \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}^H(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X)) .$$

In view of Definition 3.4 we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{UK}(\mathcal{F}(\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{A}}^+(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X))^H)) \simeq \underline{\mathrm{UK}}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^H(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X)) .$$

Together with (3.5) it yields the equivalence

$$\underline{\mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^{(H)}}(X) \simeq \mathrm{UK}\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{A}}^H(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(X)) .$$

as desired. \square

References

- [BS01] BALMER, PAUL; SCHLICHTING, MARCO. Idempotent completion of triangulated categories. *J. Algebra*, **236** (2001), no. 2, 819–834. [MR1813503](#), [Zbl 0977.18009](#), doi: [10.1006/jabr.2000.8529](#). 13
- [BT08] BALMER, PAUL; TABUADA, GONALO. The fundamental isomorphism conjecture via non-commutative motives. [arXiv:0810.2099](#). 2
- [BFJR04] BARTELS, ARTHUR; FARRELL, TOM; JONES, LOWELL; REICH, HOLGER. On the isomorphism conjecture in algebraic K -theory. *Topology* **43** (2004), no.1, 157–213. [MR2030590](#), [Zbl 1036.19003](#), [arXiv:math/0108139](#), doi: [10.1016/S0040-9383\(03\)00032-6](#). 2, 4
- [BLR08] BARTELS, ARTHUR; LÜCK, WOLFGANG; REICH, HOLGER. The K -theoretic Farrell–Jones conjecture for hyperbolic groups. *Invent. Math.* **172** (2008), no. 1, 29–70. [MR2385666](#), [Zbl 1143.19003](#), [arXiv:math/0701434](#), doi: [10.1007/s00222-007-0093-7](#). 2, 4
- [BGT13] BLUMBERG, ANDREW J.; GEPNER, DAVID; TABUADA, GONALO. A universal characterization of higher algebraic K -theory. *Geom. Topol.*, **17** (2013), no. 2, 733–838. [MR3070515](#), [Zbl 1267.19001](#), [arXiv:1001.2282](#), doi: [10.2140/gt.2013.17.733](#). 2, 3, 5, 6, 14, 15
- [BE16] BUNKE, ULRICH; ENGEL, ALEXANDER. Homotopy theory with bornological coarse spaces. [arXiv:1607.03657v1](#). 17, 18
- [BEKWa] BUNKE, ULRICH; ENGEL, ALEXANDER; KASPROWSKI, DANIEL; WINGES, CHRISTOPH. Equivariant coarse homotopy theory and coarse algebraic K -homology. (To appear in *Contemporary Mathematics*). [arXiv:1710.04935](#). 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22
- [BEKWb] BUNKE, ULRICH; ENGEL, ALEXANDER; KASPROWSKI, DANIEL; WINGES, CHRISTOPH. Homotopy theory with marked additive categories. [arXiv:1811.04007](#). 24
- [BEKWc] BUNKE, ULRICH; ENGEL, ALEXANDER; KASPROWSKI, DANIEL; WINGES, CHRISTOPH. Injectivity results for coarse homology theories. [arXiv:1809.11079](#). 4
- [CP97] CÁRDENAS, MANUEL; PEDERSEN, ERIK K. On the Karoubi filtration of a category. *K-Theory*, **12** (1997), no. 2, 165–191. [MR1469141](#), [Zbl 0903.18005](#), doi: [10.1023/A:1007726201728](#). 8, 14
- [Car95] CARLSSON, GUNNAR. On the algebraic K -theory of infinite product categories. *K-Theory*, **9** (1995), no. 4, 305–322. [MR1351941](#), [Zbl 0829.18005](#), doi: [10.1007/BF00961467](#). 4
- [CP95] CARLSSON, GUNNAR; PEDERSEN, ERIK K. Controlled algebra and the Novikov conjectures for K - and L -theory. *Topology*, **34** (1995), no. 3, 731–758. [MR1341817](#), [Zbl 0838.55004](#), doi: [10.1016/0040-9383\(94\)00033-H](#). 2
- [DL98] DAVIS, JAMES F.; LÜCK, WOLFGANG. Spaces over a category and assembly maps in isomorphism conjectures in K - and L -Theory. *K-Theory*, **15** (1998), no. 3, 201–252. [MR1659969](#), [Zbl 0921.19003](#), doi: [10.1023/A:1007784106877](#). 4
- [Kas15] KASPROWSKI, DANIEL. On the K -theory of groups with finite decomposition complexity. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, **110** (2015), no. 3, 565–592. [MR3342098](#), [Zbl 1349.19001](#), [arXiv:1304.4263](#), doi: [10.1112/plms/pdu062](#). 14

- [KW] KASPROWSKI, DANIEL; WINGES, CHRISTOPH. Shortening binary complexes and commutativity of K -theory with infinite products. [arXiv:1705.09116](#). 4
- [KW19] KASPROWSKI, DANIEL; WINGES, CHRISTOPH. Algebraic K -theory of stable ∞ -categories via binary complexes. *J. Topol.*, **12** (2019), no. 2, 442–462. [Zbl 07094319](#), doi: [10.1112/topo.12093](#). 4
- [Lur09] LURIE, JACOB. Higher topos theory. Annals of Mathematics Studies. *Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ*, 2009. xviii+925 pp. ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9. [MR2522659](#), [Zbl 1175.18001](#), [arXiv:math/0608040](#), doi: [10.1515/9781400830558](#). 9, 10
- [Lur17] LURIE, JACOB. Higher algebra. Preprint, 2017.
Available at: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>. 11, 12
- [PW85] PEDERSEN, ERIK K.; WEIBEL, CHARLES A. A nonconnective delooping of algebraic K -theory. *Algebraic and geometric topology* (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983), 166–181, Lecture Notes in Math., 1126, *Springer, Berlin*, 1985. [MR0802790](#), [Zbl 0591.55002](#), doi: [10.1007/BFb0074443](#). 2, 8, 15
- [Sch04] SCHLICHTING, MARCO. Delooping the K -theory of exact categories. *Topology* **43** (2004), no. 5, 1089–1103. [MR2079996](#), [Zbl 1059.18007](#), doi: [10.1016/j.top.2004.01.005](#). 14
- [Sch06] SCHLICHTING, MARCO. Negative K -theory of derived categories. *Math. Z.*, **253** (2006), no. 1, 97–134. [MR2206639](#), [Zbl 1090.19002](#), doi: [10.1007/s00209-005-0889-3](#). 8, 16
- [TT90] THOMASON, ROBERT W.; TROBAUGH, THOMAS. Higher algebraic K -theory of schemes and of derived categories. *The Grothendieck Festschrift. III*. 247–435, *Progr. Math.*, 88, *Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA*, 1990. [MR1106918](#), [Zbl 0731.14001](#), doi: [10.1007/978-0-8176-4576-2](#). 15
- [Wei99] WEISS, MICHAEL. Hammock localization in Waldhausen categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **138** (1999), no. 2, 185–195. [MR1689629](#), [Zbl 0938.18007](#), doi: [10.1016/S0022-4049\(98\)00009-7](#). 9, 10

(Ulrich Bunke) FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, 93040 REGENSBURG, GERMANY
ulrich.bunke@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

(Denis-Charles Cisinski) FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, 93040 REGENSBURG, GERMANY
denis-charles.cisinski@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2020/26-1.html>.