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#### Abstract

A multiplicative Hankel operator is an operator with matrix representation $M(\alpha)=\{\alpha(n m)\}_{n, m=1}^{\infty}$, where $\alpha$ is the generating sequence of $M(\alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ denote the spaces of bounded and compact multiplicative Hankel operators, respectively. In this note it is shown that the distance from an operator $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$ to the compact operators is minimized by a nonunique compact multiplicative Hankel operator $N(\beta) \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$. Intimately connected with this result, it is then proven that the bidual of $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \simeq \mathcal{M}$. It follows that $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is an M -ideal in $\mathcal{M}$. The dual space $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$ is isometrically isomorphic to a projective tensor product with respect to Dirichlet convolution. The stated results are also valid for small Hankel operators on the Hardy space $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{d}\right)$ of a finite polydisk.
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## 1. Introduction

Given a sequence $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we consider the corresponding multiplicative Hankel operator $m=M(\alpha): \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$, defined by

$$
\langle M(\alpha) a, b\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}=\sum_{n, m=1}^{\infty} a(n) \overline{b(m)} \alpha(n m), \quad a, b \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})
$$

Initially, we consider this equality only for finite sequences $a$ and $b$. It defines a bounded operator $M(\alpha): \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$, with matrix representation $\{\alpha(n m)\}_{n, m=1}^{\infty}$ in the standard basis of $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$, if and only if there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|\langle M(\alpha) a, b\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\right| \leq C\|a\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\|b\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}, \quad a, b \text { finite sequences. }
$$
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Multiplicative Hankel operators are also known as Helson matrices, having been introduced by Helson in [14, 15].

There are two common alternative interpretations. One is in terms of Dirichlet series. Let $\mathcal{H}^{2}$ be the Hardy space of Dirichlet series, the Hilbert space with $\left(n^{-s}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as a basis. Elements $f \in \mathcal{H}^{2}$ are holomorphic functions in the half-plane $\{s \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} s>1 / 2\}$. If

$$
f(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) n^{-s}, g(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{b(n)} n^{-s}, \rho(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha(n)} n^{-s},
$$

then

$$
\langle M(\alpha) a, b\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}=\langle f g, \rho\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{2}}
$$

Hence there is an isometric correspondence between Helson matrices and Hankel operators on $\mathcal{H}^{2}$, since the forms associated with the latter are precisely of the type $(f, g) \mapsto\langle f g, \rho\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{2}}$.

The second interpretation is in terms of the Hardy space of the infinite polytorus $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}\right)$, the Hilbert space with basis $\left(z^{\kappa}\right)_{\kappa}$, where $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots\right)$, and $\kappa=\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \ldots\right)$ runs through the countably infinite, but finitely supported, multi-indices. Identify each integer $n$ with a multi-index $\kappa$ of this type through the factorization of $n$ into the primes $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots$,

$$
n \longleftrightarrow \kappa \text { if and only if } n=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{j}^{\kappa_{j}} .
$$

Under this equivalence, multiplicative Hankel operators correspond to additive Hankel operators on a countably infinite number of variables,

$$
\langle M(\alpha) a, b\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}=\sum_{\kappa, \kappa^{\prime}} a(\kappa) \overline{b\left(\kappa^{\prime}\right)} \alpha\left(\kappa+\kappa^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Hence the multiplicative Hankel operators correspond isometrically to small Hankel operators on $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{\infty}\right)$, since the matrix representations of the latter are of the form $\left\{\alpha\left(\kappa+\kappa^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{\kappa, \kappa^{\prime}}$. See $[14,15]$ for details.

In particular, the Helson matrices generalize the small Hankel operators on the Hardy space of any finite polytorus $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), d<\infty$. In fact, the results in this note have analogous statements for small Hankel operators on $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$; every proof given remains valid verbatim after restricting the number of prime factors, that is, the number of variables.

The first result is the following. We denote by $\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$, respectively, the spaces of bounded and compact operators on $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M(\alpha)$ be a bounded multiplicative Hankel operator. Then there exists a compact multiplicative Hankel operator $N(\beta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|M(\alpha)-N(\beta)\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}=\inf \left\{\|M(\alpha)-K\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}: K \in \mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The minimizer $N(\beta)$ is never unique, unless $M(\alpha)$ is compact.

The quantity on the right-hand side of (1) is known as the essential norm of $M(\alpha)$. For classical Hankel operators on $H^{2}(\mathbb{T})$, this result was proven by Axler, Berg, Jewell, and Shields in [6], and can be viewed as a limiting case of the theory of Adamjan, Arov, and Krein [1]. The demonstration of Theorem 1.1 requires only a minor modification of the arguments in [6], the main point being that a characterization of the class of bounded multiplicative Hankel operators is not necessary for the proof.

On $H^{2}(\mathbb{T})$, Nehari's theorem [21] states that the class of bounded Hankel operators can be isometrically identified with $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}) / H^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$, where $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ and $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ denote the spaces of bounded and bounded analytic functions on $\mathbb{T}$, respectively. By Hartman's theorem [13], the class of compact Hankel operators is isometrically isomorphic to $\left(H^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})+C(\mathbb{T})\right) / H^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$, where $C(\mathbb{T})$ denotes the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}$. Note that the spaces $L^{\infty}, H^{\infty}$, and $H^{\infty}+C$ are all algebras, as proven by Sarason [26].

Luecking [20] observed, through a very illustrative argument relying on function algebra techniques, that the compact Hankel operators form an M-ideal in the space of bounded Hankel operators. The concept of an Mideal will be defined shortly, but let us note for now that M-ideality implies proximinality; the distance from a bounded Hankel operator to the compact Hankel operators has a minimizer. Thus Luecking reproved some of the results of [6]. Since

$$
\left(\left(H^{\infty}+C\right) / H^{\infty}\right)^{* *} \simeq L^{\infty} / H^{\infty},
$$

it follows that the bidual of the space of compact Hankel operators is isometrically isomorphic to the space of bounded Hankel operators. Spaces which are M-ideals in their biduals are said to be M-embedded.

The multiplicative Hankel operators, on the other hand, have thus far resisted all attempts to characterize their boundedness. It has been shown that a Nehari-type theorem cannot exist [22], and positive results only exist in special cases [14, 24]. In spite of this, the main theorem shows that Luecking's result holds for multiplicative Hankel operators.

Let

$$
\mathcal{M}_{0}=\left\{m=M(\alpha): M(\alpha): \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \text { compact }\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left\{m=M(\alpha): M(\alpha): \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \text { bounded }\right\}
$$

Equipped with the operator norm, $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$, respectively. For a Banach space $Y$, we denote by $\iota_{Y}$ the canonical embedding $\iota_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y^{* *}$,

$$
\iota_{Y} y\left(y^{*}\right)=y^{*}(y), \quad y \in Y, y^{*} \in Y^{*}
$$

Theorem 1.2. There is a unique isometric isomorphism $U: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $U \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m=m$ for every $m \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is an $M$-ideal in $\mathcal{M}$.

Remark. As pointed out earlier, Theorem 1.2 is also true when stated for small Hankel operators on $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), d<\infty$. The biduality has in this case been demonstrated isomorphically in [18], with an argument based on the non-isometric Nehari-type theorems proven in [10, 17].

The M-ideal property means the following: there is an (onto) projection $L: \mathcal{M}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\left\|m^{*}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}^{*}}=\left\|L m^{*}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}^{*}}+\left\|m^{*}-L m^{*}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}^{*}}, \quad m^{*} \in \mathcal{M}^{*}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{\perp}$ denotes the space of functionals $m^{*} \in \mathcal{M}^{*}$ which annihilate $\mathcal{M}_{0}$. M-ideals were introduced by Alfsen and Effros [3] as a Banach space analogue of closed two-sided ideals in $C^{*}$-algebras. Very loosely speaking, the fact that $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is an M -ideal in $\mathcal{M}$ implies that the norm of $\mathcal{M}$ resembles a maximum norm and, in this analogy, that $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is the subspace of elements vanishing at infinity. The book [12] comprehensively treats M-structure theory and its applications.

We will make use of the following consequences of Theorem 1.2. Proximinality of $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ was already mentioned, but the M -ideal property also implies that the minimizer is never unique [16]. It also ensures that $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$ is a strongly unique predual of $\mathcal{M}$ [12, Proposition III.2.10]. This means that every isometric isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $Y^{*}, Y$ a Banach space, is weak*-weak* continuous, that is, arises as the adjoint of an isometric isomorphism of $Y$ onto $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$ has infinitely many different preduals [11, Theoreme 27].

The predual of $\mathcal{M}$ is well known to have an almost tautological characterization as a projective tensor product with respect to Dirichlet convolution,

$$
\mathcal{X}=\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \hat{\star} \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) .
$$

The space $\mathcal{X}$ is also referred to as a weak product space. We defer the precise definition to the next section - after establishing the main theorems, we essentially show, following [25], that all reasonable definitions of $\mathcal{X}$ coincide.

Theorem 1.3. There is an isometric isomorphism $L: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$ such that $L^{*} U^{-1}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ is the canonical isometric isomorphism of $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{X}^{*}$, where $U: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the isometric isomorphism of Theorem 1.2.

Informally stated, $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*} \simeq \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}^{*} \simeq \mathcal{M}$. Theorem 1.3 follows at once from Theorem 1.2 and the uniqueness of the predual of $\mathcal{M}$, but we also supply a direct proof. While the duality $\mathcal{X}^{*} \simeq \mathcal{M}$ is a rephrasing of the definition of $\mathcal{M}$, it is difficult to identify a common approach to dualities of the type $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*} \simeq \mathcal{X}$ in the existing literature. Often, the latter duality is deduced (isomorphically) via a concrete description of $\mathcal{M}$. For a small selection of relevant examples, see $[4,8,12,18,19,23,28]$.

The idea behind this note is that the direct view of $\mathcal{M}$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$ already provides sufficient information to prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3. In this direction, $\mathrm{Wu}[28]$ worked with an embedding into the space
of bounded operators to deduce duality results for certain Hankel-type forms on Dirichlet spaces.

The proofs of the results only have two main ingredients. The first is a device to approximate elements of $\mathcal{M}$ by elements of $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ (Lemma 2.1). Such an approximation property is necessary, because if $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \simeq \mathcal{M}$, then the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is weak* dense in the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}$. The second ingredient is an inclusion of $\mathcal{M}$ into a reflexive space; in our case, $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. Analogous theorems could be proven for many other linear spaces of bounded and compact operators using the same technique.

## 2. Results

For a sequence $a$ and $0<r<1$, let

$$
D_{r} a(n)=r^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \kappa_{j}} a(n), \text { where } n=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{j}^{\kappa_{j}} .
$$

Note that

$$
\sum_{\kappa} r^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \kappa_{j}=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-r^{2 j}}<\infty .
$$

Hence it follows by the dominated convergence theorem that $D_{r}: \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow$ $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ is a compact operator. Furthermore, $D_{r}$ is self-adjoint and contractive, $\left\|D_{r}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)} \leq 1$. The dominated convergence theorem also implies that $D_{r} \rightarrow \operatorname{id}_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}$ in the strong operator topology (SOT) as $r \rightarrow 1$, that is, $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} D_{r} a=a$ in $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$, for every $a \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. A study of the operators $D_{r}$ in the context of Hardy spaces of the infinite polytorus can be found in [2].

The Dirichlet convolution of two sequences $a$ and $b$ is the new sequence $a \star b$ given by

$$
(a \star b)(n)=\sum_{k \mid n} a(k) \overline{b(n / k)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

If $a$ and $b$ are two finite sequences, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle M(\alpha) a, b\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}=(\alpha, a \star b), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(a, b)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) b(n)$ denotes the bilinear pairing between $a, b \in$ $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. Note also that, for $0<r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{r}(a \star b)=D_{r} a \star D_{r} b . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following simple lemma is key.
Lemma 2.1. Let $M(\alpha)$ be a bounded multiplicative Hankel operator, $M(\alpha) \in$ $\mathcal{M}$. For $0<r<1$, let $\alpha_{r}=D_{r} \alpha$. Then $M_{\alpha_{r}} \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$,

$$
\left\|M_{\alpha_{r}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)} \leq\left\|M_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}
$$

and $M_{\alpha_{r}} \rightarrow M_{\alpha}$ and $M_{\alpha_{r}}^{*} \rightarrow M_{\alpha}^{*}$ SOT as $r \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. By (2) and (3), it holds for finite sequences $a$ and $b$ that

$$
\left\langle M\left(\alpha_{r}\right) a, b\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}=\left\langle M_{\alpha} D_{r} a, D_{r} b\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})} .
$$

Hence $M_{\alpha_{r}}=D_{r} M_{\alpha} D_{r}$. We conclude that $M_{\alpha_{r}}$ is compact, $\left\|M_{\alpha_{r}}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)} \leq$ $\left\|M_{\alpha}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}$, and $M_{\alpha_{r}} \rightarrow M_{\alpha}$ SOT as $r \rightarrow 1$. Similarly, $M_{\alpha_{r}}^{*}=M_{\bar{\alpha}_{r}} \rightarrow$ $M_{\bar{\alpha}}=M_{\alpha}^{*}$ SOT as $r \rightarrow 1$.

The following is a recognizable consequence, cf. [27, Theorem 1]. Note that if $S_{n}$ and $T_{n}$ are operators such that $S_{n} \rightarrow S$ and $T_{n} \rightarrow T$ SOT, and if $C$ is a compact operator, then $S_{n} C T_{n}^{*} \rightarrow S C T^{*}$ in operator norm.

Proposition 2.2. Let $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left\|M\left(\alpha_{r}\right)-M(\alpha)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}=0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If (4) holds, then $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$, since $M\left(\alpha_{r}\right)$ is compact for every $0<r<1$. If $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$, then (4) holds, since $M\left(\alpha_{r}\right)=D_{r} M(\alpha) D_{r}=$ $D_{r} M(\alpha) D_{r}^{*}$ and $D_{r} \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})} \mathrm{SOT}$ as $r \rightarrow 1$.

Recall next the main tool from [6].
Theorem $2.3([6])$. Let $T: \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ be a non-compact operator and $\left(T_{n}\right)$ a sequence of compact operators such that $T_{n} \rightarrow T$ SOT and $T_{n}^{*} \rightarrow T^{*}$ SOT. Then there exists a sequence ( $c_{n}$ ) of non-negative real numbers such that $\sum_{n} c_{n}=1$ for which the compact operator

$$
J=\sum_{n} c_{n} T_{n}
$$

satisfies

$$
\|T-J\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}=\inf \left\{\|T-K\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}: K \in \mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)\right\}
$$

Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 immediately yield the existence part of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $M(\alpha)$ be a bounded multiplicative Hankel operator and let $\left(r_{k}\right)$ be a sequence such that $0<r_{k}<1$ and $r_{k} \rightarrow 1$. Then $M(\alpha)$ has a best compact approximant of the form

$$
N=\sum_{k} c_{k} M\left(\alpha_{r_{k}}\right) .
$$

But then $N=N(\beta)$ is a multiplicative Hankel operator, $\beta=\sum_{k} c_{k} \alpha_{r_{k}}$.
The non-uniqueness of $N(\beta)$ follows immediately once we have established Theorem 1.2, by general M-ideal results [16]. In fact, if $M(\alpha) \notin \mathcal{M}_{0}$, then the set of minimizers $N(\beta)$ is so large that it spans $\mathcal{M}_{0}$.

Note that

$$
\|M(\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)} \geq \varlimsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left\|(\alpha(n))_{n=1}^{N}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}} \sum_{n=1}^{N}|\alpha(n)|^{2}=\|\alpha\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}
$$

Therefore the inclusion $I: \mathcal{M}_{0} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ is a contractive operator, $I m=$ $I(M(\alpha))=\alpha$. We can state Theorem 1.2 slightly more precisely in terms of I.

Theorem 1.2. Consider the bitranspose $U=I^{* *}: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. Then $U \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}=\mathcal{M}$, viewing $\mathcal{M}$ as a (non-closed) subspace of $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. Furthermore,

$$
U \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m=m, \quad m \in \mathcal{M}_{0}
$$

and

$$
\left\|U m^{* *}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}=\left\|m^{* *}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}}, \quad m^{* *} \in \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}
$$

If $V: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is another isometric isomorphism such that $V \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m=m$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$, then $V=U$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is an $M$-ideal in $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. We identify $\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)^{*} \simeq \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ linearly through the pairing $(a, b)=$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) b(n)$ between $a, b \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. With this convention, $I^{*}: \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$ is also contractive, and

$$
I^{*} a(m)=(\alpha, a), \quad a \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}), m=M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_{0}
$$

Since $I$ is injective, $I^{*}$ has dense range. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$ is separable. Furthermore, $I^{* *}: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ is injective. By the reflexivity of $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$, we have that $I^{* *} \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}}=I$, since

$$
\left(I^{* *} \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m, a\right)=\iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m\left(I^{*} a\right)=(\alpha, a)=(I m, a)
$$

for every $m=M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$ and $a \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. The interpretation, viewing $\mathcal{M}$ as a non-closed subspace of $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$, is that $I^{* *} \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m=m$, for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$.

Consider any $m^{* *} \in \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$, and let $\alpha=I^{* *} m^{* *} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$ is separable, the weak ${ }^{*}$ topology of the unit ball $B_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$ is metrizable. As is the case for every Banach space, $\iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}}\left(B_{\mathcal{M}_{0}}\right)$ is weak ${ }^{*}$ dense in $B_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}}$. Hence there is a sequence $\left(m_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ such that $\iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m_{n} \rightarrow m^{* *}$ weak ${ }^{*}$ and $\left\|m_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)} \leq\left\|m^{* *}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}}$. Suppose that $m_{n}=M\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ and let $a, b \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ be two finite sequences. Then, since $\iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m_{n} \rightarrow m^{* *}$ weak*,

$$
\left\langle M\left(\alpha_{n}\right) a, b\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}=\left(\alpha_{n}, a \star b\right)=I^{*}(a \star b)\left(m_{n}\right) \rightarrow m^{* *}\left(I^{*}(a \star b)\right)=(\alpha, a \star b)
$$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\langle M(\alpha) a, b\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\right| & =|(\alpha, a \star b)| \leq \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|m_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}\|a\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\|b\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})} \\
& \leq\left\|m^{* *}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}}\|a\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\|b\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a, b$ were arbitrary finite sequences, it follows that $M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$
\|M(\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)} \leq\left\|m^{* *}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}}
$$

Since $\alpha=I^{* *} m^{* *}$ this proves that $I^{* *}$ maps $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$ contractively into $\mathcal{M}$.
Conversely, suppose that $m=M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$. By Lemma 2.1, for $0<r<1$, $M\left(\alpha_{r}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{0},\left\|M\left(\alpha_{r}\right)\right\| \leq\|M(\alpha)\|$, and $\alpha_{r} \rightarrow \alpha$ in $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ as $r \rightarrow 1$. Define $m^{* *} \in \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{* *}\left(I^{*} a\right):=(\alpha, a)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left(\alpha_{r}, a\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} I^{*} a\left(M\left(\alpha_{r}\right)\right), \quad a \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This specifies an element $m^{* *} \in \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$ since $I^{*}$ has dense range in $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$ and

$$
\left|m^{* *}\left(I^{*} a\right)\right| \leq \varlimsup_{r \rightarrow 1}\left\|M\left(\alpha_{r}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}\left\|I^{*} a\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}} \leq\|M(\alpha)\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}\left\|I^{*} a\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}}
$$

From this inequality we also see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|m^{* *}\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}} \leq\|m\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, since

$$
\left(I^{* *} m^{* *}, a\right)=m^{* *}\left(I^{*} a\right)=(\alpha, a), \quad a \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})
$$

we have that $I^{* *} m^{* *}=\alpha$. Hence $I^{* *}$ maps $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$ bijectively and contractively onto $\mathcal{M}$. By (6), $I^{* *}: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is also expansive, and hence it is an isometric isomorphism.

Recall that $\mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$ is an M-ideal in $\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$ [9] - indeed, $\mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$ is a two-sided closed ideal in $\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$. It is well known that there is an isometric isomorphism $E: \mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$ such that $E \iota_{\mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)} K=K$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$. Thus $\mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)$ is M-embedded. Since $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{K}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right), \mathcal{M}_{0}$ is also M -embedded [12, Theorem III.1.6]. Hence, since we have shown that $I^{* *}: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is an isometric isomorphism for which $I^{* *} \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m=m$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is an M-ideal in $\mathcal{M}$.

Finally, if $V: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is another isometric isomorphism such that $V \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m=m, m \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$, then $F=V^{-1} I^{* *}: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$ is an isometric isomorphism such that $F \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}}=\iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}}$. However, since $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is M-embedded, $F$ must be obtained as the bitranspose, $F=G^{* *}$, of an isometric isomorphism $G: \mathcal{M}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}$ [12, Proposition III.2.2]. But then $G=\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{0}}$, since

$$
m^{*}(G m)=G^{*} m^{*}(m)=F \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m\left(m^{*}\right)=m^{*}(m), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}_{0}, m^{*} \in \mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}
$$

Hence $F=\operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}}$ and so $V=I^{* *}$.
The predual of a space of Hankel operators usually has an abstract description as a projective tensor product [5, 7, 10]. In the present context, let

$$
X=\left\{c: c=\sum_{\text {finite }} a_{k} \star b_{k}, a_{k}, b_{k} \text { finite sequences }\right\}
$$

and equip $X$ with the norm

$$
\|c\|_{X}=\inf \sum_{\text {finite }}\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite representations of $c$. By writing $c=c \star(1,0,0, \ldots)$ it is clear that $\|c\|_{X} \leq\|c\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}$ for $c \in X$.

We define the projective tensor product space $\mathcal{X}=\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \hat{\star} \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ with respect to Dirichlet convolution as the Banach space completion of $X$. It is essentially definition that $\mathcal{X}^{*} \simeq \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.4. For $m=M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$, let

$$
\operatorname{Jm}(c)=(\alpha, c), \quad c \in X
$$

Then Jm extends to a bounded functional on $\mathcal{X}$ for every $m \in \mathcal{M}$, and $J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ is an isometric isomorphism.
Proof. Let $m \in \mathcal{M}$. If $c \in X$ and $\varepsilon>0$, choose a representation $c=$ $\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k} \star b_{k}$, where $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ are finite sequences for every $k$, and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\|c\|_{X}+\varepsilon
$$

Then

$$
|\operatorname{Jm}(c)|=\left|\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\langle M(\alpha) a_{k}, b_{k}\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\right| \leq\|m\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}\left(\|c\|_{X}+\varepsilon\right)
$$

Hence $\|J m\|_{\mathcal{X}^{*}} \leq\|m\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}$. Choosing finite sequences $a$ and $b$ such that $\|a\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}=\|b\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}=1$ and $\langle M(\alpha) a, b\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}>\|m\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}-\varepsilon$, and letting $c=a \star b$ gives that

$$
\|m\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)}-\varepsilon<\|J m\|_{\mathcal{X}^{*}}\|c\|_{X} \leq\|J m\|_{\mathcal{X}^{*}}
$$

Hence $J$ is an isometry.
The inclusion of finite sequences into $X$ extends to a contractive map $E: \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Let $\ell \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$ and let $c \in X$. Then $\ell(c)=(\alpha, c)$, where $\alpha=E^{*} \ell \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. Then $m=M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}$, since $\ell \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$. Clearly $J m=\ell$ and thus $J$ is onto.

Theorem 1.3. For every $c \in X$, let

$$
L c(m)=(\alpha, c), \quad m=M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_{0} .
$$

Then $L$ extends to an isometric isomorphism $L: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$, and

$$
L^{*} U^{-1}=J: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}
$$

is the isometric isomorphism of Lemma 2.4. Here $U: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the isometric isomorphism of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. The quickest proof proceeds by noting that $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$ is a strongly unique predual of $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$, since $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is M -embedded. This implies that the isometric isomorphism $J U: \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{*}$ is the adjoint of an isometric isomorphism $E: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}, E^{*}=J U$. But then, for $c \in X$ and $m=M(\alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
E c(m) & =\iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m(E c)=E^{*} \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m(c)=J U \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} m(c)  \tag{7}\\
& =\operatorname{Jm}(c)=(\alpha, c)=L c(m)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $L=E$, and thus $L$ is an isometric isomorphism.
Alternatively, the weak*-weak* continuity of $J U$ can be proven by hand. $L$ clearly extends to a contractive operator $L: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}$. The computation (7) shows that $J U \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}}=L^{*} \iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}}$. Let $m^{* *} \in \mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$ and let $M(\alpha)=U m^{* *}$.

From (5) we deduce that $m_{r}^{* *}=\iota_{\mathcal{M}_{0}} M\left(\alpha_{r}\right) \rightarrow m^{* *}$ weak ${ }^{*}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{0}^{* *}$. Hence $L^{*} m_{r}^{* *} \rightarrow L^{*} m^{* *}$ weak ${ }^{*}$ in $\mathcal{X}^{*}$. On the other hand, for $c \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J U m^{* *}(c) & =(\alpha, c)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left(\alpha_{r}, c\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \operatorname{JUm}_{r}^{* *}(c) \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} L^{*} m_{r}^{* *}(c)=L^{*} m^{* *}(c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $J U=L^{*}$, and hence $L$ is an isometric isomorphism.
Remark. In the notation of Theorem 1.2, $I^{*} c=L c$ for $c \in X$. Theorem 1.3 hence completes the picture of Theorem 1.2 by giving an interpretation of the operator $I^{*}$.

Suppose that we had instead defined the projective tensor product space $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) \hat{\star} \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ as the sequence space

$$
\mathcal{Y}=\left\{c: c=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} \star b_{k}, a_{k}, b_{k} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}), \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\infty\right\},
$$

normed by

$$
\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}}=\inf \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}
$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of $c$. One would like to know that $\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{X}$. Indeed, it is not a priori clear that $\mathcal{X}$ is a sequence space; or if $\mathcal{X}$ is identifiable with a space of Dirichlet series, if considering multiplicative Hankel operators in that context. For $\mathcal{Y}$ these properties are immediate.

Lemma 2.5. $\mathcal{Y}$ is a Banach space.
Proof. Since $|(a \star b)(n)| \leq\|a\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\|b\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}$ it is clear that

$$
e_{n}(c)=c(n), \quad c \in \mathcal{Y},
$$

defines an element $e_{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{*}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}}=0$ if and only if $c=0$.

Suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}$ is an absolutely convergent series in $\mathcal{Y}$. Then there are double sequences $\left(a_{k, j}\right)$ and $\left(b_{k, j}\right)$ such that $c_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k, j} \star b_{k, j}$ for every $k$ and

$$
\sum_{k, j=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k, j}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k, j}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\infty
$$

Then $c=\sum_{k, j=1}^{\infty} a_{k, j} b_{k, j}$ is an element of $\mathcal{Y}$ and

$$
\left\|c-\sum_{k=1}^{N} c_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k, j}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k, j}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k}$ converges in $\mathcal{Y}$ to $c$. Thus $\mathcal{Y}$ is complete.

We now prove that $\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{X}$. The details are similar to those of [25], where projective tensor products of spaces of holomorphic functions were considered. Note that $X$ is contractively contained in $\mathcal{Y}$.

Proposition 2.6. The inclusion $V: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ extends to an isometric isomorphism $V: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.
Proof. We make the following preliminary observation. Since for every $0<$ $r<1$,

$$
D_{r}(a \star b)=D_{r} a \star D_{r} b, \quad\left\|D_{r}\right\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})\right)} \leq 1,
$$

$D_{r}$ defines a bounded operator $D_{r}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$,

$$
\left\|D_{r}\right\|_{B(\mathcal{X})} \leq 1
$$

Furthermore, since $D_{r} \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}$ SOT on $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, it follows that $\left\|D_{r} c-c\right\|_{X} \leq\left\|D_{r} c-c\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ for every $c \in X$. Hence $D_{r} \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{X}}$ SOT on $\mathcal{X}$ as $r \rightarrow 1$.

As in Lemma 2.5, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
e_{n}(c)=c(n), \quad c \in X,
$$

extends to a functional $e_{n} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$ with $\left\|e_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}^{*}} \leq 1$. We show now that ( $e_{n}$ ) is a complete sequence in $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ with respect to the weak* topology. Suppose that $c \in \mathcal{X}$ and that $e_{n}(c)=0$ for all $n$. Pick a sequence $\left(c_{k}\right)$ in $X$ such that $c_{k} \rightarrow c$ in $\mathcal{X}$. Then for fixed $r<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{r} c\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} & \leq \varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|D_{r}\left(c-c_{k}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}+\left\|D_{r} c_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}}\right) \\
& =\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|D_{r} c_{k}\right\|_{X} \leq \varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|D_{r} c_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c_{k} \rightarrow c$ in $\mathcal{X}$ and $e_{n} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$, we have that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{k}(n)=e_{n}(c)=0$ for every $n$. Furthermore, $\left|c_{k}(n)\right| \leq\left\|e_{n}\right\| \mathcal{X}^{*}\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{X} \leq\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{X}$ is uniformly bounded in $k$ and $n$. Hence it follows by the dominated convergence theorem that $\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|D_{r} c_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}=0$ and thus that $D_{r} c=0$. Since $D_{r} c \rightarrow c$ in $\mathcal{X}$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ we conclude that $c=0$. Therefore $\left(e_{n}\right)$ is complete.

Hence $\mathcal{X}$ is a space of sequences. More precisely, since every evaluation $e_{n}$ is a bounded functional on $\mathcal{Y}$ as well, the extension $V: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of the inclusion map is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V c=\left(e_{n}(c)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad c \in \mathcal{X} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The completeness of $\left(e_{n}\right)$ implies that $V$ is injective.
We next prove that $V$ is onto. The argument is precisely as in [25], but we include it for completeness. For a sequence $a$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a^{m}=(a(1), \ldots, a(m), 0, \ldots)$. Given $a \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$ and $\delta>0$, choose a sequence $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots\right)$ such that $\left\|a-a^{m_{k}}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})} \leq 2^{-k}$. Let $a_{k}=a^{m_{k+1}}-a^{m_{k}}$. Then, for sufficiently large $K$,

$$
a=a^{m_{K}}+\sum_{k=K}^{\infty}\left(a^{m_{k+1}}-a^{m_{k}}\right), \quad \sum_{k=K}^{\infty}\left\|a^{m_{k+1}}-a^{m_{k}}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\delta .
$$

Hence we can write $a=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}$, where each $a_{j}$ is a finite sequence and $\sum_{j}\left\|a_{j}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\|a\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}+\delta$.

Given $c \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, choose $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(b_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
c=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} \star b_{k}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\|c\| \mathcal{Y}+\varepsilon .
$$

For each $k$, write, as in the preceding paragraph, $a_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{k, j}, b_{k}=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{k, j}$, where each $a_{k, j}$ and $b_{k, j}$ is a finite sequence and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k, j}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}+\delta_{k}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\|b_{k, j}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}+\delta_{k} .
$$

Here the $\delta_{k}$ are chosen so that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}+\delta_{k}\right)\left(\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}+\delta_{k}\right)<\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}+\epsilon .
$$

Then $c=\sum_{k, j, l=1}^{\infty} a_{k, j} \star b_{k, l}$, and

$$
\sum_{k, j, l=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k, j}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k, l}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|a_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{k}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}+\epsilon<\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}}+2 \varepsilon
$$

Relabeling, we have a representation $c=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \star b_{n}$ where $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ are finite sequences and $\sum_{n}\left\|a_{n}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}\left\|b_{n}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<\|c\| y+2 \varepsilon$. Let $c_{N}=$ $\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{n} \star b_{n}$. Then $c_{N} \rightarrow c$ in $\mathcal{Y}$, and furthermore $\left(c_{N}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$, hence has a limit $\tilde{c}$ in $\mathcal{X}$. By continuity of the functionals $e_{n}$ on both $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{X}$, we find in view of (8) that $V \tilde{c}=c$. Hence $V$ is onto.

Furthermore, since $V$ is contractive,

$$
\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq\|\tilde{c}\|_{\mathcal{X}}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|c_{N}\right\|_{X}<\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}}+2 \varepsilon .
$$

We already showed that $V$ is injective, so that $\tilde{c}$ is uniquely defined by $c$. On the other hand, $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary. We conclude that $\|c\|_{\mathcal{Y}}=\|\tilde{c}\|_{\mathcal{X}}$. It follows that $V$ is an isometric isomorphism.
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