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#### Abstract

In this paper we characterize compact Hankel operators with conjugate holomorphic symbols on the Bergman space of bounded convex Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. We also characterize compactness of Hankel operators with conjugate holomorphic symbols on smooth bounded pseudoconvex complete Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
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## 1. Introduction

We assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is a bounded convex Reinhardt domain. We denote the Bergman space with the standard Lebesgue measure on $\Omega$ as $A^{2}(\Omega)$. Recall that the Bergman space $A^{2}(\Omega)$ is the space of holomorphic functions on $\Omega$ that are square integrable on $\Omega$ under the standard Lebesgue measure. The Bergman space is a closed subspace of $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Therefore there exists an orthogonal projection $P: L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow A^{2}(\Omega)$ called the Bergman projection. The Hankel operator with symbol $\phi$ is defined as $H_{\phi} g=(I-P)(\phi g)$ for all $g \in A^{2}(\Omega)$. If $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then $H_{\phi}$ is a bounded operator, however, the converse is not necessarily true. In one complex variable on the unit disk, Axler in [1] showed that the Hankel operator with conjugate holomorphic symbol $\phi$ is bounded if and only if $\bar{\phi}$ is in the Bloch space. There are unbounded, holomorphic functions in the Bloch space, as it only specifies a

[^0]growth rate of the derivative of the function near the boundary of the disk. Namely, an analytic function $\phi$ is in the Bloch space if
$$
\sup \left\{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right|: z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}<\infty
$$

Let $h \in A^{2}(\Omega)$ so that the Hankel operator $H_{\bar{h}}$ is compact on $A^{2}(\Omega)$. The Hankel operator with an $L^{2}(\Omega)$ symbol may only be densely defined, since the product of $L^{2}$ functions may not be in $L^{2}$. However, if compactness of the Hankel operator is also assumed, then the Hankel operator with an $L^{2}$ symbol is defined on all of $A^{2}(\Omega)$.

We wish to use the geometry of the boundary of $\Omega$ to give conditions on $h$. For example, if $\Omega$ is the bidisk, Le in [5, Corollary 1] shows that if $h \in A^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ such that $H_{\bar{h}}$ is compact on $A^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{2}\right)$ then $h \equiv c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. In one variable, Axler in [1] showed that $H_{\bar{g}}$ is compact on $A^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $g$ is in the little Bloch space. That is, $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|=0$. If the symbol $h$ is smooth up to the boundary of a smooth bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, Čučković and Şahutoğlu in [3] showed that Hankel operator $H_{h}$ is compact if and only if $h$ is holomorphic along analytic disks in the boundary of the domain.

In this paper we will use the following notation.

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{t}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=t\} \\
\mathbb{T}^{2}=S_{1} \times S_{1}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\} \times\{w \in \mathbb{C}:|w|=1\} \\
\mathbb{D}_{r}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<r\}
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $r, t>0$. If $r=1$ we write

$$
\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\} .
$$

We say $\Delta \subset b \Omega$ is an analytic disk if there exists a function

$$
h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right): \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b \Omega
$$

so that each component function is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}$ and the image

$$
h(\mathbb{D})=\Delta .
$$

An analytic disk is said to be trivial if it is degenerate (that is, $\Delta=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ for some constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ ).

In [2] we considered bounded convex Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. We characterized nontrivial analytic disks in the boundary of such domains.

We defined

$$
\Gamma_{\Omega}=\overline{\bigcup\{\phi(\mathbb{D}): \phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b \Omega \text { are holomorphic, nontrivial }\}}
$$

and showed that

$$
\Gamma_{\Omega}=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}
$$

where either $\Gamma_{1}=\emptyset$ or

$$
\Gamma_{1}=\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r_{1}} \times S_{s_{1}}
$$

and likewise either $\Gamma_{2}=\emptyset$ or

$$
\Gamma_{2}=S_{s_{2}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r_{2}}
$$

for some $r_{1}, r_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2}>0$.
Remark 1. We only consider domains in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ as opposed to domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$ because a full geometric characterization of analytic structure in higher dimensions is unknown.

The main results are the following theorems.
Theorem 1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be a bounded convex Reinhardt domain. Let $f \in A^{2}(\Omega)$ so that $H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact on $A^{2}(\Omega)$. If $\Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$, then $f$ is a function of $z_{2}$ alone. If $\Gamma_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then $f$ is a function of $z_{1}$ alone.

Corollary 1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be a bounded convex Reinhardt domain. Suppose $\Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Let $f \in A^{2}(\Omega)$ so that $H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact on $A^{2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $f \equiv c$.

Theorem 2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be a $C^{\infty}$-smooth bounded pseudoconvex complete Reinhardt domain. Let $f \in A^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact on $A^{2}(\Omega)$. Suppose either of the following conditions hold:
(1) There exists a holomorphic function $F=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right): \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b \Omega$ so that both $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are not identically constant.
(2) $\Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_{2} \neq \emptyset$.

Then $f \equiv c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

As a bit of notation to simplify the reading, we will use the multi-index notation. That is, we will write

$$
z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
z^{\alpha}=z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} z_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}
$$

and $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$. We say $\alpha=\beta$ if $\alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}=\beta_{2}$. If either $\alpha_{1} \neq \beta_{1}$ or $\alpha_{2} \neq \beta_{2}$ we say $\alpha \neq \beta$.

It is well known that for bounded complete Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, the monomials

$$
\left\{\frac{z^{\alpha}}{\left\|z^{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}: \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2}\right\}
$$

form an orthonormal basis for $A^{2}(\Omega)$.
We denote

$$
\frac{z^{\alpha}}{\left\|z^{\alpha}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}=e_{\alpha}(z)
$$

Definition 1. For $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, we define

$$
G_{\beta}:=\left\{\psi \in L^{2}(\Omega): \psi(\zeta z)=\zeta^{\beta} \psi(z) \text { a.e. } z \in \Omega \text { a.e } \zeta \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\} .
$$

Note this definition makes sense in the case $\Omega$ is a Reinhardt domain, and is the same as the definition of quasi-homogeneous functions in [5].

Lemma 1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be a bounded complete Reinhardt domain. $G_{\alpha}$ as defined above are closed subspaces of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and for $\alpha \neq \beta$,

$$
G_{\alpha} \perp G_{\beta} .
$$

Proof. The proof that $G_{\beta}$ is a closed subspace of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ is similar to [5]. Without loss of generality, suppose $\alpha_{1} \neq \beta_{1}$. Since $\Omega$ is a complete Reinhardt domain, one can 'slice' the domain similarly to [4]. That is,

$$
\Omega=\bigcup_{z_{2} \in H_{\Omega}}\left(\Delta_{\left|z_{2}\right|} \times\left\{z_{2}\right\}\right)
$$

where $H_{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a disk centered at 0 and

$$
\Delta_{\left|z_{2}\right|}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<r_{\left|z_{2}\right|}\right\}
$$

is a disk with radius depending on $\left|z_{2}\right|$. As we shall see, the proof relies on the radial symmetry of both $H_{\Omega}$ and $\Delta_{\left|z_{2}\right|}$.

Let $f \in G_{\alpha}, g \in G_{\beta}, z_{1}=r_{1} \zeta_{1}, z_{2}=r_{2} \zeta_{2}$ for $\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$, and $r_{1}, r_{2} \geq 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle f, g\rangle \\
& =\int_{\Omega} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d V(z) \\
& =\int_{H_{\Omega}} \int_{0 \leq r_{1} \leq r_{\left|z_{2}\right|}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \bar{\zeta}_{1}^{\beta_{1}} f\left(r_{1}, z_{2}\right) \overline{g\left(r_{1}, z_{2}\right)} r_{1} d \sigma\left(\zeta_{1}\right) d r_{1} d V\left(z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha_{1} \neq \beta_{1}$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \bar{\zeta}_{1}^{\beta_{1}} d \sigma\left(\zeta_{1}\right)=0
$$

This completes the proof.
In the case of a bounded convex Reinhardt domain in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, one can use the 'slicing' approach in [4] to expilictly compute $P\left(\bar{z}^{j} e_{n}\right)$.

Lemma 2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be a bounded complete Reinhardt domain. Then the Hankel operator with symbol $\bar{z}^{j} \bar{w}^{k}$ applied to the orthonormal basis vector $e_{n}$ has the following form:

$$
H_{\bar{z}^{j}} e_{n}(z)=\frac{\bar{z}^{j} z^{n}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|}
$$

if either $n_{1}-j_{1}<0$ or $n_{2}-j_{2}<0$. If $n_{1}-j_{1} \geq 0$ and $n_{2}-j_{2} \geq 0$ then we can express the Hankel operator applied to the standard orthonormal basis as

$$
H_{\bar{z}^{j}} e_{n}(z)=\frac{\bar{z}^{j} z^{n}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|}-\frac{z^{n-j}\left\|z^{n}\right\|}{\left\|z^{n-j}\right\|^{2}} .
$$

Furthermore, for any monomial

$$
\bar{w}^{j} w^{n} \in G_{n-j}
$$

the projection

$$
(I-P)\left(\bar{w}^{j} w^{n}\right) \in G_{n-j} .
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\bar{z}^{j} e_{n}\right)(z) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \bar{w}^{j} \frac{w^{n}}{\left\|w^{n}\right\|} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2}} \overline{e_{l}(w)} e_{l}(z) d V(z, w) \\
& =\int_{H_{\Omega}} \int_{w_{1} \in \Delta_{\left|w_{2}\right|}}{\overline{w_{1}}}^{j_{1}} \overline{w 2}^{j_{2}} \frac{w_{1}^{n_{1}} w_{2}^{n_{2}}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|} \\
& \quad \cdot \sum_{l_{1}, l_{2}=0}^{\infty} \overline{e_{l_{1}, l_{2}}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)} e_{l_{1}, l_{2}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) d A_{1}\left(w_{1}\right) d A_{2}\left(w_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l_{1}, l_{2}=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_{1}^{l_{1}} z_{2}^{l_{2}}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|\| \| z^{l} \|^{2}} \int_{H_{\Omega}}{\overline{w_{2}}}^{j_{2}+l_{2}} w_{2}^{n_{2}} \int_{w_{1} \in \Delta_{\left|w_{2}\right|}}{\overline{w_{1}}}^{j_{1}+l_{1}} w_{1}^{n_{1}} d A_{1}\left(w_{1}\right) d A_{2}\left(w_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Converting to polar coordinates and using the orthogonality of $\left\{e^{i n \theta}: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and the fact that

$$
\int_{w_{1} \in \Delta_{\left|w_{2}\right|}}{\overline{w_{1}}}^{j_{1}+l_{1}} w_{1}^{n_{1}} d A_{1}\left(w_{1}\right)
$$

is a radial function of $w_{2}$ and $H_{\Omega}$ is radially symmetric, we have the only nonzero term in the previous sum is when $n_{2}-j_{2}=l_{2}$ and $n_{1}-j_{1}=l_{1}$. Therefore, we have $P\left(\bar{w}^{j} e_{n}\right)(z)=0$ if $n_{2}-j_{2}<0$ or $n_{1}-j_{1}<0$. Otherwise, if $n_{2}-j_{2} \geq 0$ and $n_{1}-j_{1} \geq 0$, we have

$$
P\left(\bar{w}^{j} e_{n}\right)(z)=\frac{z^{n-j}\left\|z^{n}\right\|}{\left\|z^{n-j}\right\|^{2}} .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
H_{\bar{w}^{j}} e_{n}(z)=\frac{\bar{z}^{j} z^{n}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|}-\frac{z^{n-j}\left\|z^{n}\right\|}{\left\|z^{n-j}\right\|^{2}}
$$

if $n_{2}-k \geq 0$ and $n_{1}-j \geq 0$ otherwise

$$
H_{\bar{w}^{j}} e_{n}(z)=\frac{\bar{z}^{j} z^{n}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|}
$$

if either $n_{2}-k<0$ or $n_{1}-j<0$. This also shows that the subspaces $G_{\alpha}$ remain invariant under the projection $(I-P)$, at least for monomial symbols.
Lemma 3. For every $\alpha \geq 0$, the product Hankel operator

$$
H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}}^{*} H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}}: A^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow A^{2}(\Omega)
$$

is a diagonal operator with respect to the standard orthonormal basis

$$
\left\{e_{j}: j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2}\right\}
$$

Proof. Assume without loss of generality, $j \neq l$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}}^{*} H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}} e_{j}, e_{l}\right\rangle & =\left\langle H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}} e_{j}, H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}} e_{l}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle(I-P)\left(\bar{z}^{\alpha} e_{j}\right), \bar{z}^{\alpha} e_{l}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $\bar{z}^{\alpha} e_{j} \in G_{j-\alpha}, \bar{z}^{\alpha} e_{l} \in G_{l-\alpha}$. By Lemma 2,

$$
(I-P) \bar{z}^{\alpha} e_{j} \in G_{j-\alpha} .
$$

By Lemma $1, G_{\alpha}$ are mutually orthogonal. Therefore,

$$
\left\langle(I-P)\left(\bar{z}^{\alpha} e_{j}\right), \bar{z}^{\alpha} e_{l}\right\rangle=0
$$

unless $j=l$.
Using Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, let us compute the eigenvalues of

$$
H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}}^{*} H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}} .
$$

Let us first assume $n-\alpha \geq 0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle H_{z^{\alpha}}^{*} H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}} e_{n}, e_{n}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\frac{\bar{z}^{\alpha} z^{n}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|}-\frac{z^{n-\alpha}\left\|z^{n}\right\|}{\left\|z^{n-\alpha}\right\|^{2}}, \frac{\bar{z}^{\alpha} z^{n}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{\left\|\bar{z}^{\alpha} z^{n}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|^{2}}-\frac{\left\|z^{n}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|z^{n-\alpha}\right\|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $n-\alpha<0$, we have

$$
\left\langle H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}}^{*} H_{\bar{z}^{\alpha}} e_{n}, e_{n}\right\rangle=\frac{\left\|\bar{z}^{\alpha} z^{n}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|z^{n}\right\|^{2}} .
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Assume $f \in A^{2}(\Omega)$ and $H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact on $A^{2}(\Omega)$. Then, we can represent

$$
f=\sum_{j, k=0}^{\infty} c_{j, k, f} z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}
$$

almost everywhere (with respect to the Lebesgue volume measure on $\Omega$ ). Let

$$
\left\{e_{m}: m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2}\right\}
$$

be the standard orthonormal basis for $A^{2}(\Omega)$. Then

$$
\left\|H_{\bar{f}} e_{m}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $|m| \rightarrow \infty$. Using the mutual orthogonality of the subspaces $G_{\alpha}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|H_{\bar{f}} e_{m}\right\|^{2} & =\left\langle(I-P)\left(\bar{f} e_{m}\right), \bar{f} e_{m}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{j, k=0}^{\infty}(I-P)\left(\overline{c_{j, k, f} z_{1}} j{\overline{z_{2}}}^{k} e_{m}\right), \sum_{s, p=0}^{\infty} \overline{c_{s, p, f} z_{1}}{\left.\overline{\overline{z_{2}}}{ }^{p} e_{m}\right\rangle}=\sum_{j, k=0}^{\infty}\left\|H_{\overline{c_{j, k, f} z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}}} e_{m}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \geq\left\|H \overline{\bar{c}_{j, k, f} z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}} e_{m}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2}$. Taking limits as $|m| \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\lim _{|m| \rightarrow \infty}\left\|H_{\overline{c j, k, f}^{z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}}} e_{m}\right\|^{2}=0
$$

for all $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2}$. The Hankel operators

$$
H_{c_{j, k, f} z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}}^{*} H \overbrace{c_{j, k, f, z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}}}
$$

are diagonal by Lemma 3, with eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{j, k, m}=\left\|H{\overline{c_{j, k, f} z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{j}}} e_{m}\right\|^{2} .
$$

This shows that

$$
H_{c_{j, k, f} z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}}^{*} H-\frac{c_{c_{j, k, f}, z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}}}{}
$$

are compact for every $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2}$. Then

$$
H_{\overline{c_{j, k, f} f_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}}}
$$

are compact on $A^{2}(\Omega)$.
Without loss of generality, assume $\Gamma_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a holomorphic function $F=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right): \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b \Omega$ so that $F_{2}$ is identically constant and $F_{1}$ is nonconstant. Therefore, by [2], the composition

$$
\overline{c_{j, k, f} F_{1}(z)^{j} F_{2}(z)^{k}}
$$

must be holomorphic in $z$. This cannot occur unless $\overline{c_{j, k, f}}=0$ for $j>0$. Therefore, using the representation

$$
f=\sum_{j, k=0}^{\infty} c_{j, k, f} z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}
$$

we have $f=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{0, k, f} z_{2}^{k}$ almost everywhere. By holomorphicity of $f$ and the identity principle, this implies

$$
f \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{0, k, f} z_{2}^{k} .
$$

Hence $f$ is a function of only $z_{2}$. The proof is similar if $\Gamma_{2} \neq \emptyset$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Using the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1, one can show compactness of $H_{\bar{f}}$ implies compactness of

$$
H_{\overline{c_{j, k, f} f_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{k}}}
$$

for every $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Hence by [3, Corollary 1], for any holomorphic function $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right): \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b \Omega$, we have

$$
{\overline{c_{j, k, f}}}_{\bar{\phi}_{1}}{\overline{\phi_{2}}}^{k}
$$

must be holomorphic. If we assume condition two in Theorem 2, then it follows that $f \equiv c_{0,0, f}$. Assuming condition one in Theorem 2, we may assume $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are not identically constant. Thus $c_{j, k, f}=0$ for $j>0$ or $k>0$ and so $f \equiv c_{0,0, f}$.
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