

# Compactness of Hankel operators with conjugate holomorphic symbols on complete Reinhardt domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$

Timothy G. Clos

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterize compact Hankel operators with conjugate holomorphic symbols on the Bergman space of bounded convex Reinhardt domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We also characterize compactness of Hankel operators with conjugate holomorphic symbols on smooth bounded pseudoconvex complete Reinhardt domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1265
2. Preliminary lemmas	1267
3. Proof of Theorem 1	1270
4. Proof of Theorem 2	1272
References	1272

## 1. Introduction

We assume  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  is a bounded convex Reinhardt domain. We denote the Bergman space with the standard Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega$  as  $A^2(\Omega)$ . Recall that the Bergman space  $A^2(\Omega)$  is the space of holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  that are square integrable on  $\Omega$  under the standard Lebesgue measure. The Bergman space is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Therefore there exists an orthogonal projection  $P : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$  called the Bergman projection. The Hankel operator with symbol  $\phi$  is defined as  $H_\phi g = (I - P)(\phi g)$  for all  $g \in A^2(\Omega)$ . If  $\phi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , then  $H_\phi$  is a bounded operator, however, the converse is not necessarily true. In one complex variable on the unit disk, Axler in [1] showed that the Hankel operator with conjugate holomorphic symbol  $\phi$  is bounded if and only if  $\bar{\phi}$  is in the Bloch space. There are unbounded, holomorphic functions in the Bloch space, as it only specifies a

---

Received April 13, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 32A07 (primary); 47B35 (secondary).

*Key words and phrases*. Hankel operator, compactness, conjugate holomorphic symbol, complete Reinhardt domain.

growth rate of the derivative of the function near the boundary of the disk. Namely, an analytic function  $\phi$  is in the Bloch space if

$$\sup \{(1 - |z|^2)|\phi'(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} < \infty.$$

Let  $h \in A^2(\Omega)$  so that the Hankel operator  $H_{\bar{h}}$  is compact on  $A^2(\Omega)$ . The Hankel operator with an  $L^2(\Omega)$  symbol may only be densely defined, since the product of  $L^2$  functions may not be in  $L^2$ . However, if compactness of the Hankel operator is also assumed, then the Hankel operator with an  $L^2$  symbol is defined on all of  $A^2(\Omega)$ .

We wish to use the geometry of the boundary of  $\Omega$  to give conditions on  $h$ . For example, if  $\Omega$  is the bidisk, Le in [5, Corollary 1] shows that if  $h \in A^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$  such that  $H_{\bar{h}}$  is compact on  $A^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$  then  $h \equiv c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . In one variable, Axler in [1] showed that  $H_{\bar{g}}$  is compact on  $A^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if  $g$  is in the little Bloch space. That is,  $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |z|^2)|g'(z)| = 0$ . If the symbol  $h$  is smooth up to the boundary of a smooth bounded convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , Čučković and Šahutoğlu in [3] showed that Hankel operator  $H_{\bar{h}}$  is compact if and only if  $h$  is holomorphic along analytic disks in the boundary of the domain.

In this paper we will use the following notation.

$$\begin{aligned} S_t &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = t\}, \\ \mathbb{T}^2 &= S_1 \times S_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} \times \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| = 1\}, \\ \mathbb{D}_r &= \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $r, t > 0$ . If  $r = 1$  we write

$$\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

We say  $\Delta \subset b\Omega$  is an analytic disk if there exists a function

$$h = (h_1, h_2) : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b\Omega$$

so that each component function is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$  and the image

$$h(\mathbb{D}) = \Delta.$$

An analytic disk is said to be trivial if it is degenerate (that is,  $\Delta = (c_1, c_2)$  for some constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ ).

In [2] we considered bounded convex Reinhardt domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We characterized nontrivial analytic disks in the boundary of such domains.

We defined

$$\Gamma_\Omega = \overline{\bigcup \{\phi(\mathbb{D}) : \phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b\Omega \text{ are holomorphic, nontrivial}\}}$$

and showed that

$$\Gamma_\Omega = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$$

where either  $\Gamma_1 = \emptyset$  or

$$\Gamma_1 = \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r_1} \times S_{s_1}$$

and likewise either  $\Gamma_2 = \emptyset$  or

$$\Gamma_2 = S_{s_2} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r_2}$$

for some  $r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2 > 0$ .

**Remark 1.** We only consider domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  as opposed to domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for  $n \geq 3$  because a full geometric characterization of analytic structure in higher dimensions is unknown.

The main results are the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a bounded convex Reinhardt domain. Let  $f \in A^2(\Omega)$  so that  $H_{\overline{f}}$  is compact on  $A^2(\Omega)$ . If  $\Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f$  is a function of  $z_2$  alone. If  $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f$  is a function of  $z_1$  alone.*

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a bounded convex Reinhardt domain. Suppose  $\Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in A^2(\Omega)$  so that  $H_{\overline{f}}$  is compact on  $A^2(\Omega)$ . Then there exists  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  so that  $f \equiv c$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a  $C^\infty$ -smooth bounded pseudoconvex complete Reinhardt domain. Let  $f \in A^2(\Omega)$  such that  $H_{\overline{f}}$  is compact on  $A^2(\Omega)$ . Suppose either of the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *There exists a holomorphic function  $F = (F_1, F_2) : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b\Omega$  so that both  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are not identically constant.*
- (2)  $\Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

*Then  $f \equiv c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

As a bit of notation to simplify the reading, we will use the multi-index notation. That is, we will write

$$z = (z_1, z_2)$$

and

$$z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}$$

and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ . We say  $\alpha = \beta$  if  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$  and  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$ . If either  $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1$  or  $\alpha_2 \neq \beta_2$  we say  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

It is well known that for bounded complete Reinhardt domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , the monomials

$$\left\{ \frac{z^\alpha}{\|z^\alpha\|_{L^2(\Omega)}} : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \right\}$$

form an orthonormal basis for  $A^2(\Omega)$ .

We denote

$$\frac{z^\alpha}{\|z^\alpha\|_{L^2(\Omega)}} = e_\alpha(z)$$

**Definition 1.** For  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we define

$$G_\beta := \left\{ \psi \in L^2(\Omega) : \psi(\zeta z) = \zeta^\beta \psi(z) \text{ a.e. } z \in \Omega \text{ a.e. } \zeta \in \mathbb{T}^2 \right\}.$$

Note this definition makes sense in the case  $\Omega$  is a Reinhardt domain, and is the same as the definition of quasi-homogeneous functions in [5].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a bounded complete Reinhardt domain.  $G_\alpha$  as defined above are closed subspaces of  $L^2(\Omega)$  and for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,*

$$G_\alpha \perp G_\beta.$$

**Proof.** The proof that  $G_\beta$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\Omega)$  is similar to [5]. Without loss of generality, suppose  $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1$ . Since  $\Omega$  is a complete Reinhardt domain, one can ‘slice’ the domain similarly to [4]. That is,

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{z_2 \in H_\Omega} (\Delta_{|z_2|} \times \{z_2\})$$

where  $H_\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a disk centered at 0 and

$$\Delta_{|z_2|} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r_{|z_2|}\}$$

is a disk with radius depending on  $|z_2|$ . As we shall see, the proof relies on the radial symmetry of both  $H_\Omega$  and  $\Delta_{|z_2|}$ .

Let  $f \in G_\alpha$ ,  $g \in G_\beta$ ,  $z_1 = r_1 \zeta_1$ ,  $z_2 = r_2 \zeta_2$  for  $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ , and  $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dV(z) \\ &= \int_{H_\Omega} \int_{0 \leq r_1 \leq r_{|z_2|}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta_1^{\alpha_1} \overline{\zeta_1}^{\beta_1} f(r_1, z_2) \overline{g(r_1, z_2)} r_1 d\sigma(\zeta_1) dr_1 dV(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta_1^{\alpha_1} \overline{\zeta_1}^{\beta_1} d\sigma(\zeta_1) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

In the case of a bounded convex Reinhardt domain in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , one can use the ‘slicing’ approach in [4] to explicitly compute  $P(\bar{z}^j e_n)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a bounded complete Reinhardt domain. Then the Hankel operator with symbol  $\bar{z}^j \bar{w}^k$  applied to the orthonormal basis vector  $e_n$  has the following form:*

$$H_{\bar{z}^j \bar{w}^k} e_n(z) = \frac{\bar{z}^j z^n}{\|z^n\|}$$

if either  $n_1 - j_1 < 0$  or  $n_2 - j_2 < 0$ . If  $n_1 - j_1 \geq 0$  and  $n_2 - j_2 \geq 0$  then we can express the Hankel operator applied to the standard orthonormal basis as

$$H_{\bar{z}^j} e_n(z) = \frac{\bar{z}^j z^n}{\|z^n\|} - \frac{z^{n-j} \|z^n\|}{\|z^{n-j}\|^2}.$$

Furthermore, for any monomial

$$\bar{w}^j w^n \in G_{n-j}$$

the projection

$$(I - P)(\bar{w}^j w^n) \in G_{n-j}.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\bar{z}^j e_n)(z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \bar{w}^j \frac{w^n}{\|w^n\|} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2} \overline{e_l(w)} e_l(z) dV(z, w) \\ &= \int_{H_{\Omega}} \int_{w_1 \in \Delta_{|w_2|}} \bar{w}_1^{j_1} \bar{w}_2^{j_2} \frac{w_1^{n_1} w_2^{n_2}}{\|z^n\|} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{l_1, l_2=0}^{\infty} \overline{e_{l_1, l_2}(w_1, w_2)} e_{l_1, l_2}(z_1, z_2) dA_1(w_1) dA_2(w_2) \\ &= \sum_{l_1, l_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2}}{\|z^n\| \|z^l\|^2} \int_{H_{\Omega}} \bar{w}_2^{j_2+l_2} w_2^{n_2} \int_{w_1 \in \Delta_{|w_2|}} \bar{w}_1^{j_1+l_1} w_1^{n_1} dA_1(w_1) dA_2(w_2). \end{aligned}$$

Converting to polar coordinates and using the orthogonality of  $\{e^{in\theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and the fact that

$$\int_{w_1 \in \Delta_{|w_2|}} \bar{w}_1^{j_1+l_1} w_1^{n_1} dA_1(w_1)$$

is a radial function of  $w_2$  and  $H_{\Omega}$  is radially symmetric, we have the only nonzero term in the previous sum is when  $n_2 - j_2 = l_2$  and  $n_1 - j_1 = l_1$ . Therefore, we have  $P(\bar{w}^j e_n)(z) = 0$  if  $n_2 - j_2 < 0$  or  $n_1 - j_1 < 0$ . Otherwise, if  $n_2 - j_2 \geq 0$  and  $n_1 - j_1 \geq 0$ , we have

$$P(\bar{w}^j e_n)(z) = \frac{z^{n-j} \|z^n\|}{\|z^{n-j}\|^2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$H_{\bar{w}^j} e_n(z) = \frac{\bar{z}^j z^n}{\|z^n\|} - \frac{z^{n-j} \|z^n\|}{\|z^{n-j}\|^2}$$

if  $n_2 - k \geq 0$  and  $n_1 - j \geq 0$  otherwise

$$H_{\bar{w}^j} e_n(z) = \frac{\bar{z}^j z^n}{\|z^n\|}$$

if either  $n_2 - k < 0$  or  $n_1 - j < 0$ . This also shows that the subspaces  $G_\alpha$  remain invariant under the projection  $(I - P)$ , at least for monomial symbols.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *For every  $\alpha \geq 0$ , the product Hankel operator*

$$H_{\bar{z}^\alpha}^* H_{\bar{z}^\alpha} : A^2(\Omega) \rightarrow A^2(\Omega)$$

*is a diagonal operator with respect to the standard orthonormal basis*

$$\{e_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2\}.$$

**Proof.** Assume without loss of generality,  $j \neq l$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_{\bar{z}^\alpha}^* H_{\bar{z}^\alpha} e_j, e_l \rangle &= \langle H_{\bar{z}^\alpha} e_j, H_{\bar{z}^\alpha} e_l \rangle \\ &= \langle (I - P)(\bar{z}^\alpha e_j), \bar{z}^\alpha e_l \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\bar{z}^\alpha e_j \in G_{j-\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{z}^\alpha e_l \in G_{l-\alpha}$ . By Lemma 2,

$$(I - P)\bar{z}^\alpha e_j \in G_{j-\alpha}.$$

By Lemma 1,  $G_\alpha$  are mutually orthogonal. Therefore,

$$\langle (I - P)(\bar{z}^\alpha e_j), \bar{z}^\alpha e_l \rangle = 0$$

unless  $j = l$ .  $\square$

Using Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, let us compute the eigenvalues of

$$H_{\bar{z}^\alpha}^* H_{\bar{z}^\alpha}.$$

Let us first assume  $n - \alpha \geq 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_{\bar{z}^\alpha}^* H_{\bar{z}^\alpha} e_n, e_n \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{\bar{z}^\alpha z^n}{\|z^n\|} - \frac{z^{n-\alpha} \|z^n\|}{\|z^{n-\alpha}\|^2}, \frac{\bar{z}^\alpha z^n}{\|z^n\|} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\|\bar{z}^\alpha z^n\|^2}{\|z^n\|^2} - \frac{\|z^n\|^2}{\|z^{n-\alpha}\|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n - \alpha < 0$ , we have

$$\langle H_{\bar{z}^\alpha}^* H_{\bar{z}^\alpha} e_n, e_n \rangle = \frac{\|\bar{z}^\alpha z^n\|^2}{\|z^n\|^2}.$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

**Proof.** Assume  $f \in A^2(\Omega)$  and  $H_{\bar{f}}$  is compact on  $A^2(\Omega)$ . Then, we can represent

$$f = \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} c_{j,k,f} z_1^j z_2^k$$

almost everywhere (with respect to the Lebesgue volume measure on  $\Omega$ ).

Let

$$\{e_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2\}$$

be the standard orthonormal basis for  $A^2(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\|H_{\bar{f}} e_m\|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as  $|m| \rightarrow \infty$ . Using the mutual orthogonality of the subspaces  $G_\alpha$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{\bar{f}}e_m\|^2 &= \langle (I - P)(\bar{f}e_m), \bar{f}e_m \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} (I - P)(\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k} e_m), \sum_{s,p=0}^{\infty} \overline{c_{s,p,f}z_1^s z_2^p} e_m \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \left\| H_{\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k}} e_m \right\|^2 \\ &\geq \left\| H_{\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k}} e_m \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ . Taking limits as  $|m| \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{|m| \rightarrow \infty} \left\| H_{\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k}} e_m \right\|^2 = 0$$

for all  $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ . The Hankel operators

$$H_{\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k}}^* H_{\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k}}$$

are diagonal by Lemma 3, with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{j,k,m} = \left\| H_{\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k}} e_m \right\|^2.$$

This shows that

$$H_{\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k}}^* H_{\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k}}$$

are compact for every  $(j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ . Then

$$H_{\overline{c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k}}$$

are compact on  $A^2(\Omega)$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $\Gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a holomorphic function  $F = (F_1, F_2) : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b\Omega$  so that  $F_2$  is identically constant and  $F_1$  is nonconstant. Therefore, by [2], the composition

$$\overline{c_{j,k,f}F_1(z)^j F_2(z)^k}$$

must be holomorphic in  $z$ . This cannot occur unless  $\overline{c_{j,k,f}} = 0$  for  $j > 0$ . Therefore, using the representation

$$f = \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} c_{j,k,f}z_1^j z_2^k$$

we have  $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{0,k,f}z_2^k$  almost everywhere. By holomorphicity of  $f$  and the identity principle, this implies

$$f \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{0,k,f}z_2^k.$$

Hence  $f$  is a function of only  $z_2$ . The proof is similar if  $\Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Using the same argument in the proof of Theorem 1, one can show compactness of  $H_{\bar{f}}$  implies compactness of

$$H_{c_{j,k,f} z_1^j z_2^k}$$

for every  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Hence by [3, Corollary 1], for any holomorphic function  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow b\Omega$ , we have

$$\overline{c_{j,k,f} \phi_1^j \phi_2^k}$$

must be holomorphic. If we assume condition two in Theorem 2, then it follows that  $f \equiv c_{0,0,f}$ . Assuming condition one in Theorem 2, we may assume  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are not identically constant. Thus  $c_{j,k,f} = 0$  for  $j > 0$  or  $k > 0$  and so  $f \equiv c_{0,0,f}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** I wish to thank Sönmez Şahutoğlu, Trieu Le, and the referee for their valuable comments on a preliminary version of this manuscript.

#### References

- [1] AXLER, SHELDON. The Bergman space, the Bloch space, and commutators of multiplication operators. *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), no. 2, 315–332. MR0850538, Zbl 0633.47014, doi: 10.1215/S0012-7094-86-05320-2.
- [2] CLOS, TIMOTHY G.; ŞAHUTOĞLU, SÖNMEZ. Compactness of Hankel operators with continuous symbols. *Complex Anal. and Oper. Theory*, (2017), to appear. arXiv:1608.08670v2.
- [3] ČUČKOVIĆ, ŽELJKO; ŞAHUTOĞLU, SÖNMEZ. Compactness of Hankel operators and analytic discs in the boundary of pseudoconvex domains. *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), no. 11, 3730–3742. MR2514058, Zbl 1171.47022, arXiv:0809.1901, doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2009.02.018.
- [4] ČUČKOVIĆ, ŽELJKO; ŞAHUTOĞLU, SÖNMEZ. Compactness of products of Hankel operators on convex Reinhardt domains in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . *New York J. Math.* **20** (2014), 627–643. MR3262024, Zbl 1306.47037, arXiv:1201.4835, <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2014/20-31v.pdf>.
- [5] LE, TRIEU. Compact Hankel operators on generalized Bergman spaces of the polydisc. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **67** (2010), no. 3, 425–438. MR2660469, Zbl 1237.47031, doi: 10.1007/s00020-010-1788-5.

(Timothy Clos) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, 2801 WEST BANCROFT STREET, TOLEDO, OH 43606  
 timothy.clos@utoledo.edu

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2017/23-55.html>.