

## Boundary Stabilization of a Hyperbolic Equation with Viscosity

M. M. Cavalcanti

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the solvability and uniform stability of a hyperbolic equation with spatially varying coefficients of viscosity and elasticity and boundary damping.

### CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	167
2.	Notations and Main Result	168
3.	Solvability of strong and weak solutions	169
4.	Asymptotic Behaviour	173
	References	176

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $C^2$  boundary  $\Gamma$  and let  $(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$  be a partition of  $\Gamma$ ; both parts with positive measure.

We consider the following hyperbolic problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial t^2} - \nabla \cdot (a_{ij}(x) \nabla y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot (b_{ij}(x) \nabla y) = f & \text{in } Q = \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_1 = \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty) \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_a} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_b} + \beta(x) \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} - g \right) = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_0 = \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty) \\ y(0) = y^0; \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(0) = y^1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_a}$  (resp.  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_b}$ ) is the outer conormal derivative with respect to the matrix  $(a_{ij})$  (resp.  $(b_{ij})$ ) defined by

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_a} = \nu_i a_{ij} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_j}$$

and  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$  denotes the exterior unit normal at  $\Gamma$ .

---

Received June 30, 1997.

*Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35L80.

*Key words and phrases.* elasticity, viscosity, boundary stabilization.

In physical terms the entries  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  are related to coefficients of elasticity and viscosity, respectively. Without viscosity, i.e., if  $b_{ij} = 0$  and assuming that  $a_{ij} = 1$ ,  $f = 0$  and  $g = 0$ , the problem (1.1) was studied by many authors: See J. P. Quinn and D. L. Russell [10], G. Chen [2, 3, 4], J. E. Lagnese [7, 8] and V. Komornik and E. Zuazua [6]. And when  $\beta = 0$ , an asymptotic regularization procedure is proved by G. C. Hsiao and J. Sprekels [5]. Inspired by the above works we show solvability of strong and weak solutions to problem (1.1), and obtain boundary stabilization.

To obtain the existence of solutions we make use of Galerkin's approximation. However, as we are also interested in strong solutions we have some technical difficulties which lead us to transform the problem (1.1) into an equivalent one with zero initial data.

Stability problems with nonhomogeneous conditions require a special treatment because we don't have any information about the influence of the inner products  $(f(t), y'(t))_{L^2(\Omega)}$  and  $(g(t), y'(t))_{L^2(\Gamma_0)}$  on the energy

$$(1.2) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |y'(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_i}(x, t) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_j}(x, t) dx$$

or about the sign of its derivative  $E'(t)$ .

To obtain the uniform decay we use the perturbed energy method. Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give notations and state our main result. In Section 3 we prove solvability of strong and weak solutions of (1.1) while in Section 4 we obtain the boundary stabilization of solutions from Section 3.

## 2. Notations and Main Result

We define

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx; \quad |u|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx,$$

$$(u, v)_{\Gamma_0} = \int_{\Gamma_0} u(x)v(x) dx; \quad |u|_{\Gamma_0}^2 = \int_{\Gamma_0} |u(x)|^2 dx$$

and let  $V$  be

$$V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) ; v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1\}$$

which, equipped with the topology  $|\nabla \cdot |$  is a Hilbert subspace of  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

In order to establish our main result, we make the following assumptions on the coefficients:

$$(2.1) \quad a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}).$$

There exist positive constants  $a_0$  and  $b_0$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji}, \quad \text{and for } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq a_0 |\xi|^2$$

$$(2.3) \quad b_{ij} = b_{ji}, \quad \text{and for } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq b_0 |\xi|^2,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \beta \in L^\infty(\Omega); \quad \beta(x) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma_0, \quad \beta(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Gamma_0} \cap \overline{\Gamma_1}$$

and  $\beta(x) \rightarrow 0$  whenever  $x$  tends to a point  $x \in \overline{\Gamma_0} \cap \overline{\Gamma_1}$ .

This choice of the function  $\beta$  was done in order to avoid eventual singularities.

Defining

$$(2.5) \quad a(u, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx, \quad \forall u, v \in V$$

$$(2.6) \quad b(u, v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} b_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx, \quad \forall u, v \in V$$

from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) there exist positive constants  $a_0, a_1, b_0$  and  $b_1$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad a_0 |\nabla u|^2 \leq a(u, u) \leq a_1 |\nabla u|^2, \quad \forall u \in V$$

$$(2.8) \quad b_0 |\nabla u|^2 \leq b(u, u) \leq b_1 |\nabla u|^2, \quad \forall u \in V.$$

Now, we are in position to state our main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let*

$$\{y^0, y^1, f, g\} \in V \times L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)) \times H^1(0, \infty; L^2(\Gamma_0)).$$

*Under the assumptions (2.1)-(2.3) and assuming that  $g(0) = 0$ , the problem (1.1) possesses a unique weak solution  $y : \Omega \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that*

$$(2.9) \quad y \in L^\infty(0, \infty; V), \quad y' \in L^\infty(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)).$$

*Moreover, provided that for large  $t$ , the inequality*

$$(2.10) \quad \int_0^t \exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}s\right) \left( |f(s)|^2 + |\sqrt{\beta}g(s)|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right) ds \leq \alpha t^r$$

*holds for some positive constants  $\varepsilon, \alpha$ , and  $r$ , we obtain the following energy decay*

$$E(t) \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}t\right), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$$

*where  $C$  and  $\varepsilon_0$  are positive constants.*

**Remark 1.** The hypothesis (2.10) means that the map

$$t \longmapsto \int_0^t \exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}s\right) \left( |f(s)|^2 + |\sqrt{\beta}g(s)|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right) ds$$

must be bounded by a polynomial  $P(t)$ .

### 3. Solvability of strong and weak solutions

In this section we are going to prove the existence of strong solutions of problem (1.1). Using density arguments we conclude the same for weak solutions. The existence of solutions may be proven either by the Galerkin method or using semigroup arguments. We employ the Galerkin method.

We define the Hilbert space

$$(3.1) \quad H = \{u \in V; Au, Bu \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are the operators defined by

$$A = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad B = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( b_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

and  $H$  is endowed by the natural inner product

$$(u, v)_H = (u, v)_V + (Au, Av) + (Bu, Bv).$$

Let us consider

$$(3.2) \quad y^0, y^1 \in H$$

satisfying the compatibility condition

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\partial y^0}{\partial \nu_a} + \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial \nu_b} + \beta(x) (y^1 - g(0)) = 0.$$

In addition, let us assume that

$$(3.4) \quad f \in H^1(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)), \quad g \in H^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Gamma_0)).$$

The variational formulation associated with problem (1.1) is given by

$$(y''(t), w) + a(y(t), w) + b(y(t), w) + (\beta y'(t), w)_{\Gamma_0} \\ = (f(t), w) + (\beta g(t), w)_{\Gamma_0}; \quad \forall w \in V.$$

In order to obtain strong solutions and since we can not use a ‘special basis’ (for instance, one formed by eigenfunctions) because of the boundary condition  $(\beta y'(t), w)_{\Gamma_0}$ , we need to derive the above expression with respect to  $t$ . But it lead us to technical difficulties when we estimate  $y''(0)$ . To solve this problem we transform the boundary value problem (1.1) into an equivalent one with null initial data. In fact, considering the change of variables

$$(3.5) \quad v(x, t) = y(x, t) - \phi(x, t)$$

where

$$\phi(x, t) = y^0(x) + ty^1(x), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \infty)$$

we obtain the equivalent problem for  $v$  :

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} v'' - \nabla \cdot (a_{ij}(x) \nabla v) - \nabla \cdot (b_{ij}(x) \nabla v') = F & \text{in } Q \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_1 \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_a} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial \nu_b} + \beta v' = G & \text{on } \Sigma_1 \\ v(0) = v'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad F = f + \nabla \cdot (a_{ij}(x) \nabla \phi) + \nabla \cdot (b_{ij}(x) \nabla \phi')$$

$$(3.8) \quad G = \beta g - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \nu_a} - \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial \nu_b} - \beta \phi'.$$

If  $v$  is a solution of (3.6) in  $[0, T]$ , then  $y = v + \phi$  is a solution of (1.1) in the same interval. However, after two estimates we are going to prove later, we have that

$$(3.9) \quad |Av(t)|^2 + |\nabla v'(t)|^2 \leq C(T), \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad \text{and} \quad \forall T > 0.$$

Thus, from (3.4) and (3.5) we have the same estimate obtained in (3.9) for the solution  $y$ . So, we can extend  $y$  to the whole interval  $[0, \infty)$  using the standard argument

$$T_{max} = \infty \quad \text{or if } T_{max} < \infty \quad \text{then} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow T_{max}} (|Av(t)|^2 + |\nabla v'(t)|^2) = \infty.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to prove that (3.6) has a solution in  $[0, T]$ , which will be done by the Galerkin method.

We represent by  $(\omega_\nu)$  a basis in  $H$ , which is orthonormal in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , by  $V_m$  the subspace of  $H$  generated by the  $m$ -first vectors  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m$  and define

$$(3.10) \quad v_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_{im}(t)\omega_i$$

where  $v_m(t)$  is the solution of the following Cauchy problem:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (v_m''(t), \omega_j) + a(v_m(t), \omega_j) + b(v_m'(t), \omega_j) + (\beta v_m'(t), \omega_j)_{\Gamma_0} \\ = (F(t), \omega_j) + (G(t), \omega_j)_{\Gamma_0}; \end{aligned}$$

$$v_m(0) = v_m'(0) = 0; \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

The approximate system is a normal one of ordinary differential equations. It has a solution in  $[0, t_m]$ . The extension of the solution on the whole interval  $[0, T]$  is a consequence of the first estimate we are going to obtain below.

### 3.1. A Priori Estimates.

3.1.1. THE FIRST ESTIMATE. Multiplying both sides of (3.11) by  $g'_{jm}(t)$ , summing over  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and considering (2.2), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ |v_m'(t)|^2 + a(v_m(t), v_m(t)) \right\} + b(v_m'(t), v_m'(t)) + \left| \sqrt{\beta} v_m'(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \\ = (F(t), v_m'(t)) + \frac{d}{dt} (G(t), v_m(t))_{\Gamma_0} - (G'(t), v_m(t))_{\Gamma_0} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} |F(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |v_m'(t)|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} (G(t), v_m(t))_{\Gamma_0} + \frac{C_0^2}{2} |G'(t)|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v_m(t)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_0$  is a positive constant such that  $|v|_{\Gamma_0} \leq C_0 |\nabla v|$ ,  $\forall v \in V$ .

Integrating (3.12) over  $(0, t)$   $0 < t < t_m$ , taking into consideration (2.7) and (2.8) and noting that  $v_m(0) = v_m'(0) = 0$ , we get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} |v_m'(t)|^2 + \frac{a_0}{2} |\nabla v_m(t)|^2 + b_0 \int_0^t |\nabla v_m'(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\beta} v_m'(s) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 ds \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \|F\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 + \frac{C_0^2}{2} \|G'\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_0))}^2 + (G(t), v_m(t))_{\Gamma_0} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left\{ |v_m'(s)|^2 + |\nabla v_m(s)|^2 \right\} ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for an arbitrary  $\eta > 0$  we have

$$(3.14) \quad (G(t), v_m(t))_{\Gamma_0} \leq \frac{C_0^2}{4\eta} |G(t)|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + \eta |\nabla v_m(t)|^2.$$

Combining (3.13), (3.14) and choosing  $\eta > 0$  small enough, we obtain the first estimate

$$(3.15) \quad |v_m'(t)|^2 + |\nabla v_m(t)|^2 + \int_0^t |\nabla v_m'(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\beta} v_m'(s) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 ds \leq L_1$$

where  $L_1$  is a positive constant independent of  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .

3.1.2. **THE SECOND ESTIMATE.** First of all we are going to estimate  $v_m''(0)$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  norm. Taking  $t = 0$  in (3.11) and taking into account that  $v_m(0) = v_m'(0) = 0$ , it follows that

$$(3.16) \quad (v_m''(0), \omega_j) = (F(0), \omega_j) + (G(0), \omega_j)_{\Gamma_0}.$$

From (3.3) and (3.8) we have that  $G(0) = 0$ , and from (3.16) we conclude

$$|v_m''(0)|^2 = (F(0), v_m''(0))$$

which implies that

$$(3.17) \quad |v_m''(0)| \leq L; \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}$$

where  $L$  is a positive constant independent of  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Now, taking the derivative of (3.11) with respect to  $t$ , we can write

$$(3.18) \quad (v_m'''(t), \omega_j) + a(v_m'(t), \omega_j) + b(v_m''(t), \omega_j) + (\beta v_m''(t), \omega_j)_{\Gamma_0} \\ = (F'(t), \omega_j) + (G'(t), \omega_j)_{\Gamma_0}.$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.18) by  $g_{jm}''(t)$  and summing over  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ |v_m''(t)|^2 + a(v_m'(t), v_m'(t)) \right\} + b(v_m''(t), v_m''(t)) + \left| \sqrt{\beta} v_m''(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \\ = (F'(t), v_m''(t)) + \frac{d}{dt} (G'(t), v_m'(t))_{\Gamma_0} - (G''(t), v_m'(t))_{\Gamma_0}.$$

Using arguments analogous to those considered in the first estimate, observing that  $v_m'(0) = 0$ , and taking into account (3.17), from (3.19) we obtain the second estimate

$$(3.20) \quad |v_m''(t)|^2 + |\nabla v_m'(t)|^2 + \int_0^t |\nabla v_m''(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\beta} v_m''(s) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 ds \leq L_2$$

where  $L_2$  is a positive constant independent of  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Due to estimates (3.15) and (3.20) we can extract a subsequence  $\{v_\mu\}$  of  $\{v_m\}$  such that

$$(3.21) \quad v_\mu \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{weak star in } L^\infty(0, T; V)$$

$$(3.22) \quad v_\mu' \rightharpoonup v' \quad \text{weak star in } L^\infty(0, T; V)$$

$$(3.23) \quad v_\mu'' \rightharpoonup v'' \quad \text{weak in } L^2(0, T; V)$$

$$(3.24) \quad v_\mu'' \rightharpoonup v'' \quad \text{weak star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$$

$$(3.25) \quad \beta v_\mu' \rightharpoonup \beta v' \quad \text{weak in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_0)).$$

The above convergences are sufficient to pass to the limit.

3.2. **Uniqueness.** Suppose we have two solutions  $y$  and  $\hat{y}$  of problem (1.1). Then  $z = y - \hat{y}$  satisfies

$$(3.26) \quad (z''(t), w) + a(z(t), w) + b(z'(t), w) + (\beta z'(t), w)_{\Gamma_0} = 0, \quad \forall w \in V \\ z(0) = z'(0) = 0.$$

Taking  $w = 2z'(t)$  in (3.26) we obtain

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ |z'(t)|^2 + a(z(t), z(t)) \right\} + 2b(z'(t), z'(t)) + 2 \left| \sqrt{\beta} z'(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 = 0.$$

Integrating (3.27) over  $(0, t)$  we obtain

$$|z'(t)|^2 + a_0 |\nabla z(t)|^2 + 2b_0 \int_0^t |\nabla z'(s)|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\beta} z'(s) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 ds = 0$$

which implies that  $|\nabla z(t)| = |z'(t)| = 0$ . This completes the proof.

**3.3. Solvability of weak solutions.** We have just proved the existence of solutions of the problem (1.1) when  $y^0$  and  $y^1$  are smooth. By density arguments we conclude the same for weak solutions. However, the principal difficulty is due to the existence of a sequence of initial data which satisfies the hypothesis of compatibility (3.3). For this end and since  $g(0) = 0$ , given  $\{y^0, y^1\} \in V \times L^2(\Omega)$  it is sufficient to consider

$$y_\mu^0 \in D(A) = \left\{ u \in V; Au \in L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_a} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0 \right\}$$

such that

$$y_\mu^0 \rightarrow y^0 \quad \text{in} \quad V$$

and

$$y_\mu^1 \in D(B) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \text{such that} \quad y_\mu^1 \rightarrow y^1 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\Omega).$$

The uniqueness of weak solutions requires a regularization procedure and can be obtained using the standard method of Visik-Ladyschenskaya, cf. J. L. Lions [9] Chapter 3, Section 8.2.

### 4. Asymptotic Behaviour

In this section we obtain the uniform decay of the energy given in (1.2) for strong solutions, since the same occurs for weak solutions using standard density arguments.

The derivative of the energy given by (1.2) is

$$(4.1) \quad E'(t) = -b(y'(t), y'(t)) - \left| \sqrt{\beta} y'(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + (f(t), y'(t)) + (g(t), y'(t))_{\Gamma_0}.$$

Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be positive constants such that

$$(4.2) \quad |v|^2 \leq \lambda |\nabla v|^2 \quad \forall v \in V$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \left| \sqrt{\beta} v \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \leq \mu |\nabla v|^2; \quad \forall v \in V.$$

For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  we define the perturbed energy

$$(4.4) \quad E_\varepsilon(t) = E(t) + \varepsilon \psi(t)$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad \psi(t) = \int_\Omega y' y dx.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that*

$$|E_\varepsilon(t) - E(t)| \leq \varepsilon C_1 E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

**Proof.** From (2.7), (4.2) and (4.5) we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad |\psi(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|y'|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda a_0^{-1}a(y, y) \leq (\lambda a_0^{-1} + 1) E(t).$$

If we define  $C_1 = \lambda a_0^{-1} + 1$ , then from (4.4) and (4.6) we can write

$$|E_\varepsilon(t) - E(t)| = \varepsilon |\psi(t)| \leq \varepsilon C_1 E(t).$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *There exist  $C_2 = C_2(\varepsilon)$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  positive constants such that*

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\varepsilon E(t) + C_2 \left( |f(t)|^2 + |\sqrt{\beta}g(t)|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right); \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1].$$

**Proof.** First of all we must estimate  $\psi'(t)$  in terms of  $E(t)$ . Taking the derivative of  $\psi(t)$  given in (4.5) and replacing  $y''$  by  $\nabla \cdot (a_{ij}(x)\nabla y) + \nabla \cdot (b_{ij}\nabla y') + f$  in the expression obtained it follows that

$$(4.7) \quad \psi'(t) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (a_{ij}(x)\nabla y) y \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (b_{ij}\nabla y') y \, dx + \int_{\Omega} f y \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |y'|^2 \, dx.$$

On the other hand, from Gauss' theorem and taking into account that

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_a} + \frac{\partial y'}{\partial \nu_b} = \beta(g - y') \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0$$

we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (a_{ij}(x)\nabla y) y \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (b_{ij}\nabla y') y \, dx \\ = -a(y(t), y(t)) - b(y'(t), y(t)) - \int_{\Gamma_0} \beta y' y \, d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_0} \beta g y \, d\Gamma.$$

Replacing (4.8) in (4.7); adding and subtracting the term  $\int_{\Omega} |y'|^2 \, dx$  in (4.7) we get

$$(4.9) \quad \psi'(t) = -2E(t) - b(y'(t), y(t)) + 2 \int_{\Omega} |y'|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Gamma_0} \beta y' y \, d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} f y \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_0} \beta g y \, d\Gamma.$$

Now, from (2.7), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.9), using for an arbitrary  $\eta > 0$  the inequality  $ab \leq \frac{a^2}{4\eta} + \eta b^2$  we can write

$$(4.10) \quad \psi'(t) \leq -(2 - 8\eta)E(t) + \left( 2\lambda + \frac{\|b\|^2 a_0^{-1}}{4\eta} \right) |\nabla y'(t)|^2 \\ + \frac{\mu a_0^{-1}}{4\eta} \left| \sqrt{\beta} y'(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + \frac{a_0^{-1} \lambda}{4\eta} \left( |f(t)|^2 + \left| \sqrt{\beta} g(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right)$$

where

$$\|b\| = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|b_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$



Choosing  $\eta = \frac{1}{8}$  from (4.10) we have

$$(4.11) \quad \psi'(t) \leq -E(t) + M_1 |\nabla y'(t)|^2 + M_2 \left| \sqrt{\beta} y'(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + M_3 \left( |f(t)|^2 + \left| \sqrt{\beta} g(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right)$$

where

$$M_1 = 2(\lambda + \|b\|^2 a_0^{-1}), \quad M_2 = 2\mu a_0^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad M_3 = 2a_0^{-1}\lambda.$$

Thus, combining (2.8), (4.1), (4.4) and (4.11), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} E'_\varepsilon(t) &= E'(t) + \varepsilon \psi'(t) \\ &\leq -b_0 |\nabla y'(t)|^2 - \left| \sqrt{\beta} y'(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + (f(t), y'(t)) + (\beta g(t), y'(t))_{\Gamma_0} - \varepsilon E(t) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon M_1 |\nabla y'(t)|^2 + \varepsilon M_2 \left| \sqrt{\beta} y'(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 + \varepsilon M_3 \left( |f(t)|^2 + \left| \sqrt{\beta} g(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} E'_\varepsilon(t) &\leq -(b_0 - \varepsilon(M_1 + 1)) |\nabla y'(t)|^2 - (1 - \varepsilon(M_2 + 1)) \left| \sqrt{\beta} y'(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \\ &\quad - \varepsilon E(t) + \left( \frac{\lambda}{4\varepsilon} + \varepsilon M_3 \right) |f(t)|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} + \varepsilon M_3 \right) |g(t)|_{\Gamma_0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$\varepsilon_1 = \min \left\{ \frac{b_0}{M_1 + 1}, \frac{1}{M_2 + 1} \right\}$$

and choosing  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$  it follows that

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\varepsilon E(t) + C_2(\varepsilon) \left( |f(t)|^2 + \left| \sqrt{\beta} g(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right)$$

which concludes the proof. □

**Proof of the exponential decay.** We define

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min \left\{ \varepsilon_1, \frac{1}{2C_1} \right\}$$

and let us consider  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ . From Proposition 4.1 we have

$$(4.13) \quad (1 - C_1\varepsilon)E(t) \leq E_\varepsilon(t) \leq (1 + C_1\varepsilon)E(t)$$

Since  $\varepsilon \leq 1/2C_1$ ,

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{1}{2}E(t) \leq E_\varepsilon(t) \leq \frac{3}{2}E(t) \leq 2E(t); \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

and therefore

$$(4.15) \quad -\varepsilon E(t) \leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} E_\varepsilon(t).$$

Hence, from (4.15) and considering Proposition 4.2 we obtain

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} E_\varepsilon(t) + C_2 \left( |f(t)|^2 + \left| \sqrt{\beta} g(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right).$$

Consequently

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( E_\varepsilon(t) \exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}t\right) \right) \leq C_2 \left( |f(t)|^2 + \left| \sqrt{\beta} g(t) \right|_{\Gamma_0}^2 \right) \exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}t\right).$$

Integrating the above inequality over  $[0, t]$  we get

$$E_\varepsilon(t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}t\right)E_\varepsilon(0) + C_2 \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}t\right) \int_0^t \exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}s\right) \left(|f(s)|^2 + |\sqrt{\beta}g(s)|_{\Gamma_0}^2\right) ds$$

and taking into consideration (4.14) we see that

$$(4.16) \quad E(t) \leq \left(3E(0) + 2C_2 \int_0^t \exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}s\right) \left(|f(s)|^2 + |\sqrt{\beta}g(s)|_{\Gamma_0}^2\right) ds\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}t\right).$$

Combining (4.16) with the assumption (2.10) we prove the desired decay and finish the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. M. Cavalcanti and J. A. Soriano, *On solvability and stability of the wave equation with lower order terms and boundary damping*, Rev. Mat. Apl. **18**, (1997), 61–78.
- [2] G. Chen, *A note on the boundary stabilization of the wave equation*, Siam J. Control and Optimization, **19** (1981), 106–113, MR 82j:35093.
- [3] G. Chen, *Control and stabilization for the wave equation in a bounded domain*, II, Siam J. Control and Optimization, **19** (1981), 114–122, MR 82i:93007a.
- [4] G. Chen, *Energy decay estimates and exact boundary value controllability for the wave equation in a bounded domain*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **58** (1979), 249–273, MR 81k:35093.
- [5] G. C. Hsiao and J. Sprekels *On the identification of distributed parameters in hyperbolic equations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, no. 263, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1992, pp. 102–121, MR 94a:35113.
- [6] V. Komornik and E. Zuazua, *A direct method for boundary stabilization of the wave equation*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **69** (1990), 33–54, MR 92c:35020.
- [7] J. E. Lagnese, *Decay of solutions of the wave equations in a bounded region with boundary dissipation*, Journal of Differential Equations **50** (1983), 163–182, MR 85f:35025.
- [8] J. E. Lagnese, *Note on boundary stabilization of the wave equations*, Siam J. Control and Optimization **26** (1988), 1250–1257, MR 89k:93170.
- [9] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux Limites non Homogees, Applications*, Vol. 1, Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [10] J. Quinn and D. L. Russell, *Asymptotic stability and energy decay rates for solutions of hyperbolic equations with boundary damping*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Sect. A **77** (1977), 97–127.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE MARINGÁ, 87020-900, MARINGÁ - PR, BRASIL.  
marcelo@gauss.dma.uem.br

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu:8000/j/1998/4-11.html>.